

1 International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics
 2 Vol. 12 (2015) 1550111 (9 pages)
 3 © World Scientific Publishing Company
 4 DOI: 10.1142/S021988781550111X



6 **Unitary vector fields are Fermi–Walker transported**
 7 **along Rytov–Legendre curves**

8 Mircea Crasmareanu
 9 *University “Al. I. Cuza”, Faculty of Mathematics*
 10 *700506 Iași, Romania*
 11 *mcrasm@uaic.ro*

12 Camelia Frigioiu
 13 *University “Dunarea de Jos”*
 14 *Faculty of Sciences and Environment*
 15 *800201 Galați, Romania*
 16 *cfrigioiu@ugal.ro*

17 Received 11 September 2014
 18 Accepted 17 June 2015
 19 Published

20 Fix ξ a unitary vector field on a Riemannian manifold M and γ a non-geodesic Frenet
 21 curve on M satisfying the Rytov law of polarization optics. We prove in these conditions
 22 that γ is a Legendre curve for ξ if and only if the γ -Fermi–Walker covariant derivative of ξ
 23 vanishes. The cases when γ is circle or helix as well as ξ is (conformal) Killing vector field
 24 or potential vector field of a Ricci soliton are analyzed and an example involving a three-
 25 dimensional warped metric is provided. We discuss also K -(para)contact, particularly
 26 (para)Sasakian, manifolds and hypersurfaces in complex space forms.

27 *Keywords:* Reeb vector field; Legendre curve; Rytov curve; Fermi–Walker transport;
 28 circle.

29 *Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:* 53D15, 53B25, 53A55, 53C25

30

31 One of the fruitful notions of classical differential geometry of curves is that of *curve*
 32 *of constant slope*. Also called *cylindrical helix*, this is a curve in the Euclidean space
 33 \mathbb{E}^3 for which the tangent vector field has a constant angle with a fixed direction
 34 called the *axis* of the curve; the second name is due to the fact that there exists a
 35 cylinder on which the curve moves in such a way as to cut each ruling at a constant
 36 angle. The well-known characterization of this curve is the Bertrand–Lancret–de
 37 Saint Venant Theorem (see [2]): the curve γ in \mathbb{E}^3 is of constant slope if and only
 38 if the ratio of torsion and curvature is constant.

39 A very interesting generalization of this object is that of *slant curve* in almost
 40 contact metric geometry and was introduced in [8] (see also [9]) with the slant angle

M. Crasmareanu & C. Frigiou

1 θ between the tangent to curve and the structural (Reeb) vector field and in the
2 three-dimensional case. In the particular case of $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ (or $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{2}$) we recover the
3 *Legendre curves* of [1]. Let us pointed out that the bibliography in Legendre curves
4 (in different ambient manifolds) is by now very rich (see [3, 5, 7, 13–24]).

5 Fix (M, g) an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold and let ξ be a g -unitary
6 vector field on it; let us point out that all results hold also for a semi-Riemannian
7 metric g and space-like vector field $g(\xi, \xi) = +1$. Consider also η the g -dual of ξ .
8 The following example is classical.

9 **Example 1.** Supposing $m = 2n + 1$ the pair (M, η) is a *contact manifold* if $V =$
10 $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n$ is a volume form on M . On a given contact manifold there exists a unique
11 vector field ξ such that:

$$i_\xi \eta = 1, \quad i_\xi d\eta = 0 \quad (1)$$

12 called the *Reeb vector field* of (M, η) . An *adapted metric* on (M, η) is a Riemannian
13 metric for which ξ is unitary; then the triple (M, η, g) will be called *contact metric*
14 *manifold* or *Riemannian contact manifold*.

Now we recall the definition of *Frenet curve* in an m -dimensional (semi)-
Riemannian manifold after [4, p. 164]; let r be an integer with $1 \leq r \leq m$. A
given curve $\gamma : J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ parametrized by the arc length s is called *r-Frenet*
curve on M if there exist r orthonormal vector fields $(E_1 = \gamma', \dots, E_r)$ along γ so
that there exist positive smooth functions k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} of s with:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{\gamma'} E_1 = k_1 E_2, \\ \nabla_{\gamma'} E_2 = -k_1 E_1 + k_2 E_3, \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_{\gamma'} E_r = -k_{r-1} E_{r-1}. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

20 Here ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g and for the semi-Riemannian case we will
21 consider only space-like curves. The function k_j is called *the jth curvature* of γ and
22 the Frenet curve γ is called:

- 23 (1) a *geodesic* if $r = 1$; then we get the well-known equation $\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = 0$,
24 (2) a *circle* if $r = 2$ and k_1 is a constant; then, we have: $\nabla_{\gamma'} E_1 = k_1 E_2$ together
25 with $\nabla_{\gamma'} E_2 = -k_1 E_1$,
26 (3) a *helix of order r* if k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} are constants.

27 Returning to our framework (M, g, ξ, γ) with γ a r -Frenet curve we introduce
28 the following.

29 **Definition 2.** (i) The *structural angle* of γ is the function $\theta : J \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$ given by:

$$\cos \theta(s) = g(E_1(s), \xi) = \eta(E_1(s)). \quad (3)$$

30 (ii) γ is a *slant curve* (or more precisely θ -*slant curve*) if θ is a constant function,
31 [8, p. 361]. In the particular case of $\theta \equiv \frac{\pi}{2}$ (or $\frac{3\pi}{2}$), γ is called *Legendre curve* [1].

AQ: The usage of word "after" and "conform" (green color highlighted texts) is not clear. Do you mean "referring to"?



Unitary vector fields are Fermi–Walker transported

1 (iii) γ is called ξ -Rytov curve (after [10, p. 244]) if $\nabla_{E_1}\xi$ is parallel with E_1 ; extend-
 2 ing also the words of the cited book we say that ξ is *not-gyrotropic* with respect
 3 to γ .

4 The last tool we need is the Fermi–Walker transport used recently in [15–18].
 5 Let \mathcal{X}_γ be the set of vector fields along γ . To the data (M, g, γ) we associate the
 6 γ -Fermi–Walker derivative (see [10, p. 245] or [14, p. 207]): $\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}} : \mathcal{X}_\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\gamma$:

$$\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(X) = \nabla_{E_1}X + g(X, \nabla_{E_1}E_1)E_1 - g(X, E_1)\nabla_{E_1}E_1. \quad (4)$$

7 From the first Frenet equation we have:

$$\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(X) = \nabla_{E_1}X + k_1[g(X, E_2)E_1 - g(X, E_1)E_2] \quad (5)$$

8 and then:

$$\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(E_1) = 0, \quad \nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(E_2) = k_2E_3, \quad \nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(E_s) = \nabla_{E_1}E_s \quad (6)$$

9 for $3 \leq s \leq r$. As in the usual Levi-Civita case to which the Fermi–Walker deriva-
 10 tive reduces if γ is a geodesic for g , $X \in \mathcal{X}_\gamma$ is called γ -Fermi–Walker parallel if
 11 $\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(X) = 0$.

12 In the following we suppose that γ is non-geodesic i.e. $k_1 > 0$ which means $r \geq 2$.
 13 The main result of this paper is the following characterization of Legendre curves
 14 (satisfying the Rytov condition) similar to that of geodesics through Levi-Civita
 15 parallelism of tangent vector field.

16 **Theorem 3.** *The non-geodesic ξ -Rytov curve γ is a Legendre curve in the manifold*
 17 *(M, g, ξ) if and only if ξ is γ -Fermi–Walker parallel. It follows:*

$$\nabla_{E_1}\xi = -k_1\eta(E_2)E_1. \quad (7)$$

18 **Proof.** The relation (4) becomes:

$$\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(\xi) = \nabla_{E_1}\xi + \eta(\nabla_{E_1}E_1)E_1 - \eta(E_1)\nabla_{E_1}E_1. \quad (8)$$

19 From the Rytov condition we have:

$$\nabla_{E_1}\xi = g(\nabla_{E_1}\xi, E_1)E_1 = [E_1(\eta(E_1)) - \eta(\nabla_{E_1}E_1)]E_1 \quad (9)$$

20 and thus we get:

$$\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(\xi) = E_1(\eta(E_1))E_1 - k_1\eta(E_1)E_2. \quad (10)$$

21 Then $\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(\xi) = 0$ if and only if $\eta(E_1) = 0$. Returning with this relation in (9) we
 22 obtain (7). \square

23 **Remarks and Examples 4.**

24 (i) Trying to extend this result we can consider a *generalized Rytov curve*, namely
 25 a curve for which $\nabla_{E_1}\xi$ is orthogonal on E_2 . Analyzing a relation similar to (9)
 26 we derive that a generalized Rytov curve for which ξ is Fermi–Walker parallel
 27 reduces to a Rytov curve.

M. Crasmareanu & C. Frigiou

- 1 (ii) In the framework provided by Theorem 3 the sectional curvature of the plane
2 spanned by ξ and E_1 is:

$$\begin{aligned} K(\xi, E_1) &= g(R(\xi, E_1)E_1, \xi) \\ &= [\xi(k_1) + k_1^2\eta(E_2)]\eta(E_2) + k_1g(\nabla_\xi E_2, \xi) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_{E_1}[E_1, \xi] + \nabla_{[E_1, \xi]}E_1, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

- 3 But the last term of previous equation is exactly *the symmetric product* of [6, p. 118]
4 with respect to the Levi-Civita connection:

$$\langle E_1, [E_1, \xi] \rangle_\nabla := \nabla_{E_1}[E_1, \xi] + \nabla_{[E_1, \xi]}E_1. \quad (12)$$

5 Let us recall the geometrical meaning of this product **after** the cited book. We say
6 that a distribution D on M is *geodesically invariant* under a linear connection ∇ of
7 M if, as a submanifold of the tangent bundle TM , D is invariant under the geodesic
8 spray associated with ∇ . Then the distribution D is geodesically invariant if and
9 only if the symmetric product of any D -valued vector fields is again a D -valued
10 vector field.

11 So, let us suppose that the vector fields E_1 and $[E_1, \xi]$ are linearly independent
12 and then we consider D spanned by E_1 and $[E_1, \xi]$. If this distribution is geodesically
13 invariant by g it results the existence of two smooth functions $\alpha, \beta \in C^\infty(M)$ such
14 that:

$$\langle E_1, [E_1, \xi] \rangle_\nabla = \alpha E_1 + \beta [E_1, \xi] \quad (13)$$

15 and then we get the following.

16 **Proposition 5.** *Let γ be a non-geodesic ξ -Rytov curve and Legendre curve for ξ .
17 Suppose also that the distribution spanned by E_1 and $[E_1, \xi]$ is geodesically invari-
18 ant for the Levi-Civita connection of g . Then the sectional curvature of the plane
19 spanned by ξ and E_1 is:*

$$K(\xi, E_1) = [\xi(k_1) + k_1^2\eta(E_2)]\eta(E_2) + k_1g(\nabla_\xi E_2, \xi) + \beta\eta([E_1, \xi]). \quad (14)$$

- 20 (iii) Suppose that ξ is Killing and in its Killing equation:

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y \xi) = 0,$$

21 let $X = Y = E_1$. Then, with (7), it results $2k_1\eta(E_2) = 0$ and we get that $\eta(E_2) = 0$
22 and hence: (i) ξ is parallel along γ ; (ii) $\xi|_\gamma \in \text{span}\{E_3, \dots, E_r\}$. For $r = 3$ this
23 implies that $\xi|_\gamma = \pm E_3$. Let us point out that if ξ is parallel along the curve γ then
24 the ξ -Rytov condition is satisfied and its Fermi–Walker derivative is:

$$\nabla_\gamma^{\text{FW}}(\xi) = k_1 I \wedge \eta(E_1, E_2), \quad (5Par)$$

25 where I is the identity (Kronecker) endomorphism and wedge is the exterior
26 product.



AQ: Please check.

Unitary vector fields are Fermi–Walker transported

1 A particular case is when (M, g) is a Lie group with a bi-invariant metric and ξ is
2 a (unitary) left-invariant vector field. Then ξ is a Killing vector field and moreover:

$$\nabla_{E_1} \xi = \frac{1}{2}[E_1, \xi]$$

3 which yields, via the discussion above, that (7) reduces to $[E_1, \xi] = 0$ which means
4 that E_1 is a Lie symmetry of ξ . Plugging this relation in (11) we get that: $K(\xi, E_1) =$
5 $k_1 g(\nabla_{\xi} E_2, \xi) = k_1 \eta(\nabla_{\xi} E_2)$.

6 More generally than Killing condition suppose that (g, ξ) is a Ricci soliton on
7 M ; then there exists a scalar λ such that:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g + 2\text{Ric}_g + 2\lambda g = 0, \quad (15)$$

8 where \mathcal{L}_{ξ} is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field ξ and Ric_g is the
9 Ricci tensor field of g . Applying this relation on the pair (E_1, E_1) and using (7) it
10 follows:

$$k_1 \eta(E_2) = \text{Ric}_g(E_1, E_1) + \lambda. \quad (16)$$

11 In particular, if (M, g) is a Ricci-flat manifold i.e. $\text{Ric}_g = 0$ (or ξ is conformal
12 Killing vector field with $-\lambda$ the conformal factor) then $k_1 \eta(E_2)$ is the constant
13 (function) λ . It follows that this Ricci soliton is expanding if $\eta(E_2) > 0$, steady
14 if $\xi|_{\gamma} \in \text{span}\{E_3, \dots, E_r\}$ and shrinking if $\eta(E_2) < 0$. For $r = 3$ the steady case
15 implies that $\xi|_{\gamma} = \pm E_3$.

16 (iv) Suppose $m = 3$ and γ a ξ -Rytov Legendre curve which is r -Frenet with
17 $r \geq 2$. Applying ∇_{E_1} to $\xi|_{\gamma} = \eta(E_2)E_2 + \eta(E_3)E_3$ and using the Frenet equations (2)
18 we obtain, for $\eta(E_2) \cdot \eta(E_3) \neq 0$:

$$k_2 = -\frac{E_1(\eta(E_3))}{\eta(E_2)} = \frac{E_1(\eta(E_2))}{\eta(E_3)}. \quad (17)$$

19 If $\eta(E_2) = 0$ then $\xi|_{\gamma} = \pm E_3$ and $k_2 = 0$. This yields the following remark,
20 namely (v).

21 (v) If γ is a circle it results that γ is E_2 -Rytov curve (by restricting the definition
22 to a vector field along the curve) and also Legendre for E_2 . In conclusion, E_2
23 is γ -Fermi Walker parallel along a circle. The same result holds for a helix with
24 $k_2 = \dots = k_{r-1} = 0$.

25 In this case, the formula (11) becomes:

$$K(E_1, E_2) = k_1^2 + g(\langle E_1, [E_1, E_2] \rangle_{\nabla}, E_2). \quad (18)$$

26 Now, we can provide an example. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ with the warped metric:

$$g = e^{2z}(dx^2 + dy^2) + dz^2 \quad (19)$$

27 and the (vertical) vector field $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ with $\eta = dz$. The curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$:

$$\gamma(s) = (\sin s, -\cos s, 0) \quad (20)$$

M. Crasmareanu & C. Frigiou

1 is unitary Legendre curve for ξ and circle for (M, g) with $k_1 = 1$. We have:

$$E_1 = (\cos s, \sin s, 0), \quad E_2 = (0, 0, -1) = -\xi. \quad (21)$$

2 It follows that:

- 3 (1) γ is a vertical-Rytov curve,
 4 (2) ξ is γ -Fermi-Walker parallel along γ .

5 A motivation for the above choice of γ is the fact that in a real space form a unit
 6 speed curve is a circle if and only if it is a plane curve i.e. it lies in a 2-dimensional
 7 totally geodesic submanifold. The above manifold is a model of hyperbolic geometry
 8 having the constant curvature $K = -1$; see also [7].

9 (vi) Recall, after [11, p. 58], that ξ on an affine pair (M, ∇) is a *concircular*
 10 *vector field* if: $\nabla\xi = \rho I$ with ρ a smooth function on M and I the Kronecker tensor
 11 field. It follows that every curve on M is ξ -Rytov. An important remark of [11, p. 61]
 12 is that in the Riemannian case (i.e. ∇ is the Levi-Civita of a metric g) a concircular
 13 vector field is a gradient.

14 Suppose now that $\xi = \nabla f$ with f a function on M . The Legendre condition for
 15 the curve γ with respect to ξ means that f is constant along γ or, in other words,
 16 $f|_\gamma$ is a first integral for E_1 . Let us point also that the unit norm of ξ means that
 17 f is a *distance function* according to [20].

18 For example, in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{E}^2 with the global coordinates (x, y) the
 19 distance function:

$$f(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (22)$$

20 yields the radial vector field on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\xi = \nabla f = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (23)$$

21 and the unitary Legendre curve for ξ is the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 .

22 (vii) Returning to Example 1 suppose now that the contact metric manifold is
 23 endowed with the tensor field ϕ of $(1, 1)$ -type satisfying (see [4]):

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad g(\phi \cdot, \phi \cdot) = g - \eta \otimes \eta. \quad (24)$$

24 A new tensor field of the same type is (see [4, p. 84]): $h = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$. So, after Lemma 6.2
 25 of the same citation we have:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h X. \quad (25)$$

26 It results that γ is a ξ -Rytov curve if and only if E_1 is an eigenvector of the
 27 tensor field $\phi + \phi h$. In conditions of Theorem 3 the relation (7) gives the eigenvalue
 28 $k_1 \eta(E_2)$.

29 **Proposition 6.** *Let γ be a Legendre curve in a K -contact (particularly Sasakian)
 30 manifold (M, g, ξ, ϕ) . Then γ is not ξ -Rytov.*

Unitary vector fields are Fermi–Walker transported

1 **Proof.** Suppose that γ is ξ -Rytov and we can apply Theorem 3. The K -contact
2 condition means $h = 0$ conform [4, p. 87] and with the discussion above we have
3 the eigenvalue $k_1\eta(E_2)$ for ϕ corresponding to the eigenvector E_1 . But the only real
4 eigenvalue of ϕ is zero and its eigenvector is ξ . It results that $\xi|_\gamma = \pm E_1$ which is a
5 contradiction with the Legendre condition. \square

6 Combining this result with remark (v) it results that in a K -contact (particularly
7 Sasakian) manifold there does not exist a circle with $E_2 = \xi|_\gamma$. Hence we study two
8 other cases:

- 9 (A) (M, g, ξ, ϕ) is cosymplectic i.e. $\nabla_X \xi = 0$ for all vector fields X . The condition
10 (7) yields $\eta(E_2) = 0$.
11 (B) (M, g, ξ, ϕ) is f -Kenmotsu, $f \in C^\infty(M)$ conform [7], which means that
12 $\nabla_X \xi = f(X - \eta(X)\xi)$. Again the condition (7) yields that $k_1\eta(E_2) = -f|_\gamma$.
13 The example of remark (v) is of this type with $f \equiv 1$.

14 As example let M be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $M_{2n+2}(c)$
15 and fix N a unit normal vector field on M . Let g be the Riemannian metric of M
16 induced from the Fubini–Study metric of $M_{2n+2}(c)$ and denote by J the almost
17 complex structure of the ambient manifold and by A the shape operator of M .
18 Define $\xi = -JN$ and then for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the decomposition holds:
19 $JX = \varphi X + \eta(X)N$. The data (φ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure on
20 M and from the Kählerian nature of $M_{2n+2}(c)$ by making use of the Gauss and
21 Weingarten formulas, we obtain for the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g :

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \varphi AX. \quad (26)$$

22 Then the condition (7) means that E_1 is an eigenvector of $\varphi \circ A$ with the eigenvalue
23 $-k_1\eta(E_2)$.

24 Let us study two cases:

- 25 (1) the shape operator has the form $A = \alpha I$. From [12, Lemma 16.1, p. 103] it
26 follows that α is a constant and then $c = 0$. Then, the condition (7) reads:

$$\alpha\varphi E_1 = -k_1\eta(E_2)E_1 \quad (27)$$

27 and if $\alpha = 0$ then $\eta(E_2) = 0$ which gives the consequences of remark (iii). For $\alpha \neq 0$
28 it results that $-\frac{k_1\eta(E_2)}{\alpha}$ is an eigenvalue of φ . It results again that $\eta(E_2) = 0$ and
29 $\xi|_\gamma = \pm E_1$ which is a contradiction with the Legendre condition.

- 30 (2) The shape operator A has two distinct eigenvalues; then these eigenvalues are
31 constant [12, p. 126], and $A = \sigma I + (\rho - \sigma)\xi \oplus \eta$ as [12, (19.17), p. 129], ρ and σ
32 being different to zero. From $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ it results the same relation (27) but with σ
33 instead α .

34 (viii) Suppose now that the data (M, g, ξ, η, ϕ) is an almost paracontact metric
35 manifold i.e. (see [25]):

$$\phi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad g(\phi \cdot, \phi \cdot) = -g + \eta \otimes \eta. \quad (28)$$

M. Crasmareanu & C. Frigiou

1 Let us remark that here the metric g has the signature $(n + 1, n)$ and ξ is unitary
2 space-like vector field. From [25, Lemma 2.5, p. 41] we have in the paracontact
3 metric manifolds (conform [25, Definition 2.1, p. 39]):

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X + \phi h X \quad (29)$$

4 and then the ξ -Rytov condition means that E_1 is an eigenvalue of $\phi - \phi h$ with the
5 eigenvalue $k_1 \eta(E_2)$. It results again the impossibility of circles with $E_2 = \pm \xi|_\gamma$.

6 **Proposition 7.** *Let γ be a space-like Frenet curve of order $r = 2$ with $E_2 = \pm \xi|_\gamma$
7 in a K -paracontact (particularly paraSasakian) manifold (M, g, ξ, ϕ) . Then γ is not
8 a circle on M .*

9 **Proof.** Suppose that γ is a circle. From $E_2 = \pm \xi$ and remark (v) it results that
10 γ is Legendre and we can apply Theorem 3. The K -paracontact condition means
11 $h = 0$ conform [4, p. 87] and again from hypothesis we have $\eta(E_2) = \pm 1$. With the
12 discussion above we have the eigenvalue k_1 for ϕ . But the nonzero eigenvalues of ϕ
13 are ± 1 and we get the curvature: $k_1 = 1$. Returning in (29) with $X = E_1$ it follows:

$$\nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -\phi(E_1) = -E_2 = -\xi \quad (30)$$

14 and then applying ϕ it results: $E_1 = \phi(\xi) = 0$ which is impossible. \square

15 (ix) A linear connection naturally associated to the pair (g, ξ) is the *Weyl con-*
16 *nection* [21, p. 1089]:

$$\nabla_X^\xi Y = \nabla_X Y - \frac{1}{2} \eta(X) Y - \frac{1}{2} \eta(Y) X + \frac{1}{2} g(X, Y) \xi. \quad (31)$$

17 Then:

$$\nabla_{E_1}^\xi \xi = \nabla_{E_1} \xi - \frac{1}{2} E_1 \quad (32)$$

which means that the Rytov condition can be also expressed in terms of ∇^ξ instead
of ∇ with the same argument. In the Legendre case we get from (7):

$$\nabla_{E_1}^\xi \xi = - \left[k_1 \eta(E_2) + \frac{1}{2} \right] E_1. \quad (33)$$

AQ: Can we
insert the
acknowledgment
section from tex
file?



AQ: Please
check.

20 References

- 21 [1] Ch. Baikoussis and D. E. Blair, On Legendre curves in contact 3-manifolds, *Geom.*
22 *Dedicata* **49**(2) (1994) 135–142, MR1266269 (95a:53048).
23 [2] M. Barros, General helices and a theorem of Lancret, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125**(5)
24 (1997) 1503–1509, MR1363411 (97g:53066).
25 [3] M. Belkhef, I.-E. Hirica, R. Rosca and L. Verstraelen, On Legendre curves in
26 Riemannian and Lorentzian Sasaki spaces, *Soochow J. Math.* **28**(1) (2002) 81–91,
27 MR1893607 (2003f:53063).
28 [4] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, 2nd
29 edn., Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 203 (Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2010),
30 MR2682326 (2012d:53245).

Unitary vector fields are Fermi–Walker transported

- 1 [5] D. E. Blair, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, Deformations of Legendre
2 curves, *Note Mat.* **15**(1) (1995) 99–110, MR1611801 (99b:53076).
- 3 [6] F. Bullo and A. D. Lewis, *Geometric Control of Mechanical Systems: Modeling,*
4 *Analysis, and Design for Simple Mechanical Control Systems*, Texts in Applied Math-
5 ematics, Vol. 49 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005), MR2099139 (2005h:70030).
- 6 [7] C. Călin, M. Crasmareanu and M.-I. Munteanu, Slant curves in 3-dimensional f -
7 Kenmotsu manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **394**(1) (2012) 400–407, MR2926230.
- 8 [8] J. T. Cho, J.-I. Inoguchi and J.-E. Lee, On slant curves in Sasakian 3-manifolds, *Bull.*
9 *Aust. Math. Soc.* **74**(3) (2006) 359–367, MR2273746 (2007g:53059).
- 10 [9] J. T. Cho and J.-E. Lee, Slant curves in contact pseudo-Hermitian 3-manifolds, *Bull.*
11 *Aust. Math. Soc.* **78**(3) (2008) 383–396, MR2472274 (2009m:53135).
- 12 [10] D. Chruscinski and A. Jamiolkowski, *Geometric Phases in Classical and Quantum*
13 *Mechanics*, Progress in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 36 (Birkhäuser Boston, Boston,
14 MA, 2004), MR2060919 (2005g:81125).
- 15 [11] M. Crampin, Conircular vector fields and special conformal Killing tensors, in
16 *Differential Geometric Methods in Mechanics and Field Theory*, eds. F. Cantrijn,
17 M. Crampin and B. Langerock (Academia Press, Gent, 2007), pp. 57–70,
18 <http://cage.ugent.be/zwc/geomech/mcrampin/procWilly.pdf>.
- 19 [12] M. Djoric and M. Okumura, *CR Submanifolds of Complex Projective Space*,
20 *Developments in Mathematics*, Vol. 19 (Springer, New York, 2010), MR2566776
21 (2010h:53072).
- 22 [13] D. Fetcu, Biharmonic Legendre curves in Sasakian space forms, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*
23 **45**(2) (2008) 393–404, MR2389544 (2008m:53159).
- 24 [14] B. Z. Iliev, *Handbook of Normal Frames and Coordinates*, Progress in Mathematical
25 Physics, Vol. 42 (Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 2006), MR2262558 (2007m:53001).
- 26 [15] B. Z. Iliev, Connections, parallel transports and transports along paths, *Int. J. Geom.*
27 *Meth. Mod. Phys.* **5**(7) (2008) 1137–1162, MR2469292 (2009i:53017).
- 28 [16] F. Karakuş and Y. Yayli, On the Fermi–Walker derivative and non-rotating frame,
29 *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **9**(8) (2012), Article ID: 1250066, 11 pp, MR2994572.
- 30 [17] F. Karakuş and Y. Yayli, The Fermi–Walker derivative in Lie groups, *Int. J. Geom.*
31 *Meth. Mod. Phys.* **10**(7) (2013), Article ID: 1320011, 10 pp, MR3071775.
- 32 [18] F. Karakuş and Y. Yayli, The Fermi derivative in the hyper-surfaces, *Int. J. Geom.*
33 *Meth. Mod. Phys.* **12**(1) (2015), Article ID: 1550002, 12 pp, MR3293858.
- 34 [19] J.-E. Lee, On Legendre curves in contact pseudo-Hermitian 3-manifolds, *Bull. Aust.*
35 *Math. Soc.* **81**(1) (2010) 156–164, MR2584930 (2011a:53096).
- 36 [20] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, 2nd edn., Graduate Texts in Mathematics,
37 Vol. 171 (Springer, New York, 2006), MR2243772 (2007a:53001).
- 38 [21] J. A. Pullin, The parallel transport of a vector: Its physical meaning in three geometri-
39 cal unified field theories, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **18**(11) (1986) 1087–1091, MR0862215
40 (87k:83087).
- 41 [22] K. Smoczyk, Closed Legendre geodesics in Sasaki manifolds, *New York J. Math.* **9**
42 (2003) 23–47 (electronic), MR2016178 (2004j:53085).
- 43 [23] J. Welyczko, On Legendre curves in 3-dimensional normal almost contact metric
44 manifolds, *Soochow J. Math.* **33**(4) (2007) 929–937, MR2404614 (2009d:53119).
- 45 [24] J. Welyczko, On Legendre curves in 3-dimensional normal almost paracontact metric
46 manifolds, *Results Math.* **54**(3–4) (2009) 377–387, MR2534454 (2010g:53153).
- 47 [25] S. Zamkovoy, Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds, *Ann. Global Anal.*
48 *Geom.* **36**(1) (2008) 37–60, MR2520029 (2010d:53029).