

where

$$P(t) = |c_0| + (|c_1| + |c_2|) \left(1 + 2t + \frac{t^2}{2}\right), \quad t \in I,$$

and

$$Q(t) = \int_0^t k(s) P(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t [(1+\tau) + k(\tau) + (1+\tau)k(\tau)] d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \in I.$$

Thus (43) gives a bound on  $|y'(t)|$  in terms of the known functions, and hence integrating both sides of (43) from 0 to  $t$  we can obtain a bound on  $|y(t)|$  for  $t \in I$ , where  $y(t)$  is a solution of (40).

We next consider the Volterra integral equation of the form

$$(44) \quad z(t) = f(t) + z(t) H\left(t, \int_0^t k(t, s, z(s)) ds\right),$$

where  $z, f \in C[I, R]$ ,  $K \in C[I \times I \times R, R]$ , and  $H \in C[I \times R, R]$ . Suppose that the functions  $k$  and  $H$  in (44) satisfy

$$(45) \quad |k(t, s, z(s))| \leq g(s) |z(s)|, \quad t, s \in I.$$

$$(46) \quad |H(t, u)| \leq M |u|, \quad t \in I,$$

where  $M > 0$  is a constant and  $g(t)$  is a real-valued nonnegative continuous function defined on  $I$ . Now, in view of the assumptions (45) and (46), we can establish very easily the bound on the solution  $z(t)$  of (44) by using Theorem 4 with  $n(t) = 1$  on a suitable subinterval of  $I$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Bellman — *The stability of solutions of linear differential equations*, Duke Math. Jour. 10 (1943), 643–647.
2. I. Bihari — *A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and applications to uniqueness problems of differential equations*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 7 (1956), 81–94.
3. T. H. Gronwall — *Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of the solutions of a system of differential equations*, Ann. Math. 20 (1919), 292–296.
4. B. G. Pachpatte — *An integral inequality similar to Bellman — Bihari inequality*, Bull. Soc. Math. Grèce 15 (1974), 7–12.
5. B. G. Pachpatte — *On some integral inequalities similar to Bellman — Bihari inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 49 (1975), 794–802.
6. B. G. Pachpatte — *On some generalizations of Bellman's Lemma*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 51 (1975), 141–150.
7. B. G. Pachpatte — *On some new integral inequalities for differential and integral equations*, J. Math. Physical Sci. 10 (1976), 101–116.

Received 22. XI 1976

Department of Mathematic  
Deogiri College  
Aurangabad (Maharashtra)  
India

#### NOTE ON AN ABSTRACT VOLTERRA EQUATION

BY

SERGIU AIZICOVICI

Following Barbu [1], [2] we use monotonicity methods to approach a class of abstract Volterra integral equations. Specifically we are concerned with establishing the existence of solutions of the integral equation

$$(1) \quad u(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s) Au(s) ds = f(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

under weak assumptions on the convolution kernel  $a: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ . Here  $u(t)$  is to be an element of a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $A$  — a nonlinear monotone operator on  $H$  and  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow H$ , a prescribed function. For background material on monotone operators, relevant to the present note, we refer the reader to [3], [4].

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space of norm  $|\cdot|$  and inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let  $V$  be a real Banach space such that  $V \subset H$ , algebraically and topologically. Consider a convex, lower semicontinuous, proper function  $\varphi: H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  and denote by  $A$  its subdifferential ( $A = \partial\varphi$ ). It is known that  $A$  is maximal monotone in  $H$ .

We treat Eq. (1) in case  $A = \partial\varphi$  making the following hypotheses:

(i)  $a: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$  is locally absolutely continuous,

(ii)  $a(0) > 0$ ,

(iii) the injection  $V \subset H$  is compact,

(iv)  $D(\varphi) \subset V$  and for each  $k > 0$  the set  $\{x; |\varphi(x)| + |x| \leq k\}$  is bounded in  $V$ ,

(v)  $f, f' \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H)$ ,

(vi)  $f(0) \in D(\varphi)$ .

**Definition.** By a solution of (1) we mean a function  $u: [0, \infty) \rightarrow H$  satisfying

$$u \in C([0, \infty); H), \quad u' \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H), \quad u(t) \in D(A), \quad \text{a.e. } t > 0.$$

There exists  $w \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H)$  such that  $w(t) \in Au(t)$ , a.e. on  $(0, \infty)$ ,

$$u(t) = \int_0^t a(t-s) \tau(s) ds = f(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

For simplicity we will write  $Au$  instead of  $\tau$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose (i)–(vi) hold. Then Eq. (1) has a solution satisfying:

$u \in L_{loc}^\infty(0, \infty; V)$ ,  $t \rightarrow \varphi(u(t))$  is locally absolutely continuous.

*Remark 1.* This result is related to that of Londen [6, Theorem 1]. Note that we remove Londen's restrictive requirement that  $a'$  be of bounded variation on an interval  $[0, T]$ . Our condition (iv) is not used in [6]. It should however be emphasized that there are important classes of  $\varphi$ 's of physical interest which satisfy (iv) (see e.g. [3], Chapter IV).

*Proof of the Theorem.* For each  $\lambda > 0$ , consider the regularized equation

$$(2) \quad u_\lambda(t) = \int_0^t a(t-s) A_\lambda u_\lambda(s) ds = f(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$A_\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda}(I - J_\lambda), \quad J_\lambda = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}.$$

Inasmuch as  $A_\lambda$  is Lipschitzian it follows that (2) has a unique locally absolutely continuous solution  $u_\lambda: [0, \infty) \rightarrow H$ . Differentiating (2) yields

$$(3) \quad u_\lambda'(t) + a(0) A_\lambda u_\lambda(t) + \int_0^t a'(t-s) A_\lambda u_\lambda(s) ds = f'(t), \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \infty).$$

Remember that  $A_\lambda = \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda$ , where

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_\lambda(r) = \min_{y \in H} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|y - r\|^2 + \varphi(y) \right\}, & r \in H, \lambda > 0, \\ \varphi(J_\lambda x) \leq \varphi_\lambda(x) \leq \varphi(x), & x \in H. \end{cases}$$

Multiply (3) by  $A_\lambda u_\lambda(t)$  and integrate over  $(0, t)$ . This gives

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi_\lambda(u_\lambda(t)) + a(0) \int_0^t \|A_\lambda u_\lambda(s)\|^2 ds \leq \varphi_\lambda(f(0)) + \\ & + \int_0^t \|a'(s)\| ds \int_0^t \|A_\lambda u_\lambda(s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|f'(s)\| \|A_\lambda u_\lambda(s)\| ds, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We fix  $T > 0$  such that

$$(6) \quad 2 \int_0^T \|a'(s)\| ds \leq a(0),$$

and restrict ourselves to  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then using (4), (5), (6) one has

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi(J_\lambda u_\lambda(t)) + \frac{a(0)}{2} \int_0^t \|A_\lambda u_\lambda(s)\|^2 ds \leq \varphi(f(0)) + \\ & + \int_0^t \|f'(s)\| \|A_\lambda u_\lambda(s)\| ds, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Since we may assume (without loss of generality) that  $\varphi \geq 0$ , we infer from (7) that

$$(8) \quad \{A_\lambda u_\lambda, \lambda > 0\} \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

Hence, by (2), (3) one concludes

$$(9) \quad \{u_\lambda, \lambda > 0\} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H),$$

$$(10) \quad \{u_\lambda', \lambda > 0\} \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

Set  $v_\lambda(t) = J_\lambda u_\lambda(t)$  and remark that for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ , (9) and (10) remain satisfied if  $u_\lambda$  is replaced by  $v_\lambda$ . Consequently, by (7),

$$(11) \quad \varphi(v_\lambda(t)) + \|v_\lambda(t)\| \leq C, \quad t \in [0, T], \text{ for } \lambda \text{ sufficiently small}$$

Here and in the sequel  $C$  denotes various finite positive constants independent of  $\lambda$ . Now use (iii), (iv) and a well-known compactness criterion [5, Theorem 5.1, Chapter 1] to deduce that

$$(12) \quad v_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } C(0, T; H),$$

for a suitable sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $A_\lambda u_\lambda(t) = Av_\lambda(t)$  one gets by (8) (12) and the maximal monotonicity of  $A$ ,

$$A_{\lambda_n} u_{\lambda_n} \rightharpoonup Au, \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

We also have

$$u_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u, \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; H),$$

$$u_{\lambda_n}' \rightarrow u', \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

Take  $\lambda = \lambda_n$  in (2) and let  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ . One obtains

$$u(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s) Au(s) ds = f(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

In addition, from (11) it follows

$$(13) \quad |\varphi(u(t))| \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

This implies (cf. (iv))

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; V).$$

Then, using the fact that  $u', Au \in L^2(0, T; H)$  we infer (see [4, Chapter 3, Lemma 3.3]) that the function  $t \rightarrow \varphi(u(t))$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, T]$ .

To conclude the proof, it remains to show that  $u(t)$  can be extended outside  $[0, T]$ . Let us consider the equation

$$(14) \quad z(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Az(s)ds \ni f(t+T) - \int_0^T a(t+T-s)Au(s)ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Remark that  $f_1, f_1' \in L^2(0, T; H)$ , where  $f_1(t)$  denotes the right-hand side of (14). By (13) one also has  $f_1(0) = u(T) \in D(\varphi)$ . Therefore the preceding arguments lead to a solution  $z: [0, T] \rightarrow H$  of (14). It follows that

$$\tilde{u}(t) = \begin{cases} u(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ z(t-T), & T < t \leq 2T. \end{cases}$$

is the desired extension of  $u(t)$  on  $[0, 2T]$ . The same method enables us to prolong  $u(t)$  on the whole half-axis.

*Remark 2.* The above proof can be easily adapted to the case where  $a(t)$  is replaced by an operator valued kernel  $E: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H, H)$ , such that

For each  $x \in H$ ,  $t \rightarrow E(t)x$  is locally absolutely continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ ,

$$E' \in L^1_{loc}(0, \infty; \mathcal{L}(H, H)),$$

$$(E(0)x, x) \geq k|x|^2, \quad k > 0, \quad x \in H.$$

By  $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$  we have denoted the space of all linear continuous operators on  $H$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let (iii), (iv) be satisfied. In addition suppose

$$(15) \quad a \in L^1_{loc}(0, \infty; R)$$

$$(16) \quad f \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H),$$

$$(17) \quad u_0 \in D(\varphi).$$

Then the equation

$$(18) \quad u'(t) + mAu(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds \ni f(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t > 0, \quad m > 0, \quad A = \hat{c}\varphi,$$

with initial condition

$$(19) \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

has a solution  $u(t)$  such that

$$(20) \quad u \in C([0, \infty); H) \cap L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; V),$$

$$(21) \quad u', Au \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H),$$

$$(22) \quad t \rightarrow \varphi(u(t)) \text{ is locally absolutely continuous.}$$

*Proof.* We need only observe that (18), (19) are equivalent to

$$u(t) + \int_0^t b(t-s)Au(s)ds \ni g(t),$$

where

$$b(t) = m + \int_0^t a(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$g(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t f(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Consequently, by virtue of (15)–(17) our Theorem applies.

**Corollary 2.** Let the assumptions of Corollary 1 be fulfilled. Suppose moreover we are given a continuous function  $h: [-t_0, 0] \rightarrow H$ ,  $t_0 > 0$  satisfying

$$h(t) \in D(A), \quad \text{a.e. on } (-t_0, 0); \quad h(0) \in D(\varphi),$$

$$Ah \in L^2(-t_0, 0; H).$$

Then there exists at least one continuous solution  $u: [-t_0, \infty) \rightarrow H$  of the problem

$$(23) \quad u'(t) + Au(t-t_0) + mAu(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds \ni f(t), \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \infty), \quad m > 0, \quad A = \hat{c}\varphi$$

$$(24) \quad u(t) = h(t), \quad -t_0 \leq t \leq 0,$$

such that (20) – (22) hold.

*Proof.* On  $(0, t_0)$  Eq. (23) can be rewritten as

$$(25) \quad u'(t) + mAu(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds \ni f(t) - Ah(t-t_0), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, t_0),$$

with

$$(26) \quad u(0) = b(0).$$

Applying Corollary 1 one obtains a solution  $u_1(t)$  of (25), (26) such that

$$(27) \quad u_1 \in C([0, t_0]; H) \cap L^1(0, t_0; V),$$

$$(28) \quad u_1', Au_1 \in L^2(0, t_0; H),$$

$$(29) \quad t \rightarrow \varphi(u_1(t)) \text{ is absolutely continuous on } [0, t_0].$$

To extend  $u_1(t)$  on  $(t_0, 2t_0]$  consider the problem

$$(30) \quad \begin{cases} v'(t) + mAv(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Av(s)ds = f(t+t_0) - Au_1(t) - \\ - \int_0^{t_0} a(t+t_0-s)Au_1(s)ds, \text{ a.e. on } (0, t_0), \\ v(0) = u_1(t_0). \end{cases}$$

From Corollary 1 one derives the existence of a function  $v: [0, t_0] \rightarrow H$  satisfying (30). Furthermore (27)–(29) remain valid with  $v$  instead of  $u_1$ . It is now obvious that

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} u_1(t), & 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \\ v(t-t_0), & t_0 < t \leq 2t_0 \end{cases}$$

is a solution of (23), (24) on  $[0, 2t_0]$ .

In a similar manner one continues  $u(t)$  on  $(2t_0, \infty)$ . Clearly, by (27)–(29) assertions (20)–(22) are satisfied.

#### REFERENCES

1. Barbu V. — *Nonlinear Volterra equations in a Hilbert Space*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 6 (1975), 728–741.
2. Barbu V. — *On a nonlinear Volterra integral equation on a Hilbert space* (to appear).
3. Barbu V. — *Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Noordhoff, International Publishing & Editura Academiei, Leyden-Bucuresti, 1976.
4. Brézis H. — *Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semigroupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, Math. Studies 5, North Holland, 1973.
5. Lions J. L. — *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
6. London S. O. — *On an integral equation in a Hilbert space*, MRC Technical Summary Report 1527, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, 1975.

Received 9. IV. 1976

Seminarul matematic  
Universitatea „M. I. Cuza”  
România, Iași

#### WEAKLY ALMOST PERIODIC SYSTEMS

BY

J. MONTGOMERY (University of Rhode Island), R. SINE (University of Rhode Island),  
E. THOMAS (Suny, Albany)

**1. Introduction.** In this note we announce some results concerning discrete actions  $(X, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a continuous self map (not necessarily a homeomorphism) of a compact metric space  $X$ . The proofs of these and related results will appear elsewhere [9]. Let  $T$  denote the operator on  $C(X)$  (complex valued continuous maps on  $X$ ) induced by  $\Phi$ , thus  $T(f) = f \circ \Phi$ .

**Definition.** We say  $T$  and  $\Phi$  are weakly almost periodic, or that  $(X, \Phi)$  is a w.a.p. system, provided the semigroup  $\{T^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is precompact in the weak operator topology.

This definition may be restated in various ways. For example it is nontrivially equivalent to the statement that in  $C(X)$  with the weak topology (bounded pointwise convergence) each orbit  $\{T^n(f)\}$  is precompact. If the condition in the definition holds in the strong operator topology, we say  $T$  and  $\Phi$  are strongly almost periodic (s.a.p.). Weak almost periodicity arises and has been studied in various contexts: topology [3], [7], symbolic dynamics [11], and operator theory [10], [11].

**2. General Theory.** It can be shown [4], [6], that  $T$  induces a decomposition  $C(X) = C_0 \oplus C_1$  where for each  $f \in C_0$  the weak closure of  $\{T^n f\}$  contains 0 and each  $f$  in  $C_1$  is the uniform limit of finite linear combinations of unimodular eigenfunctions. This decomposition, together with results on Markov operators from [5], provide the key tools for developing the general theory.

We begin with the minimal sets of  $\Phi$ , that is, sets which are non-empty, closed,  $\Phi$ -invariant and minimal with these properties. Since  $X$  is compact, minimal sets exist.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $(X, \Phi)$  is a w.a.p. system and  $E$  is minimal, then  $\Phi|_E$  is a s.a.p. homeomorphism. In fact,  $E$  is a monothetic group, [2], and  $\Phi|_E$  is translation by a transitive group element.*

It can also be shown in the above context that  $E$  supports exactly one invariant probability measure. The regularity described in Theorem 2.1 carries over to the union of all the minimal sets, which we call center of the system and which we denote hereafter by  $M$ . The results about  $M$