

LATTICEAL REPRESENTATIONS OF GROUPS

BY

MARIUS TĂRNĂUCEANU

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of latticeal representation of a group and also to give some connections between the linear representations of a group and its latticeal representations.

1. Preliminaries. Let G be a group. The notion of G -lattice (introduced by the author in the paper [6]) appeared by the extension of the situation in which the group G acts on its subgroup lattice via conjugation.

A G -lattice is a G -set L (relative to an action ρ of G on L ; for $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$, we denote by $g \circ \ell$ the element $\rho(g)(\ell) \in L$) endowed with a lattice structure (we denote by " \wedge " and " \vee " the binary operations of L) such that there are satisfied the following compatibility conditions:

- i) $g \circ (\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2) = (g \circ \ell_1) \wedge (g \circ \ell_2)$,
- ii) $g \circ (\ell_1 \vee \ell_2) = (g \circ \ell_1) \vee (g \circ \ell_2)$,

for any $g \in G$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in L$.

If L and L' are two G -lattices, then a lattice homomorphism $u : L \rightarrow L'$ which satisfies the condition $u(g \circ \ell) = g \circ u(\ell)$, for any $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$, is called a G -lattice homomorphism. Moreover, if the map u is one-to-one and onto, then it is called a G -lattice isomorphism.

In his book [7] the author considered the category G -lat. of G -lattices (i.e. the category whose objects are all G -lattices and whose homomorphisms are G -lattice homomorphisms) and he made some constructions in this category. Among these we remind the following:

A G -sublattice of a G -lattice L is a sublattice L' of L satisfying the property:

$$G \circ L' = \{g \circ \ell' \mid g \in G, \ell' \in L'\} \subseteq L'.$$

If L is a G -lattice having the initial element 0 and $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite family of G -sublattices of L , then we say that L is the *direct \vee -sum* of the family $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ (and we denote this fact by $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$), if the following equalities hold:

- i) $L = \bigvee_{i \in I} L_i$.
- ii) $L_j \wedge \left(\bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} L_i \right) = \{0\}$, for any $j \in I$.

A G -congruence on a G -lattice L is a congruence relation " \sim " on L which has the property that $\ell \sim \ell'$ ($\ell, \ell' \in L$) implies $g \circ \ell \sim g \circ \ell'$, for any $g \in G$. If " \sim " is a G -congruence on the G -lattice L , then, defining $g \circ [\ell] = [g \circ \ell]$, for all $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$, the factor lattice $L/\sim = \{[\ell] \mid \ell \in L\}$ of L modulo " \sim " becomes a G -lattice, which is called the *factor G -lattice* of L relative to " \sim ".

Let $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of G -lattices. Then, defining:

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell_i)_{i \in I} \wedge (\ell'_i)_{i \in I} &= (\ell_i \wedge \ell'_i)_{i \in I}, \\ (\ell_i)_{i \in I} \vee (\ell'_i)_{i \in I} &= (\ell_i \vee \ell'_i)_{i \in I}, \\ g \circ (\ell_i)_{i \in I} &= (g \circ \ell_i)_{i \in I}, \end{aligned}$$

the cartesian product $\bigtimes_{i \in I} L_i = \{(\ell_i)_{i \in I} \mid \ell_i \in L_i, \text{ for any } i \in I\}$ becomes a G -lattice, which is called the *direct product* of the G -lattices L_i , $i \in I$.

With respect to these concepts we mention three results (see [7], 2.2, Propositions 4, 6 and 8), which are used in the rest of this paper.

Proposition 1. *Let L be a distributive G -lattice and $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of G -sublattices of L . If L has the initial element 0 and the family*

$(L_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies the property $L_j \wedge \left(\bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ i \neq j}} L_i \right) = \{0\}$, for any $j \in I$, then the following two conditions are equivalent:

i) $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L_i$.

ii) Every element $\ell \in L$ can be written uniquely as $\ell = \bigvee_{i \in I} \ell_i$, where $\ell_i \in L_i$, for any $i \in I$.

Proposition 2. Let $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of G -lattices and L be its direct product. If the G -lattices L_i , $i \in I$, have trivial initial elements, then there exists a G -sublattice family $(L'_i)_{i \in I}$ of L which satisfies the following properties:

a) $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L'_i$.

b) $L'_i \cong L_i$ (G -lattice isomorphism), for any $i \in I$.

Proposition 3. Let $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of G -lattices and \sim_i be a G -congruence on L_i , for any $i \in I$. Then the binary relation $\bigtimes_{i \in I} \sim_i$ on the

direct product $\bigtimes_{i \in I} L_i$, defined by:

$$(\ell_i)_{i \in I} \bigtimes_{i \in I} \sim_i (\ell'_i)_{i \in I} \text{ iff } \ell_i \sim_i \ell'_i, \text{ for all } i \in I,$$

is a G -congruence.

Conversely, any G -congruence on the direct product $\bigtimes_{i \in I} L_i$ is of this form.

2. Main results

2.1. The category of latticeal representations of a group. Similarly to the case of linear representations of groups, where, given a group G

and a field K , there exists an "equivalence" between the concept of $K[G]$ -module and the concept of linear K -representation of the group G , for the concept of G -lattice there exists an "equivalent concept". This is the concept of latticeal representation of the group G .

Let (G, \cdot, e) be a group and $L(G)$ be its subgroup lattice.

Definition 1. Let (L, \wedge, \vee) be a lattice and $(\text{Aut}(L), \circ)$ be the automorphism group of L . A group homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ is called a *latticeal representation* of G by L (in this case L is called the *lattice of the representation* f). Moreover, if the homomorphism f is one-to-one, then we say that the latticeal representation f is *faithful*.

Remark. Let (L, \wedge, \vee) be a lattice. Then any latticeal representation $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ of the group G by the lattice L defines a monoid homomorphism $\bar{f} : G \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$, which is obtained by composition of f with the canonical inclusion $\text{Aut}(L) \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$. Conversely, any monoid homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$ defines a latticeal representation of the group G by the lattice L . Indeed, since $1_L = \varphi(e) = \varphi(gg^{-1}) = \varphi(g) \circ \varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g^{-1}) \circ \varphi(g)$ (so that $\varphi(g) \in \text{Aut}(L)$), for any $g \in G$, we have $\text{Im } \varphi \subseteq \text{Aut}(L)$.

Definition 2. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ and $f' : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L')$ be two latticeal representations of G by the lattices L , respectively L' . We say that f and f' are *isomorphic* or *equivalent* and we denote this fact by $f \cong f'$, if there exists a lattice isomorphism $u : L \rightarrow L'$ such that $f'(g) \circ u = u \circ f(g)$, for any $g \in G$.

Proposition 1. Let (L, \wedge, \vee) be a lattice. Then there exists an one-to-one and onto correspondence between the set of all latticeal representations of G by L and the set of all G -lattice structures on L .

Proof. If $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ is a latticeal representation of G by L , then L becomes a G -lattice with respect to the action:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{"}\circ_f\text{"} : G \times L &\rightarrow L, \\ (g, \ell) &\mapsto \circ_f(g, \ell) \stackrel{\text{not.}}{=} g \circ_f \ell = f(g)(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

If L is a G -lattice with respect to the action "o" of G on L , then the map:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\circ : G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(L), \\ f_\circ(g)(\ell) &= g \circ \ell, \end{aligned}$$

is a latticeal representation of G by L . Moreover, it is easy to see that the maps $f \mapsto " \circ_f "$ and $" \circ " \mapsto f_\circ$ are inverted to each other.

Remark. From Proposition 1, it results that all examples of G -lattices included in [7] give us examples of latticeal representations of the group G . Therefore we have the following latticeal representations:

1. $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}(G))$,
 $f(g)(A) = gA = \{ga \mid a \in A\}$, for any $(g, A) \in G \times \mathcal{P}(G)$.
2. $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L(G))$,
 $f(g)(H) = H^g$, for any $(g, H) \in G \times L(G)$.
3. $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G/H)$ (where $H \triangleleft G$),
 $f(g)(g_1H) = (gg_1)H$, for any $(g, g_1H) \in G \times (G/H)$.
4. $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ (where G is an ordered latticeal group),
 $f(g)(g') = gg'$, for any $(g, g') \in G \times G$.
5. $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L_n)$, $f(g)(d) = g(d)$, for any $(g, d) \in G \times L_n$ (where L_n is the lattice of all natural divisors of $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $G = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma(L_n) = L_n, \sigma((d_1, d_2)) = (\sigma(d_1), \sigma(d_2)) \text{ and } \sigma([d_1, d_2]) = [\sigma(d_1), \sigma(d_2)]\}$, for all $d_1, d_2 \in L_n\}$).

In the following the latticeal representation which we presented in Example 2 will be called the *regular latticeal representation* of the group G and will be denoted by f_G .

Definition 3. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ and $f' : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L')$ be two latticeal representations of G by the lattices L , respectively L' . A lattice homomorphism $u : L \rightarrow L'$ which satisfies the property $f'(g) \circ u = u \circ f(g)$, for any $g \in G$, is called a *homomorphism of latticeal representations* between f and f' .

Proposition 2. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$, $f' : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L')$ be two latticeal representations of G by the lattices L , respectively L' and $" \circ "$, $" \circ' "$ be the actions which give us the structures of G -lattices on L , respectively on L' . Then, for a lattice homomorphism $u : L \rightarrow L'$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) u is a homomorphism of latticeal representations between f and f' .

(ii) u is a G -lattice homomorphism between L and L' .

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) We have $g \circ' u(\ell) = f'(g)(u(\ell)) = (f'(g) \circ u)(\ell) = (u \circ f(g))(\ell) = u(f(g)(\ell)) = u(g \circ \ell)$, for any $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$.

(ii) \implies (i) We have $(f'(g) \circ u)(\ell) = f'(g)(u(\ell)) = g \circ' u(\ell) = u(g \circ \ell) = u(f(g)(\ell)) = (u \circ f(g))(\ell)$, for any $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$, therefore $f'(g) \circ u = u \circ f(g)$, for any $g \in G$.

We denote by $\text{Repr } G$ the category of latticel representations of the group G , i.e. the category in which the objects are all latticel representations of G and the homomorphisms are homomorphisms of latticel representations of G .

The following result gives us the main connection between the categories $\text{Repr } G$ and $G\text{-lat.}$

Proposition 3. *The categories $\text{Repr } G$ and $G\text{-lat.}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We have a functor $T : \text{Repr } G \rightarrow G\text{-lat.}$ given by:

- (i) for a latticel representation $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ of G by the lattice L , $T(f)$ is the G -lattice L ,
- (ii) for a homomorphism of latticel representations $u \in \text{Repr } G(f, f')$, $T(u)$ is the G -lattice homomorphism u .

We also have a functor $S : G\text{-lat.} \rightarrow \text{Repr } G$, given by:

- (i) for a G -lattice L (with respect to the action " \circ " of G on L) $S(L)$ is the latticel representation $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ of G by the lattice L , defined by $f(g)(\ell) = g \circ \ell$, for any $(g, \ell) \in G \times L$,
- (ii) for a G -lattice homomorphism $u : L \rightarrow L'$, $S(u)$ is the homomorphism of latticel representations u .

Moreover, it is easy to see that $T \circ S = 1_{G\text{-lat.}}$ and $S \circ T = 1_{\text{Repr } G}$, i.e. the functors T and S are isomorphisms of categories.

In the following we introduce some concepts in the category $\text{Repr } G$, by duality to the concepts which we constructed in the category $G\text{-lat.}$

Definition 4. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ be a latticel representation of G by the lattice L and let L' be a G -sublattice of L . Then the map

$f|_{L'} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L')$, defined by $(f|_{L'})(g)(\ell') = f(g)(\ell')$, for any $(g, \ell') \in G \times L'$, is a latticeal representations of G by the lattice L' , which is called the *latticeal subrepresentation* of f determined by the G -sublattice L' of L .

Remark. The latticeal subrepresentations of latticeal representations of the group G are just the subobjects of the category $\text{Repr } G$.

Definition 5. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ be a latticeal representation of G by the lattice L and $f_i : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L_i)$, $i \in I$, be a finite family of latticeal subrepresentations of f . If the G -lattice L has an initial element, then we say that f is the *direct \vee -sum* of its latticeal subrepresentations f_i , $i \in I$ (and we denote this fact by $f = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} f_i$), if $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L_i$.

Definition 6. Let $f_i : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L_i)$, $i \in I$, be a finite family of latticeal representations of G by the lattices L_i , $i \in I$. Then the latticeal representation of G :

$$\begin{aligned} \bigtimes_{i \in I} f_i : G &\rightarrow \text{Aut} \left(\bigtimes_{i \in I} L_i \right), \\ \left(\bigtimes_{i \in I} f_i \right) (g) \left((\ell_i)_{i \in I} \right) &= (f_i(g)(\ell_i))_{i \in I}, \text{ for any } (g, (\ell_i)_{i \in I}) \in G \times \left(\bigtimes_{i \in I} L_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

is called the *direct product* of the latticeal representations f_i , $i \in I$.

Remark. The direct products of latticeal representations of the group G are just the direct products of the category $\text{Repr } G$.

Definition 7. Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ be a latticeal representation of G by the lattice L and " \sim " be a G -congruence on L . The the latticeal representation of G :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sim} : G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(L/\sim), \\ f_{\sim}(g)([\ell]) &= [f(g)(\ell)], \text{ for any } (g, [\ell]) \in G \times (L/\sim), \end{aligned}$$

is called the *factor latticeal representation* of f with respect to " \sim ".

Next result can be easily proved:

Proposition 4. *Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ be a latticeal representation of G by the lattice L . Then the map:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f} : G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}(L)), \\ \bar{f}(g)(A) &= f(g)(A) = \{f(g)(a) \mid a \in A\}, \text{ for any } (g, A) \in G \times \mathcal{P}(L), \end{aligned}$$

is a latticeal representation of G by the lattice $\mathcal{P}(L)$.

Moreover, if L is fully ordered, then the map $u : L \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$, defined by $u(\ell) = \{\ell' \in L \mid \ell' \leq \ell\}$, for any $\ell \in L$, is a homomorphism of latticeal representations between f and \bar{f} .

In the following we introduce some variants of the decomposability concept of the latticeal representations. In the case of the regular latticeal representations, these give us particularizations of the L -decomposability concept (see [5], page 346).

Let $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ be a latticeal representation of G with finite representation lattice, $\text{Fix}_G(L) = \{\ell \in L \mid f(g)(\ell) = \ell, \text{ for any } g \in G\}$ and 0 (respectively 1) be the initial (respectively the final) element of the G -lattice L .

Definition 8. We say that f (or that the G -lattice L) is:

- (i) *irreducible*, if $\{0, 1\} \subseteq \text{Fix}_G(L)$ and the only G -sublattices of L are $\{0\}$, $\{1\}$ and L ; otherwise, f (or the G -lattice L) is called *reducible*.
- (ii) *completely reducible*, if there exists a family $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ of irreducible G -sublattices of L such that $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L_i$.
- (iii) *fully decomposable*, if there exists a family $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ of fully ordered G -sublattices of L such that $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\vee} L_i$.

Next result shows that the class of completely reducible latticeal representation with finite distributive representation lattices is closed under subobjects and factor objects (for simplicity, we formulate this into a "G-lattice language").

Proposition 5. *Any G -sublattice and any factor G -lattice of a completely reducible distributive finite G -lattice are completely reducible.*

Proof. Let L be a completely reducible distributive finite G -lattice and $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of irreducible G -sublattices of L such that $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$.

Then L is isomorphic with the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} L_i$ of the G -lattices L_i , $i \in I$. For each $i \in I$, let $L_i = \{0, \ell_i\}$, where 0 is the initial element of L .

If L' is a G -sublattice of L , then $L' = \bigoplus_{i \in J} L_i \cong \prod_{i \in J} L_i$, where $J = \{i \in I \mid \ell_i \in L'\}$. Therefore L' is completely reducible.

If \sim is a G -congruence on L , then, for any $i \in I$, there exists a G -congruence \sim_i on L_i such that $\sim = \prod_{i \in I} \sim_i$. Since any factor G -lattice L_i/\sim_i , $i \in I$, is irreducible, from the G -lattice isomorphism:

$$L/\sim \cong \prod_{i \in I} (L_i/\sim_i),$$

we obtain that the factor G -lattice L/\sim is completely reducible.

Concerning the subgroup lattice of a finite group, we have the following result, whose proof is a simple exercise:

Proposition 6. *Let G be a finite group of order n and $L(G)$ be its subgroup lattice. Then the following statements hold:*

- i) $L(G)$ is a irreducible G -lattice, if and only if the group G is cyclic and n is a prime number.
- ii) If the group G is cyclic, then:
 - a) $L(G)$ is a fully decomposable G -lattice;
 - b) $L(G)$ is a completely reducible G -lattice, if and only if n is a square-free number.

2.2. Connections between the linear representations of a group and its latticeal representations. Let K be a field and $\text{Repr}_K(G)$ be the category of linear K -representations of the group G . We denote by $\text{Aut}_K(V)$ the group of all invertible linear transformations of a vector space V (over the field K) onto itself.

Remarks. 1) If $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V)$ is a K -representation of the group G , then the set $L_G^\rho(V)$ consisting of all G -subspaces of V is a G -lattice, where

$g * V' = \rho(g)(V')$, for any $(g, V') \in G \times L_G^\rho(V)$. Therefore we have a latticeal representation $f_\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L_G^\rho(V))$ of the group G with representation lattice $L_G^\rho(V)$, which is called *the latticeal representation induced by the K -representation ρ* .

2) If $\rho_1 : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are two K -representations of the group G and $u : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is a homomorphism of K -representations (in the category $\text{Repr}_K(G)$) between ρ_1 and ρ_2 , then $\bar{u} : L_G^{\rho_1}(V_1) \rightarrow L_G^{\rho_2}(V_2)$, $\bar{u}(V'_1) = u(V'_1)$, for any $V'_1 \in L_G^{\rho_1}(V_1)$, is a homomorphism of latticeal representations (in the category $\text{Repr } G$) between f_{ρ_1} and f_{ρ_2} .

3) From the remarks 1), 2) we have a functor $F : \text{Repr}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Repr } G$.

We consider the following problem:

"If $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ is a latticeal representation of G with finite representation lattice, then there exists a K -representation of finite degree $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V)$ of G such that f is isomorphic with a subrepresentation of the latticeal representation f_ρ induced by the K -representation ρ ?"

We shall solve this problem in the particularly case when G is a finite cyclic group, f is a fully decomposable latticeal representation and L is a distributive lattice.

Proposition 1. *If G is a finite cyclic group and K is a field, then any fully decomposable latticeal representation $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ of G with distributive finite representation lattice is isomorphic with a subrepresentation of the latticeal representation f_ρ induced by a K -representation of finite degree ρ of G .*

Proof. Let g be a generator of the finite cyclic group G and $n = |G|$. Since f is a fully decomposable latticeal representation and the lattice L is distributive, there exists a family $(L_i)_{i=\overline{1,s}}$ of fully ordered G -sublattices of L such that:

$$L = \bigoplus_{i=\overline{1,s}}^{\vee} L_i \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^s L_i.$$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, let $L_i = \{0, \ell_{i1}, \ell_{i2}, \dots, \ell_{i\alpha_i}\}$, where 0 is the initial element of L and $0 < \ell_{i1} < \ell_{i2} < \dots < \ell_{i\alpha_i}$. We consider the vector space

$V = K^\alpha$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i$ and the linear transformation $u : V \rightarrow V$ defined

by $u(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1\alpha_1}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{s1}, x_{s2}, \dots, x_{s\alpha_s}) = (x_{11} + x_{12} +$

$\cdots + x_{1\alpha_1}, x_{12} + x_{13} + \cdots + x_{1\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{1\alpha_1}, x_{21} + x_{22} + \cdots + x_{2\alpha_2}, x_{22} + x_{23} + \cdots + x_{2\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{2\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{s1} + x_{s2} + \cdots + x_{s\alpha_s}, x_{s2} + x_{s3} + \cdots + x_{s\alpha_s}, \dots, x_{s\alpha_s}$), for any $(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1\alpha_1}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2\alpha_2}, \dots, x_{s1}, x_{s2}, \dots, x_{s\alpha_s}) \in V$. Since the matrix of u in the canonical basis of K^α is invertible, we have $u \in \text{Aut}_K(V)$. Then the map $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V)$, $\rho(g^k)(v) = u^k(v)$, for any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and any $(g, v) \in G \times V$, is a K -representation of degree α of the group G . Using the fact that G is cyclic, we obtain that the G -subspaces of V are the subspaces of the vector space V which are invariant by u . We consider the following G -subspaces of V :

$$\begin{aligned}
W_0 &= 0, \\
W_{11} &= \{(x_{11}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{11} \in K\}, \\
W_{12} &= \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{11}, x_{12} \in K\}, \\
&\vdots \\
W_{1\alpha_1} &= \{(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1\alpha_1}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1\alpha_1} \in K\}, \\
W_{21} &= \{(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{\alpha_1 \text{ positions}}, x_{21}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{21} \in K\}, \\
W_{22} &= \{(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{\alpha_1 \text{ positions}}, x_{21}, x_{22}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{21}, x_{22} \in K\}, \\
&\vdots \\
W_{2\alpha_2} &= \{(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{\alpha_1 \text{ positions}}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2\alpha_2}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2\alpha_2} \in K\}, \\
&\vdots \\
W_{s1} &= \{(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{\alpha - \alpha_s \text{ positions}}, x_{s1}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{s1} \in K\}, \\
W_{s2} &= \{(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{\alpha - \alpha_s \text{ positions}}, x_{s1}, x_{s2}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x_{s1}, x_{s2} \in K\}, \\
&\vdots \\
W_{s\alpha_s} &= \{(\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{\alpha - \alpha_s \text{ positions}}, x_{s1}, x_{s2}, \dots, x_{s\alpha_s}) \mid x_{s1}, x_{s2}, \dots, x_{s\alpha_s} \in K\},
\end{aligned}$$

and let L' be the G -sublattice of $L_G^\rho(V)$ generated by them. Therefore, we have a subrepresentation $f' : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L')$ of the latticeal representation f_ρ induced by ρ . Moreover, the map $\varphi : L \rightarrow L'$ defined by $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(\ell_{ij}) = W_{ij}$, for any $i = \overline{1, s}$ and any $j = \overline{1, \alpha_i}$, is a G -lattice isomorphism,

thus the latticeal representations f and f' are isomorphic.

Corollary. *If G is a finite cyclic group and K is a field, then the regular latticeal representation f_G of G is isomorphic with a subrepresentation of the latticeal representation f_ρ induced by a K -representation of finite degree ρ of G .*

Proof. Since the regular latticeal representation f_G of the finite cyclic group G is fully decomposable and the lattice $L(G)$ is distributive (see [2], Theorem 4, page 267), the statement results from Proposition 1.

In the following, let G be a finite cyclic group, K be a field and $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ be a fully decomposable latticeal representation of G with distributive finite representation lattice. Under these assumptions, we study the existence of a K -representation of finite degree ρ of G such that the latticeal representations f and f_ρ are isomorphic.

We shall solve this problem under the supplementary condition that $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$. The case when $\text{char}(K) \mid |G|$ remains open.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a finite cyclic group and K be a field with the property $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$. Then, for a fully decomposable latticeal representation $f : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L)$ of G with distributive finite representation lattice, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) *There exists a K -representation of finite degree ρ of G such that $f \cong f_\rho$.*
- ii) *f is a completely reducible latticeal representation.*

Proof. i) \implies ii) From the Maschke's theorem, the K -representation ρ is completely reducible. Then $L_G^\rho(V)$ (where V is the representation space of ρ) is a complemented G -lattice. Therefore the G -lattice L is complemented.

Let $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of fully ordered G -sublattices of L such that $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\vee L_i \cong \bigotimes_{i \in I} L_i$. We obtain that the G -lattice L_i is complemented, for any $i \in I$. Since L_i is fully ordered, it results that L_i is irreducible, $i \in I$. Therefore L is completely reducible.

ii) \implies i) Let $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of irreducible G -sublattices of L such that $L = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\vee L_i \cong \bigotimes_{i \in I} L_i$. If $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V)$ is a K -representation of

finite degree of G , then the G -lattice $L_G^\rho(V)$ is isomorphic with the G -lattice $L_{K[G]}(V)$ consisted of all submodules of the $K[G]$ -module V . Let V_0 be a simple $K[G]$ -module, $s = |I|$ and $V = V_0^s$. Since $K[G]$ is a semisimple ring, we obtain that V is semisimple $K[G]$ -module. It results that $L_{K[G]}(V) \cong \bigtimes_{i \in I} L_i$. Thus the latticeal representations f and f_ρ are isomorphic.

Corollary. *Let G be a finite cyclic group and K be a field with the property $\text{char}(K) \nmid |G|$. Then the regular latticeal representation f_G of G is isomorphic with the latticeal representation induced by a K -representation of finite degree of G , if and only if $|G|$ is a square-free number.*

Proof. The statement results from Proposition 6 (2.1) and Proposition 2 (2.2).

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the reviewer for his remarks which improve the previous version of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. ORE, O. – *Structures and groups theory*, I, Duke Math. J. 3 (1937), 149–174.
2. ORE, O. – *Structures and groups theory*, II, Duke Math. J. 4 (1938), 247–269.
3. SUZUKI, M. – *Group theory*, I, II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980, 1985.
4. SUZUKI, M. – *Structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1956.
5. SUZUKI, M. – *On the lattice of subgroups of finite groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1951), 345–371.
6. TĂRNĂUCEANU, M. – *Actions of groups on lattices*, Analele Științifice ale Universității "Ovidius" Constanța, no. 10 (2002), f.1, 89–104.
7. TĂRNĂUCEANU, M. – *Actions of finite groups on lattices*, Seminar Series in Mathematics, Universitatea "Ovidius" Constanța, 2004.

Received: 11.II.2003

Revised: 28.VI.2003

24.III.2004

Faculty of Mathematics,
"Al.I. Cuza" University,
Iași,
ROMANIA
mtarnauceanu@yahoo.com