

## QUASI CONFORMALLY FLAT CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

BY

A. BHATTACHARYYA

**Abstract.** In this paper some types of quasi conformally flat contact metric manifolds have been studied.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:** 53C15.

**Key words:** Quasi conformally flat contact metric manifolds, contact strongly pseudo convex integrable  $CR$  manifolds.

**1. Introduction.** In [2] BLAIR and KOUFOGIORGOS obtained that a conformally flat contact metric manifold on which  $Q$  commutes with the fundamental collineation  $\varphi$  is of constant curvature 1. In [6] SHARMA improved this result. In a recent paper GHOSH, KOUFOGIORGOS and SHARMA simplified the result obtained in [6]. In this paper we show that, if  $M$  is a contact strongly pseudo-convex integrable  $CR$  manifold of dimension  $n > 3$  which is quasi conformally flat, then it is of constant curvature 1.

It is also shown that if  $M$  is a quasi conformally flat contact metric manifold such that  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of the Ricci operator at each point and its sectional curvature  $K$  has the property that  $K(\xi, X) + K(\xi, \varphi X)$  is a function independent of the choice of  $X$ , then it has constant curvature 1.

**2. Preliminaries.** A  $(2n + 1)$  dimensional differentiable manifold  $M$  is said to be a contact metric manifold if there exists a global 1-form  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ , everywhere on  $M$ , a unique vector field  $\xi$ , a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\varphi$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \eta(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d\eta(\xi, X) = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad \varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi.$$

Consequently we have,

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

If  $J$  denote the restriction of  $\varphi$  to the contact subbundle  $D$ , then  $J^2 = -1$  and  $G(X, Y) = -d\eta(X, JY)$ ,  $\forall X, Y \in D$ , define an almost Hermitian structure on  $D$ . Then  $(M, \eta, J)$  is a strongly pseudo convex CR manifold [7]. If the complex distribution  $\{X - iJX : X \in D\}$  is integrable then  $(M, \eta, J)$  is called a contact strongly pseudo-convex integrable CR manifold.

The integrability condition is given by (see [7]),

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X \varphi)Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX)$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection of  $g$  and  $h = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi \varphi$  ( $L$  denotes the Lie differentiation).

In a contact metric manifold we have [1], [4]

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\varphi X - \varphi hX$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_\xi \varphi = 0$$

$$(2.7) \quad \ell - \varphi \ell \varphi = -2(h^2 + \varphi^2)$$

$$(2.8) \quad Tr \ell = g(Q\xi, \xi) = 2n - Tr h^2$$

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla_\xi h = \varphi(I - h^2 - \ell)$$

where  $\ell$  is a (1,1) tensor field defined as

$$\ell = R(\bullet, \xi)\xi$$

in [4],  $R$  is the curvature tensor field of  $\nabla$  and  $Q$  is the (1,1) tensor field defined by formula (2.14).

We know that  $h$  and  $\ell$  are both self adjoint and satisfy

$$(2.10) \quad h\xi = \ell\xi = 0$$

$$(2.11) \quad Trh = Trh\varphi = 0$$

$$(2.12) \quad h\varphi = -\varphi h.$$

A Riemannian manifold  $M$  of dimension  $m$  is quasi conformally flat iff,  $\tilde{C}$  defined by

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(X, Y)Z &= aR(X, Y)Z \\ &+ b[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY] \\ &- \frac{r}{m} \left( \frac{a}{m-1} + 2b \right) [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$$

$S$  is the Ricci-tensor field, and  $a, b$  are non-zero constants, vanishes for  $m > 3$  [3].

**3. Quasi conformally flat contact strongly pseudo convex integrable CR-manifold.** Let  $M$  be a  $(2n + 1)$  dimensional contact pseudo convex integrable CR-manifold, which is quasi conformally flat. Therefore  $\tilde{C} = 0$  i.e.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= -\frac{b}{a}[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY] \\ &+ \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $X = \xi$ ,  $Y = e_i$  and  $Z = \varphi e_i$ , where  $e_i$  define an orthonormal local basis of the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $M$  and using (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain,

$$(3.2) \quad R(\xi, e_i)\varphi e_i = -\frac{b}{a}[(S(e_i, \varphi e_i)\xi + g(e_i, \varphi e_i)Q\xi] + \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) g(e_i, \varphi e_i).$$

Again in contact strongly pseudo convex integrable CR-manifold, the fol-

lowing relation holds [5],

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\varphi Z - \varphi R(X, Y)Z &= \{g(\varphi R(X, Y)\xi, Z) + \eta(X)g(\varphi Y + \varphi hY, Z) \\ &- \eta(Y)g(\varphi X + \varphi hX, Z)\}\xi - g(Y + hY, Z)(\varphi X + \varphi hX) \\ &+ g(X + hX, Z)(\varphi Y + \varphi hY) + g(\varphi X + \varphi hX, Z)(Y + hY) \\ &- g(\varphi Y + \varphi hY, Z)(X + hX) - \eta(Z)\{\varphi R(X, Y)\xi \\ &+ \eta(X)(\varphi Y + \varphi hY) - \eta(Y)(\varphi X + \varphi hX)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $\xi$  for  $X$  and using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we have,

$$(3.4) \quad R(\xi, Y)\varphi Z - \varphi R(\xi, Y)Z = \eta(Z)\varphi \ell Y - g(\varphi \ell Y, Z)\xi,$$

where  $\ell$  is defined earlier. Putting  $Y = Z = e_i$  and using  $Tr \varphi \ell = 0$  we get after summing over  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} R(\xi, e_i)\varphi e_i = \varphi Q\xi.$$

Again summing over  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$ , to (3.2) we get

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} R(\xi, e_i)\varphi e_i = -\frac{b}{a}(\varphi Q\xi).$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we have,

$$(3.7) \quad (a + b)\varphi Q\xi = 0$$

i.e.  $\varphi Q\xi = 0$  for  $(a + b) \neq 0$ .

Thus we can state,

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $M$  is a contact strongly pseudo-convex integrable CR-manifold of  $dim > 3$  which is quasi conformally flat, then it is of constant curvature 1, provided  $a + b \neq 0$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants defined as in definition of quasi conformal curvature tensor.*

Next we consider that  $M$  be a contact metric manifold such that  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of the Ricci operator at each point and  $K(\xi, X) + K(\xi, \varphi X)$  is a function independent of the choice of  $X$ , where  $K$  is the sectional curvature of  $M$ .

We also consider  $M$  is quasi conformally flat. Putting  $Y = Z = \xi$  in (3.1) and using (2.9) and (3.8) we have,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (\varphi(\nabla_{\xi}h) - h^2 - \varphi^2)X &= \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) (X - \eta(X)\xi) \\ &- \frac{b}{a} \{ QX + (Tr\ell)X - 2(Tr\ell)\eta(X)\xi \}. \end{aligned}$$

Action of  $\varphi$  on both sides yields

$$(3.10) \quad (-\nabla_{\xi}h - \varphi h^2 + \varphi)X = \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \varphi X - \frac{b}{a} (\varphi QX + (Tr\ell)\varphi X).$$

Substituting  $hX$  for  $X$  gives an equation

$$(3.11) \quad (-\nabla_{\xi}h - \varphi h^2 + \varphi)hX = \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \varphi hX - \frac{b}{a} [\varphi QhX + (Tr\ell)\varphi hX]$$

Action of  $h$  on (3.10) gives,

$$(3.12) \quad h(-\nabla_{\xi}h - \varphi h^2 + \varphi)X = \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) h\varphi X - \frac{b}{a} [h\varphi QX + (Tr\ell)h\varphi X].$$

Adding (3.11) and (3.12) and using (2.12) we get,

$$(3.13) \quad (\nabla_{\xi}h^2)X = \frac{b}{a} (h\varphi QX + \varphi QhX).$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\varphi X$  in (3.9) and using (2.2), (2.3) we have,

$$(3.14) \quad \nabla_{\xi}hX - \varphi h^2X + \varphi X = \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \varphi X - \frac{b}{a} [Q\varphi X + (Tr\ell)\varphi X].$$

Adding (3.10) and (3.14) we get

$$(3.15) \quad -2(\varphi h^2 - \varphi)X = \frac{2r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \varphi X - \frac{b}{a} [Q\varphi X + \varphi QX] - \frac{2b}{a} (Tr\ell)\varphi X.$$

Subtracting (3.14) from (3.10) we obtain,

$$(3.16) \quad 2(\nabla_{\xi}h)X = \frac{b}{a} [Q\varphi X - \varphi QX].$$

Differentiating  $Q\xi = (Tr\ell)\xi$  along an arbitrary vector field  $X$  and using (2.5) we get,

$$(3.17) \quad (\nabla_X Q)\xi = Q(\varphi X + \varphi hX) + (XTr\ell)\xi - (Tr\ell)(\varphi X + \varphi hX).$$

As  $\tilde{C} = 0$ ,  $div\tilde{C} = 0$  and hence

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & g((\nabla_X Q)Y, Z) - g((\nabla_Y Q)X, Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \{ (Xr)g(Y, Z) - (Yr)g(X, Z) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $Y = Z = \xi$  and using (3.17) we find,

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & XTr\ell - \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) Xr \\ &= \left\{ \xi Tr\ell - \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \xi r \right\} \eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

Applying exterior derivation on (3.19) and using Poincare lemma:  $d^2 = 0$  and then replacing  $X, Y$  respectively by  $\varphi X, \varphi Y$  we get

$$(3.20) \quad \xi Tr\ell = \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \xi r.$$

Hence from (3.19) we obtain,

$$(3.21) \quad XTr\ell = \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) Xr.$$

Further putting  $Y = \xi$  in (3.18) and using (3.17) and (3.21) we get

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_\xi Q)X &= Q\varphi(X + hX) - (Tr\ell)(\varphi(X + hX)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) (\xi r)X. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g((\nabla_\xi Q)X, Y)$  is symmetric in  $X, Y$ , (3.22) becomes

$$(3.23) \quad (Q\varphi + \varphi Q)X + Q\varphi hX + h\varphi QX = 2(Tr\ell)\varphi X.$$

Adding (3.22) and (3.23) yields,

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_\xi Q)X &= -\varphi(Q - hQ)X + (Tr\ell)\varphi(X - hX) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) (\xi r)X. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (3.15) along  $\xi$  and using (2.6) and (3.21) we get,

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} -2\varphi(\nabla_\xi h^2)X &= -\frac{b}{a} [(\nabla_\xi Q)\varphi X + \varphi(\nabla_\xi Q)X] \\ &+ 2 \left\{ \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - \frac{b}{a} \right\} (\xi r)\varphi X. \end{aligned}$$

Now the action of  $\varphi$  in (3.22) gives,

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(\nabla_{\xi}Q)X &= \varphi Q\varphi(X + hX) - (Tr\ell)\varphi^2(X + hX) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) (\xi r)\varphi X. \end{aligned}$$

Again putting  $\varphi X$  in place of  $X$  in (3.24) and using (2.2), we have,

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\xi}Q)\varphi X &= -\varphi(Q - hQ)\varphi X + (Tr\ell)\varphi(\varphi X - h\varphi X) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) (\xi r)\varphi X \end{aligned}$$

putting (3.26) and (3.27) in (3.25) we get,

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} -2\varphi(\nabla_{\xi}h^2)X &= -\frac{b}{a}(\varphi Q\varphi hX + \varphi hQ\varphi X) \\ &+ \left[ \left\{ \frac{2r}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{a(2n+1)} \right\} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - \frac{2b}{a} \right] (\xi r)\varphi X. \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\varphi$  again to (3.28) and using (2.2), (2.3) we obtain,

$$(3.29) \quad 2(\nabla_{\xi}h^2)X = \frac{b}{a}(Q\varphi h + hQ\varphi)X.$$

Subtracting (3.13) from (3.29) we get

$$(3.30) \quad \nabla_{\xi}h^2 = 0.$$

Hence replacing  $X$  by  $\varphi X$  and using (3.8). We get from (3.29),

$$(3.31) \quad Qh = hQ.$$

Using (3.31) in (3.23) and then subtracting (3.15) from the resulting equation we get,

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\varphi(h^2X - X) &= (Q\varphi - \varphi Q)hX + \left( 1 + \frac{b}{a} \right) (Q\varphi X + \varphi QX) \\ &+ \left\{ 2Tr\ell \left( 1 + \frac{b}{a} \right) + \frac{2r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right\} \varphi X. \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis  $K(\xi, X) + K(\xi, \varphi X) = 2k$  and using (2.7), (2.8) we find,

$$(3.33) \quad h^2 = (k-1)\varphi^2 \quad \text{where} \quad k = \frac{Tr\ell}{2n}.$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $hX$  in (3.32) and applying (2.2), (2.12), (3.31) we get after a brief calculation,

$$(3.34) \quad Q\varphi - \varphi Q = \frac{2\{k + Tr\ell(1 + \frac{b}{a}) + \frac{r}{2n+1}(\frac{a}{2n} + 2b)\}}{k-1}\varphi h,$$

where  $k = \frac{Tr\ell}{2n}$ . Now if  $Tr\ell - 2n = 0$  on  $M$  then by virtue of (2.8)  $h = 0$  and hence  $M$  is of constant curvature 1. So let  $Tr\ell - 2n \neq 0$  in some open neighbourhood  $N$ . Using (3.33) in (3.15) and after simplification we get,

$$(3.35) \quad Q\varphi + \varphi Q = \frac{a}{b} \left\{ \frac{2r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - \frac{2b}{a}(Tr\ell) - \frac{Tr\ell}{n} \right\} \varphi.$$

Adding (3.34) and (3.35) we get,

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} 2Q\varphi X &= 2 \left\{ k + Tr\ell \left( 1 + \frac{b}{a} \right) + \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right\} \varphi hX \\ &+ \frac{a}{b} \left\{ \frac{2r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - \frac{2b}{a}(Tr\ell) - \frac{Tr\ell}{n} \right\} \varphi X. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $\varphi X$  and using (2.2) we have,

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} QX &= \left[ 2Tr\ell - \frac{a}{b} \left\{ \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - \frac{Tr\ell}{2n} \right\} \right] \eta(X)\xi \\ &+ \left[ \frac{Tr\ell}{2n} + Tr\ell \left( 1 + \frac{b}{a} \right) + \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right] hX \\ &+ \frac{a}{b} \left[ \frac{Tr\ell}{2n} + \frac{b}{a}(Tr\ell) - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right] X. \end{aligned}$$

We write coefficient of  $\eta(X)\xi$  as  $\alpha$ , coefficient of  $hX$  as  $\beta$  and coefficient of  $X$  as  $\mu$ . So (3.37) becomes,

$$(3.38) \quad QX = \alpha\eta(X)\xi + \beta hX + \mu X.$$

Finally substituting  $Z = \xi$  in (3.1) and using (2.2), (2.14) we get,

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi &= -\frac{b}{a} \{g(QY, \xi)X - g(QX, \xi)Y + \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY\} \\ &- \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \{ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \} \end{aligned}$$



putting (3.38) in (3.39) we have,

(3.40)

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \left\{ -\frac{\alpha b}{a} + 2\mu - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right\} \{ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \} - \frac{2b}{a} \beta \{ \eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY \}.$$

From [4] we know that for any contact metric manifold,

(3.41)

$$2(\nabla_{hX}\varphi)Y = -R(\xi, X)Y - \varphi R(\xi, X)\varphi Y + \varphi R(\xi, \varphi X)Y - R(\xi, \varphi X)\varphi Y + 2g(X + hX, Y)\xi - 2\eta(Y)(X + hX).$$

Using (3.40) in (3.41) we get

(3.42)

$$(\nabla_{hX}\varphi)Y = \left\{ -\frac{\alpha b}{a} + 2\mu - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right\} \{ \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi \} - \eta(Y)(X + hX) + g(X + hX, Y)\xi.$$

Replacing X by hX and using (3.33) we get

$$(\nabla_X\varphi)Y = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX).$$

Hence  $M$  is a contact strongly pseudo-convex integrable CR-manifold.

From Theorem (3.1) it follows that  $M$  is of constant curvature 1.

Thus we can state.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If a contact metric manifold is quasi conformally flat and  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of the Ricci operator at each point and  $K(\xi, X) + K(\xi, \varphi X)$  is a function independent of choice of  $X$ , where  $K$  is the sectional curvature of  $M$ , then it has constant curvature 1.*

## REFERENCES

1. BLAIR, D.E. – *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture notes in Math, 509, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1976.
2. BLAIR, D.E.; KOUFOGIORGOS, T. – *When is the tangent sphere bundle conformally flat*, J. Geom. 49 (1994), 55-66.

3. CHAKI, M.C.; GHOSH, M.L. – *On quasi conformally flat and quasi conformally conservative Riemannian manifolds*, An. St. Univ. "AL.I. Cuza", Iasi, Tomul XLIII, Matematica (1997), f2, 375-381.
4. GHOSH, A.; KOUFOGIORGOS, T.; SHARMA, R. – *Conformally flat contact metric manifolds*, J. Geom. 70 (2001), 66-76.
5. KOUFOGIORGOS, T. – *Contact strongly pseudo-convex integrable CR-metric as critical points*, J.Geom. 59 (1997), 94-102.
6. SHARMA, R. – *On the curvature of contact metric manifolds*, J. Geom. 53 (1995), 179-190.
7. TANNO, S. – *Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. A.M.S. 314 (1989), 349-379.

*Received: 23.VIII.2005*

*Department of Mathematics,  
Jadavpur University,  
Kolkata-700032  
INDIA,  
arin1968@indiatimes.com*