

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF THE GOULD TYPE INTEGRAL WITH RESPECT TO A MULTISUBMEASURE

BY

ALINA CRISTIANA GAVRILUȚ

Abstract. This paper continues our work [5] concerning a Gould type integral of a bounded, real valued function with respect to a multisubmeasure taking values in $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$, X being a Banach space and $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ the family of all non-empty, bounded, closed subsets of X . We prove that the integral preserves the regularity as well as the α -continuity of the multisubmeasure. Also, some results concerning sequences of μ -integrable functions are obtained.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 28B20, 26E25, 49J53.

Key words: Gould-integral, submeasure, multisubmeasure, multivalued Gould-integral.

1. Terminology and notations. Let T be an abstract, nonvoid set, \mathcal{A} an algebra of subsets of T , X a real Banach space, $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ the family of all nonempty, closed subsets of X , $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ the family of all nonvoid, closed, bounded subsets of X and $\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ the family of all nonempty, compact, convex subsets of X .

Let also $f : T \rightarrow R$ be a real valued, bounded function.

By " $\dot{+}$ " we mean the Minkowski addition on $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$, that is:

$$(1) \quad M \dot{+} N = \overline{M + N}, \text{ for every } M, N \in \mathcal{P}_f(X).$$

Let h be the Hausdorff pseudometric on $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$. It is well-known that h becomes a metric on $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$, and $(\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X), h), (\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X), h)$ are complete metric spaces. We know that $h(M, N) = \max\{e(M, N), e(N, M)\}$, where $e(M, N) = \sup_{x \in M} d(x, N)$, $d(x, N)$ being the distance from x to N with respect

to the metric induced by the norm of X . We denote $|M| = h(M, \{0\})$, for every $M \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$, where 0 is the origin of X .

Definition 1.1. I) A partition of T is a finite family $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = T$.

II) Let $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ and $P' = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$ be two partitions of T . P' is said to be finer than P (denoted by $P \leq P'$) if for every $j = \overline{1,m}$, there exists $i_j = \overline{1,n}$ so that $B_j \subseteq A_{i_j}$.

III) The common refinement of two partitions $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ and $P' = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$ is the partition $P \wedge P' = \{A_i \cap B_j\}_{\substack{i=\overline{1,n} \\ j=\overline{1,m}}}$.

Obviously, $P \wedge P' \geq P$ and $P \wedge P' \geq P'$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ be a multivalued set function.

I) μ is said to be exhaustive (with respect to h) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$, for every disjoint sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$.

II) μ is said to be o -continuous (with respect to h) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$ for every sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$, with $A_n \searrow \emptyset$ (that is, $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$, for every $n \in N^*$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$).

III) μ is said to be increasing convergent (with respect to h) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu(A_n), \mu(A)) = 0$, for every sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$, with $A_n \nearrow A \in \mathcal{A}$ (that is, $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$, for every $n \in N^*$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$).

IV) μ is said to be $h - \sigma$ -subadditive if $|\mu(A)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_n)|$, for every (disjoint) sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$, with $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

V) μ is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to another multivalued set function $\nu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_f(X)$, denoted by $\mu \ll \nu$, if $\nu(A) = \{0\}$ implies $\mu(A) = \{0\}$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

If $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is a multivalued set function, then we recall from [3] the following:

Definition 1.3. I) μ is said to be a multimeasure if:

- a) $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ and
 b) $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, that is, μ is finite additive.

II) μ is said to be a multisubmeasure if:

- a) $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$,
 b) $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and
 c) $\mu(A) \subseteq \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $A \subseteq B$ (that is, μ is monotone increasing on \mathcal{A}).

It is easy to observe that the last condition b) is equivalent to the condition b') $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

All over this paper we assume that $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ is a multisubmeasure. Let us also consider the following set functions associated to μ :

$\bar{\mu}$ defined by

$$(2) \quad \bar{\mu}(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| \right\}, \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

where supremum is extended over all finite partitions $\{A_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ of A . $\bar{\mu}$ is said to be the variation of μ ;

$\hat{\mu}$ defined by

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mu}(A) = |\mu(A)|, \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}$$

and $\tilde{\mu}$ defined by

$$(4) \quad \tilde{\mu}(A) = \inf \{ \bar{\mu}(B); A \subseteq B, B \in \mathcal{A} \}, \text{ for every } A \subseteq T.$$

We have observed in [3] that $\bar{\mu}$ is a finite additive set function on \mathcal{A} and $\hat{\mu}$ is a submeasure in Drewnowski's sense [2] on \mathcal{A} . We also note that $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \bar{\mu}(A)$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 1.4. We say that a property (P) holds μ -almost everywhere if the property (P) is valid on $T \setminus A$, with $\tilde{\mu}(A) = 0$.

Definition 1.5. We say that a sequence of functions $(f_n)_n$, where $f_n : T \rightarrow R$ for every $n \in N$, is convergent in submeasure to f (denoted by $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$) if for every $\delta > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}(B_n(\delta)) = 0$, where

$$B_n(\delta) = \{t \in T; |f_n(t) - f(t)| \geq \delta\}.$$

Definition 1.6. A multisubmeasure $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ is said to be of finite variation if $\bar{\mu}(T) < \infty$.

We also recall the following (see [1], [3] and [7]):

If, particularly, T is a locally compact Hausdorff space, let \mathcal{B} (respectively, \mathcal{B}') be the borelian δ -ring (respectively, σ -ring) generated by the compact subsets of T and \mathcal{B}_0 (respectively \mathcal{B}'_0) be the Baire δ -ring (respectively, σ -ring) generated by the compact subsets of T which are G_δ (that is, countable intersection of open sets).

If T is a compact space, then \mathcal{B}' becomes a σ -algebra, and if T is a metrizable compact space, then T is G_δ , so, \mathcal{B}'_0 becomes a σ -algebra, too.

In the assumption for T to be a locally compact space, we have introduced in [3] the following notions:

Definition 1.7. i) A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be R'_l -regular (with respect to μ) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \in \mathcal{A}$, $K \subset A$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \subset A \setminus K$.

ii) A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be R'_r -regular (with respect to μ) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $D \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \subset D$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \subset D \setminus A$.

iii) A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be R_l -regular (with respect to μ) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \in \mathcal{A}$, $K \subset A$ such that $h(\mu(B), \mu(A)) < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $K \subset B \subset A$.

iv) A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be R_r -regular (with respect to μ) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $D \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \subset D$ such that $h(\mu(B), \mu(A)) < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \subset B \subset D$.

Definition 1.8. μ is said to be R'_l -regular (R'_r -regular, R_l -regular, R_r -regular, respectively) on \mathcal{A} if every set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is R'_l -regular (R'_r -regular, R_l -regular, R_r -regular, respectively).

We remind from [3] and [4] the following:

Theorem 1.9. i) R'_l -regularity is equivalent to R_l -regularity on \mathcal{A} (for multisubmeasures of finite variation);

ii) R'_l -regularity is equivalent to o -continuity on \mathcal{B}'_0 ;

- iii) R'_l -regularity implies o -continuity on \mathcal{B}' ;
 iv) R'_l -regularity is equivalent to R'_r -regularity on \mathcal{B} .

2. The Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure. In the sequel, without any special assumptions, T will be an abstract nonvoid set, $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ a multisubmeasure of finite variation and $f : T \rightarrow R$ a real valued, bounded function.

Definition 2.1. I) f is said to be $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on (T, \mathcal{A}, μ) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition $P_\varepsilon = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{0, n}}$ of T such that:

- i) $\tilde{\mu}(A_0) < \varepsilon$ and
 ii) $\sup_{t, s \in A_i} |f(t) - f(s)| = \text{osc}(f, A_i) < \varepsilon$, for every $i = \overline{1, n}$.

II) f is said to be $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on $B \in \mathcal{A}$ if its restriction $f|_B$ of f to B is $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally measurable on $(B, \mathcal{A}_B, \mu_B)$, where $\mu_B = \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_B}$ and $\mathcal{A}_B = \{A \cap B; A \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Let $\sigma(P) = \sum_{i=\overline{1, n}}^n f(t_i)\mu(A_i)$, for every partition $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$ of T and every $t_i \in A_i, i = \overline{1, n}$.

Definition 2.2. I) f is said to be μ -integrable on T if the net $(\sigma(P))_{P \in (\mathcal{P}, \leq)}$ is convergent in $(\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X), h)$ (where \mathcal{P} is the set of all partitions of T and " \leq " the order relation on \mathcal{P} given in the definition 1.1 II), for every choice of the points $t_i \in A_i$; its limit is called the integral of f on T with respect to the multisubmeasure μ , denoted by $\int_T f d\mu$.

Hence, f is μ -integrable on T if there exists a set $I \in \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition P_ε of T so that for every other partition $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$, with $P \geq P_\varepsilon$ and every choice of points $t_i \in A_i, i = \overline{1, n}$, we have

$$(5) \quad h(\sigma(P), I) < \varepsilon.$$

II) If $B \in \mathcal{A}$, f is said to be μ -integrable on B if the restriction $f|_B$ of f to B is μ -integrable on $(B, \mathcal{A}_B, \mu_B)$.

Obviously, if there exists, the integral is unique.

Let us note that, all over this paper, if we deal with a $\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ -valued multisubmeasure, then the Minkowski addition " $\overset{\bullet}{+}$ " changes in fact into " $+$ ".

In the sequel, we shall use the following results that we have established in [5]:

Theorem 2.3. *If f is μ -integrable on T and $\alpha \in R$, then αf is μ -integrable on T and*

$$(6) \quad \int_T \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int_T f d\mu.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ and $f, g : T \mapsto R$ are two bounded, μ -integrable functions on T so that $f(t) \cdot g(t) \geq 0$, for every $t \in T$. Then $f + g$ is μ -integrable on T and*

$$(7) \quad \int_T (f + g) d\mu = \int_T f d\mu + \int_T g d\mu.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f, g : T \mapsto R$ be two bounded, μ -integrable functions. Then:*

i)

$$(8) \quad h \left(\int_T f d\mu, \int_T g d\mu \right) \leq \sup_{t \in T} |f(t) - g(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(T) \text{ and}$$

ii)

$$(9) \quad \left| \int_T f d\mu \right| \leq \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(T).$$

In the sequel, we remind from [5] an important class of functions which are μ -integrable, where $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow P_{kc}(R)$ is the multisubmeasure induced by a submeasure $\nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_+$ of finite variation, defined by:

$$\mu(A) = [0, \nu(A)], \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow P_{kc}(R)$ be the multisubmeasure induced by a submeasure $\nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_+$ of finite variation and $f : T \rightarrow R_+$ be a*

bounded, $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable function on T . Then f is μ -integrable on T and, moreover,

$$\int_T f d\mu = [0, \int_T f d\bar{\nu}]$$

($\int_T f d\bar{\nu}$ represents the Gould integral [6] of f with respect to the variation $\bar{\nu}$ of ν , which is a finite additive set function on \mathcal{A}).

Theorem 2.7. Let $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, with $B \cap C = \emptyset$. If f is μ -integrable on B and C , then f is μ -integrable on $B \cup C$, and, moreover,

$$(10) \quad \int_{B \cup C} f d\mu = \int_B f d\mu \dot{+} \int_C f d\mu.$$

Theorem 2.8. Let $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, with $B \subseteq C$. Then

$$(11) \quad \int_B f d\mu \subseteq \int_C f d\mu$$

supposing the existence of both integrals.

Theorem 2.9. If $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$, $f : T \rightarrow R$ is μ -integrable on T and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrarily set, then f is μ -integrable on B .

Corollary 2.10. If $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ and f is μ -integrable on T , then:

- i) $M : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$, defined by $M(A) = \int_A f d\mu$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, is a monotone multimeasure;
- ii) $M \ll \mu$.

We establish in the following some properties of the integral.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$. Then:

i) If μ is o -continuous (increasing convergent, respectively) on \mathcal{A} , then M is o -continuous (increasing convergent, respectively) on \mathcal{A} ;

ii) If μ is h - σ -subadditive, then M is a h -multimeasure, that is, $M(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(M(A), \sum_{k=1}^n M(A_k)) = 0$, for every disjoint sequence of sets

$(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{A}$, with $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. i) Let us suppose that μ is o-continuous on \mathcal{A} . Then (see [3]), $\bar{\mu}$ is o-continuous on \mathcal{A} . Let $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$, $A_n \searrow \emptyset$. From the o-continuity of $\bar{\mu}$ on \mathcal{A} , we get that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ such that $\bar{\mu}(A_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}$, for every $n \geq n_0$, where $\alpha = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)|$. The inequality $|M(A_n)| \leq \alpha \cdot \bar{\mu}(A_n)$, for every $n \in N^*$ yields $|M(A_n)| < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$, that is, M is o-continuous on \mathcal{A} .

We suppose now that μ is increasing convergent on \mathcal{A} . Let $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be such that $A_n \nearrow A \in \mathcal{A}$.

We shall prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ so that $h(M(A_n), M(A)) < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$. From theorem 2.5 ii) we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} h(M(A_n), M(A)) &= h\left(\int_{A_n} f d\mu, \int_A f d\mu\right) \\ &= h\left(\int_{A_n} f d\mu, \int_{A_n} f d\mu + \int_{A \setminus A_n} f d\mu\right) \\ &= \left| \int_{A \setminus A_n} f d\mu \right| \leq \alpha \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \setminus A_n) = \alpha \cdot (\bar{\mu}(A) - \bar{\mu}(A_n)). \end{aligned}$$

We prove in the sequel that there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ so that $\bar{\mu}(A) - \bar{\mu}(A_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}$, for every $n \geq n_0$.

Indeed, if $\{B_i\}_{i=\overline{1, m}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary partition of A , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i \cap A_n)| + \sum_{i=1}^m h(\mu(B_i), \mu(B_i \cap A_n)), \text{ for every } n \in N^*.$$

Since $\{B_i \cap A_n\}_{i=\overline{1, m}}$ is a partition of A_n , for every $n \in N^*$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A_n) + \sum_{i=1}^m h(\mu(B_i), \mu(B_i \cap A_n)).$$

But μ is increasing convergent and $B_i \cap A_n \nearrow B_i \cap A = B_i$, for every $i = \overline{1, m}$; therefore, for every $i = \overline{1, m}$, there exists $n_0^i(\varepsilon) \in N$ so that for every $n \geq n_0^i$, $h(\mu(B_i), \mu(B_i \cap A_n)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i \cdot \alpha}$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A_n) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i \cdot \alpha} < \bar{\mu}(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha},$$

$$\text{for every } n \geq n_0 = \max\{n_0^i\}_{i=\overline{1, m}}.$$

Taking the supremum on the left side we get that $\bar{\mu}(A) \leq \bar{\mu}(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}$ and, finally, $h(M(A_n), M(A)) < \alpha \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} = \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$, as claimed.

ii) Let $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a disjoint sequence of sets, with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$. Because μ is h - σ -subadditive, then, equivalently, it is o -continuous (see [3]), hence M is o -continuous, too. Since $B_n = \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} A_k \searrow \emptyset$ and $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|M(B_n)| < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$.

Because M is finite additive we get that $M(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n M(A_k) + M(B_n)$, which implies

$$h(M(A), \sum_{k=1}^n M(A_k)) = h(\sum_{k=1}^n M(A_k) + M(B_n), \sum_{k=1}^n M(A_k)) = |M(B_n)| < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } n \geq n_0.$$

This means that M is indeed a h -multimeasure, since, obviously, $M(\emptyset) = \{0\}$. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let T be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$. Then:*

- i) *If μ is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} , then M is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} . Also, if $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) = m > 0$ and M is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} , then μ is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} ;*
- ii) *If $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) = m > 0$, then μ is R_l -regular on \mathcal{A} if and only if M is R_l -regular on \mathcal{A} ;*
- iii) *If T is a compact space and $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) = m > 0$, then μ is R'_r -regular on \mathcal{B} if and only if M is R'_r -regular on \mathcal{B} ;*
- iv) *If T is a compact space, $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) = m > 0$ and M is R_r -regular on \mathcal{B} , then μ is R_r -regular on \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. i) If μ is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} then, equivalently, $\bar{\mu}$ is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} (see [3]). One can easily get then that M is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} , using the inequality $|M(A)| \leq \alpha \cdot \bar{\mu}(A)$, which holds for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

In what concerns the converse, we suppose that, additionally, $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) = m > 0$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Because M is R'_l -regular in A , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $K \subset A$ and $|M(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $B \subset A \setminus K$.

But f is μ -integrable on T , so it is μ -integrable on an arbitrary, fixed set $B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $B \subset A \setminus K$. Consequently, there is a partition $P_\varepsilon = \{B_i\}_{i=\overline{1,p}} \in \mathcal{P}_B$ such that

$$h\left(\int_B f d\mu, \sum_{i=1}^p f(t_i)\mu(B_i)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } t_i \in B_i, i = \overline{1,p}.$$

Therefore, $|\sum_{i=1}^p f(t_i)\mu(B_i)| \leq h(\int_B f d\mu, \sum_{i=1}^p f(t_i)\mu(B_i)) + |M(B)| < \varepsilon$.

But, on the other hand, $m\mu(B) \subseteq m\sum_{i=1}^p \mu(B_i) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^p f(t_i)\mu(B_i)$, so,

$|m\mu(B)| \leq |\sum_{i=1}^p f(t_i)\mu(B_i)|$, which implies that $|m\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, that is, $|\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{m}$. This means μ is R'_l -regular in A .

So, if $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) = m > 0$, then μ is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} if and only if M is R'_l -regular on \mathcal{A} .

ii) We use the fact that M is also a multisubmeasure on \mathcal{A} and R'_l -regularity is equivalent to R_l -regularity for multisubmeasures of finite variation.

iii) Since T is a compact space, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ is an algebra. Because on \mathcal{B} R'_l -regularity is equivalent to R'_r -regularity (see [3]), we have the conclusion.

iv) Let $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Because M is R_r -regular in A , then there exists an open set $D \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \subset D$, so that $h(M(A), M(B)) < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, with $A \subset B \subset D$.

But $h(M(A), M(B)) = h(M(A), M(A) + M(B \setminus A)) = |M(B \setminus A)|$ since $M : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$. Therefore, $|M(B \setminus A)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, with $A \subset B \subset D$.

Now, let $B \in \mathcal{B}$, with $B \subset D \setminus A$. Then $B = B \setminus A = (A \cup B) \setminus A$ and, since $A \subset A \cup B \subset D$, it follows that $|M(B)| < \varepsilon$, that is, M is R'_r -regular on \mathcal{B} . From iii) we get that μ is R'_r -regular, hence it is R_r -regular on \mathcal{B} (see [3]), as claimed. \square

We point out now other properties of the integral.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ and $f, g : T \mapsto R$ be two bounded functions on T , so that:*

i) f is μ -integrable on T and

ii) $f = g$ μ -a.p.t.

Then g is μ -integrable on T and $\int_T f d\mu = \int_T g d\mu$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily. Since f is μ -integrable on T , there exists a partition $P_\varepsilon = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}} \in \mathcal{P}_T$ so that

$$h(\sigma(P), \int_T f d\mu) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } P \in \mathcal{P}_T, \text{ with } P \geq P_\varepsilon.$$

Let $E \subset T$ be such that $f = g$ on $T \setminus E$ and $\tilde{\mu}(E) = 0$. From the definition of $\tilde{\mu}$, there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $E \subseteq A$ and $\bar{\mu}(A) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$, where $M = \max\{\sup_{t \in T} |f(t)|, \sup_{t \in T} |g(t)|\}$.

We consider the partitions $P_0 = \{A, T \setminus A\} \in \mathcal{P}_T$ and $P_0 \wedge P_\varepsilon = \{A \cap A_i, A_i \setminus A\}_{i=\overline{1,n}} \in \mathcal{P}_T$. Let also $P = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}} \in \mathcal{P}_T$, with $P \geq P_0 \wedge P_\varepsilon$ and $t_j \in B_j, j = \overline{1,m}$ be arbitrarily.

Because $P \geq P_0 \wedge P_\varepsilon$, then for every $j = \overline{1,m}$, there exists $i_j = \overline{1,n}$ with $B_j \subset A \cap A_{i_j}$ or $B_j \subset A_{i_j} \setminus A$. Without any loss of generality, we suppose that $B_j \subset A \cap A_{i_j}$, for every $j = \overline{1,p}$ and $B_j \subset A_{i_j} \setminus A$, for every $j = \overline{p+1,m}$ (we may have only one of these situations).

We shall prove that $h(\int_T f d\mu, \bullet \sum_{j=1}^m g(t_j) \mu(B_j)) < \varepsilon$ (consequently, g is μ -integrable on T and $\int_T f d\mu = \int_T g d\mu$).

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \bullet \sum_{j=1}^m g(t_j) \mu(B_j)\right) &\leq h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \bullet \sum_{j=1}^m f(t_j) \mu(B_j)\right) \\ &\quad + h\left(\bullet \sum_{j=1}^m f(t_j) \mu(B_j), \bullet \sum_{j=1}^m g(t_j) \mu(B_j)\right) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^m |f(t_j) - g(t_j)| \cdot |\mu(B_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

Because $B_j \subset A \cap A_{i_j}$ it follows that $|\mu(B_j)| \leq |\mu(A \cap A_{i_j})|$, for every $j = \overline{1,p}$.

Similarly, $|\mu(B_j)| \leq |\mu(A_{i_j} \setminus A)|$, for every $j = \overline{p+1, m}$; therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \bullet \sum_{j=1}^m g(t_j) \mu(B_j)\right) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^p |f(t_j) - g(t_j)| \cdot |\mu(A \cap A_{i_j})| \\ &+ \sum_{j=p+1}^m |f(t_j) - g(t_j)| \cdot |\mu(A_{i_j} \setminus A)|. \end{aligned}$$

But $f = g$ on $T \setminus A$, so $f(t_j) = g(t_j)$, for every $t_j \in A_{i_j} \setminus A$, with $j = \overline{p+1, m}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \bullet \sum_{j=1}^m g(t_j) \mu(B_j)\right) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^p |f(t_j) - g(t_j)| \cdot |\mu(A \cap A_{i_j})| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \cdot \sum_{j=1}^p |\mu(A \cap A_{i_j})| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \cdot \bar{\mu}(A) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus finished. \square

Remark 2.14. i) If $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$, then $\mu(A) \subseteq \int_A d\mu$, supposing the existence of the integral. If $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ and $m = \inf_{t \in T} f(t) > 0$, then $m \cdot \mu(A) \subseteq \int_A f d\mu$.

Indeed, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $P_\varepsilon = \{A_i\}_{i=1, \overline{n}} \in \mathcal{P}_A$ so that

$$h(\sigma(P), \int_A d\mu) < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } P \in \mathcal{P}_A, \text{ with } P \geq P_\varepsilon.$$

Particularly, $h(\bullet \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i), \int_A d\mu) < \varepsilon$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mu(A), \int_A d\mu) &\leq e(\mu(A), \bullet \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)) + e(\bullet \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i), \int_A d\mu) \\ &= e(\bullet \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i), \int_A d\mu) < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, hence $\mu(A) \subseteq \int_A d\mu$.

In what concerns the second statement, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e(m \cdot \mu(A), \int_A f d\mu) \\ & \leq e(m \cdot \mu(A), \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\mu(A_i)) + e(\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\mu(A_i), \int_A f d\mu) \\ & < \varepsilon + e(m \cdot \mu(A), m \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)) + e(m \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i), \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\mu(A_i)) = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

which completes the proof.

ii) On the other hand, if we deal with the Gould integral [6] with respect to a finite additive set function $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$, X being a Banach space, we have $\int_A dm = m(A)$.

iii) Although $\mu(A) \subseteq \int_A d\mu := M(A)$, we observe that $\bar{\mu}(A) = \overline{M}(A)$. Indeed, because $\mu(A) \subseteq \int_A d\mu$, then $|\mu(A)| \leq |\int_A d\mu|$, hence $\bar{\mu}(A) \leq \overline{M}(A)$. In order to prove " \geq ", let $\{B_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}} \in \mathcal{P}_A$ be an arbitrary partition. We shall demonstrate that $\sum_{i=\overline{1,n}} |M(B_i)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A)$.

Since for every $i = \overline{1,n}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $P_\varepsilon^i = \{B_j^i\}_{j=\overline{1,q_i}} \in \mathcal{P}_{B_i}$ so that for every $P \geq P_\varepsilon^i$, with $P \in \mathcal{P}_{B_i}$, we have $h(\sigma(P), \int_{B_i} d\mu) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$, we get that, particularly, $h(\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \mu(B_j^i), \int_{B_i} d\mu) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$, for every $i = \overline{1,n}$.

Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^n |\int_{B_i} d\mu| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n h(\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \mu(B_j^i), \int_{B_i} d\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^n |\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \mu(B_j^i)| < \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} |\mu(B_j^i)| < \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\mu}(B_i) = \varepsilon + \bar{\mu}(A)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n |M(B_i)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A)$, hence $\bar{\mu}(A) \geq \overline{M}(A)$, as claimed.

Of course, the existence of all the integrals that appear here can be assured if we suppose that, for instance, $\mu : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ and f is μ -integrable on T .

3. Sequences of μ -integrable functions. It is easy to prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f, g : T \mapsto R$ be two bounded and $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions. Then:*

- i) $f + g$ is $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable;
- ii) λf is $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable, for every $\lambda \in R$;
- iii) f^2 and fg are $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

Theorem 3.2. *We suppose that $(f_n)_n : T \mapsto R$ is a sequence of $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable, bounded functions so that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$, where $f : T \mapsto R$ is a bounded function. Then f is $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $n \in N$, f_n is $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable, hence, for every $n \in N$, there exists a partition of T , $P_\varepsilon^n = \{A_i^n\}_{i=\overline{0, m_n}}$, so that $\tilde{\mu}(A_0^n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ and $\sup_{t, s \in A_i^n} |f_n(t) - f_n(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \cdot 2^n}$, for every $i = \overline{1, m_n}$.

Since $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$, we get that for every $\delta > 0$, $\lim \tilde{\mu}(B_n(\delta)) = 0$, where $B_n(\delta) = \{t \in T, |f_n(t) - f(t)| \geq \delta\}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ so that $\tilde{\mu}(B_{n_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{3})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. From the definition of $\tilde{\mu}$, there is a set $C_{n_0} \in \mathcal{A}$, so that $B_{n_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \subseteq C_{n_0}$ and $\bar{\mu}(C_{n_0}) = \tilde{\mu}(C_{n_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Let then be the partition

$$P_\varepsilon = \{C_{n_0} \cup A_0^{n_0}, A_1^{n_0} \cap cC_{n_0}, A_2^{n_0} \cap cC_{n_0}, \dots, A_{m_{n_0}}^{n_0} \cap cC_{n_0}\}$$

of T .

Since $\tilde{\mu}(C_{n_0} \cup A_0^{n_0}) = \bar{\mu}(C_{n_0} \cup A_0^{n_0}) \leq \bar{\mu}(C_{n_0}) + \bar{\mu}(A_0^{n_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n_0}} \leq \varepsilon$, it only remains to prove that $\sup_{t, s \in cC_{n_0} \cap A_i^{n_0}} |f(t) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$, for every $i = \overline{1, m_{n_0}}$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t, s \in cC_{n_0} \cap A_i^{n_0}} |f(t) - f(s)| &\leq \sup_{t \in cC_{n_0}} |f(t) - f_{n_0}(t)| + \sup_{t, s \in A_i^{n_0}} |f_{n_0}(t) - f_{n_0}(s)| \\ &+ \sup_{s \in cC_{n_0}} |f_{n_0}(s) - f(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \cdot 2^{n_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for every $i = \overline{1, m_{n_0}}$, as claimed. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ and $(f_n)_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of μ -integrable functions so that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$, where $f : T \mapsto$*

R is a bounded function. Then f is μ -integrable on A and

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n d\mu = \int_A f d\mu \text{ (with respect to } h), \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Let $M' = \bar{\mu}(T)$, $M_1 = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)|$, $M_2 = \sup_{t \in T, n \in N} |f_n(t)|$ and $M = \max(M_1, M_2)$.

Since $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$, we get that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in N$ so that $\tilde{\mu}(B_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$, for every $n \geq n_0$. Particularly, $\tilde{\mu}(B_{n_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$. Consequently, from the definition of $\tilde{\mu}$, there is a set $C_{n_0} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B_{n_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M}) \subseteq C_{n_0}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(C_{n_0}) = \bar{\mu}(C_{n_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrarily, but fixed. We prove first that f is μ -integrable on C_{n_0} (it will also be μ -integrable on $C_{n_0} \cap A$, see theorem 2.9). Indeed, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists the partition $P_\varepsilon = \{C_{n_0}\} \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}$ so that, for every other partition $P' = \{D_l\}_{l=\overline{1,p}} \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}$ with $P' \geq P_\varepsilon$ and for every $t_l \in D_l$, $l = \overline{1,p}$ and every $c \in C_{n_0}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & h(\sigma(P'), f(c)\mu(C_{n_0})) \\ &= h\left(\sum_{l=1}^p f(t_l)\mu(D_l), f(c)\mu(C_{n_0})\right) \leq \left|\sum_{l=1}^p f(t_l)\mu(D_l)\right| + |f(c)\mu(C_{n_0})| \\ &\leq M_1 \sum_{l=1}^p |\mu(D_l)| + M_1 |\mu(C_{n_0})| \leq 2M_1 \bar{\mu}(C_{n_0}) < 2M_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \leq \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for every partition $P'' \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}$, with $P'' \geq P_\varepsilon$, we get that $h(\sigma(P''), f(c)\mu(C_{n_0})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, hence $h(\sigma(P'), \sigma(P'')) < \varepsilon$.

This means $(\sigma(P))_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}}$ is a Cauchy, hence a convergent net in the complete metric space $\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$.

Therefore, f is μ -integrable on C_{n_0} .

We prove now that f is μ -integrable on A . Since f is μ -integrable on $A \cap C_{n_0}$, then, according to theorem 2.7, it is sufficient to prove that f is μ -integrable on $A \setminus C_{n_0}$.

Because f_n is μ -integrable on T , for every $n \in N$, we get that, particularly, f_{n_0} is μ -integrable on $A \setminus C_{n_0}$; there exists then a partition $P_\varepsilon^{n_0} = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,m_{n_0}}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A \setminus C_{n_0}}$ so that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{A \setminus C_{n_0}}$, with $P \geq P_\varepsilon^{n_0}$, $h(\sigma(P), \sigma(P_\varepsilon^{n_0})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Let $P = \{D_j\}_{j=\overline{1,l}} \in \mathcal{P}_{A \setminus C_{n_0}}$ with $P \geq P_\varepsilon^{n_0}$ be arbitrarily, but fixed. For every $t_j \in D_j$, $j = \overline{1,l}$ and every $c_i \in A_i$, $i = \overline{1,m_{n_0}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\sum_{j=1}^l f(t_j)\mu(D_j), \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f(c_i)\mu(A_i)\right) \\
& \leq h\left(\sum_{j=1}^l f(t_j)\mu(D_j), \sum_{j=1}^l f_{n_0}(t_j)\mu(D_j)\right) \\
& \quad + h\left(\sum_{j=1}^l f_{n_0}(t_j)\mu(D_j), \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f_{n_0}(c_i)\mu(A_i)\right) \\
& \quad + h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f_{n_0}(c_i)\mu(A_i), \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f(c_i)\mu(A_i)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^l |\mu(D_j)| \sup_{t_j \in D_j \subset A \setminus C_{n_0}} |f(t_j) - f_{n_0}(t_j)| \\
& \quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} |\mu(A_i)| \sup_{c_i \in A_i \subset A \setminus C_{n_0}} |f(c_i) - f_{n_0}(c_i)| \\
& \leq M' \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + M' \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} < \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

hence f is indeed μ -integrable on $A \setminus C_{n_0}$.

In the sequel, we shall prove the equality (12). Since f_n is μ -integrable on T for every $n \in N$, from theorem 2.9 we get that f_n is μ -integrable on A , for every $n \in N$. Hence there exists $\int_A f_n d\mu$ for every $n \in N$ and $\int_A f d\mu$.

Let us use, the same as before, the sets $B_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M'})$, with $n \geq n_0$. From the definition of $\tilde{\mu}$ we get that for every $n \geq n_0$ there is a set $C_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M'}) \subseteq C_n$ and $\tilde{\mu}(C_n) = \bar{\mu}(C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M'}$. Consequently, for every $n \geq n_0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
h\left(\int_A f_n d\mu, \int_A f d\mu\right) &= h\left(\int_{A \setminus C_n} f_n d\mu + \int_{A \cap C_n} f_n d\mu, \int_{A \setminus C_n} f d\mu + \int_{A \cap C_n} f d\mu\right) \\
&\leq h\left(\int_{A \setminus C_n} f_n d\mu, \int_{A \setminus C_n} f d\mu\right) + h\left(\int_{A \cap C_n} f_n d\mu, \int_{A \cap C_n} f d\mu\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sup_{t \in A \setminus C_n} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \setminus C_n) + \sup_{t \in A \cap C_n} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \cap C_n) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} \cdot M' + 2M \cdot \bar{\mu}(C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. Particularly, if $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(R)$ is the multisubmeasure induced by a submeasure of finite variation $\nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_+$ and if $(f_n)_n : T \rightarrow R_+$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions such that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$, where $f : T \rightarrow R$ is a bounded function then

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n d\mu = \int_A f d\mu \quad (\text{with respect to } h), \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Indeed, from theorem 2.6 and theorem 2.9 we get that for every $n \in N^*$, f_n is μ -integrable on A , for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

By applying theorem 3.3, the proof finishes.

Acknowledgement. The author is indebted to Prof.dr. A.M. Precupanu for the careful reading of the paper and for the valuable help.

REFERENCES

1. DINCULEANU, N. – *Teoria Măsurii și Funcții Reale*, Ed. Did. și Ped., București, 1964.
2. DREWNOWSKI, L. – *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, Integration, I, II, III*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Math. Astron. Phys. 20 (1972), 269-276, 277-286.
3. GAVRILUȚ, A. – *Properties of regularity for multisubmeasures*, An. Șt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, Tomul L, s.I a, 2004, f. 2, 373-392.
4. GAVRILUȚ, A. – *Regularity and o-continuity for multisubmeasures*, An. Șt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, Tomul L, s.I a, 2004, f. 2, 393-406.
5. GAVRILUȚ, A.: – *A Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure*, Math. Slovaca, in print.
6. GOULD, G. G. – *Integration over vector-valued measures*, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 15, 1965, 193-205.
7. HALMOS, P. – *Measure Theory*, D. Van Nostrand Company Inc., New-York, 1950.
8. HU, S.; PAPAGEORGIU, N.S. – *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis*, vol. I, Kluwer, Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.

9. PRECUPANU, A.; CROITORU, A. – *A Gould type integral with respect to a multimeasure, I*, An. Șt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, Tomul XLVIII, s. I a, Matematică, 2002, f. 1, 165-200.
10. PRECUPANU, A.; CROITORU, A. – *A Gould type integral with respect to a multimeasure, II*, An. Șt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, Tomul XLIX, s. I a, Matematică, 2003, f. 1, 183-207.

Received: 2.XII.2005

*Faculty of Mathematics,
University "Al.I. Cuza",
700506 Iași,
ROMÂNIA
gavrilit@uaic.ro*