ANALELE ŞTIINŢIFICE ALE UNIVERSITĂŢII "AL.I.CUZA" IAŞI
Tomul XLVIII, s.I a, Matematică, 2002, f.2.

ALMOST HYPERPRODUCT STRUCTURES ON MANIFOLDS

BY

V. CRUCEANU

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Radu MIRON on the occasion of his 75th birthday

1. Introduction. The almost hyperproduct (ahp)-structures on a manifold were considered, together with other important structures, by Liberman [6]. New properties of these structures were established by Walker [9, 10]. Legrand [5], Hsu [4], Vidal and Vidal Costa [8] and others, in the more general setting of the r-π-structures on manifolds.

In this paper, we give a new definition for an ahp-structure and we establish its equivalence with other geometric structures. We study then the compatibility of the ahp-structures with metrics and linear connections, their integrability and we determine two canonical connections compatible with a metric ahp-structure. Finally, we give as example, a metric ahp-structure on the tangent bundle, obtained by lifting a metric almost product structure off the base manifold.

2. Hyperproduct structures on a vector space.

Definition 2.1. A *hyperproduct* (hp)-*structure* on a real vector space V is a triple (F, G, H) of automorphisms of V which satisfy the following conditions:

1) $F^2 = G^2 = H^2 = F \circ G \circ H = I$

2) I, F, G, H are linear independent.

It results from here

3) $F \circ G = G \circ F = H,\ G \circ H = H \circ G = F,\ H \circ F = F \circ H = G.$

Considering the projectors $F_1 = \dfrac{I+F}{2}$, $F_2 = \dfrac{I-F}{2}$, etc., we obtain

4) $F_a \circ G_b = G_b \circ F_a,\ G_a \circ H_b = H_b \circ G_a,\ H_a \circ F_b = F_b \circ H_a,\ a, b = 1, 2$

and

5) $F_1 \circ G_1 = G_1 \circ H_1 = H_1 \circ F_1,\ F_1 \circ G_2 = G_2 \circ H_2 = H_2 \circ F_1,$
$F_2 \circ G_1 = G_1 \circ H_2 = H_2 \circ F_2,\ F_2 \circ G_2 = G_2 \circ H_1 = H_1 \circ F_2.$

From these relations and $(F_1 + F_2) \circ (G_1 + G_2) = I$, it follows

$$F_1 \circ G_1 + F_1 \circ G_2 + F_2 \circ G_1 + F_2 \circ G_2 = I$$

and setting

6) $P_1 = F_1 \circ G_1,\ P_2 = F_1 \circ G_2,\ P_3 = F_2 \circ G_1,\ P_4 = F_2 \circ G_2,$

one obtains

7) $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} P_\alpha = I,\ P_\alpha^2 = P_\alpha,\ P_\alpha \circ P_\beta = 0,\ \alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

Therefore, P_α are independent and supplementary projectors on V. Setting then

8) $W_\alpha = P_\alpha(V),\ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4,$

it results that W_α are independent and supplementary subspaces of V, i.e.

9) $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4.$

Denoting with (F^+, F^-), $G^+, G^-)$, (H^+, H^-) the eigensubspaces of F, G, H corresponding to $+1$ and -1, it follows

10) $W_1 = F^+ \cap G^+ = G^+ \cap H^+ = H^+ \cap F^+,$
$W_2 = F^+ \cap G^- = G^- \cap H^- = H^- \cap F^+$
$W_3 = F^- \cap G^+ = G^+ \cap H^- = H^- \cap F^-,$
$W_4 = F^- \cap G^- = G^- \cap H^+ = H^+ \cap F^-.$

From here it results

11) $F^+ = W_1 \oplus W_2,\ F^- = W_3 \oplus W_4,\ G^+ = W_1 \oplus W_3,$
$G^- = W_2 \oplus W_4,\ H^+ = W_1 \oplus W_4,\ H^- = W_2 \oplus W_3.$

Setting $n_\alpha = \dim W_\alpha$, we obtain $n_1 + n_2 = \dim F^+$, $n_3 + n_4 = \dim F^-$, etc., and $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = \dim V$. If F, G, H are paracomplex (pc)-structures, i.e. $\operatorname{tr} F = \operatorname{tr} G = \operatorname{tr} H = 0$, then $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = n$ and $\dim V = 4n$. We remark that if two of the structures F, G, H are paracomplex, the rested is not necessary paracomplex.

Let $Q_\alpha = I - P_\alpha$ be the supplementary projector of P_α and $\phi_\alpha = 2P_\alpha - I$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, be the associated product structures. Denoting $\overline{W}_\alpha = \sum\limits_{\beta \neq \alpha} W_\alpha$, we obtain for the eigensubspaces of ϕ_α

12) $\phi_\alpha^+ = W_\alpha,\ \phi_\alpha^- = \overline{W}_\alpha,\ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

We have also the relations

13) $\phi_\alpha^2 = I,\ \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta = \phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha,\ \alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4,\ \phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \circ \phi_3 \circ \phi_4 = -I,$

14) $F = -\phi_1 \circ \phi_2 = \phi_3 \circ \phi_4,\ G = -\phi_1 \circ \phi_3 = \phi_2 \circ \phi_4,\ H = -\phi_1 \circ \phi_4 = \phi_2 \circ \phi_3.$

We remark the useful relations

15) $4P_1 = I + F + G + H,\ 4P_2 = I + F - G - H,$
$4P_3 = I - F + G - H,\ 4P_4 = I - F - G + H$

and

16) $F = P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4,\ G = P_1 - P_2 + P_3 - P_4,\ G = P_1 - P_2 - P_3 + P_4.$

Considering on F^+ and F^- the product structures φ and ψ given by $\varphi^+ = W_1$, $\varphi^- = W_2$, $\psi^+ = W_3$, $\psi^- = W_4$, we obtain for G and H

17) $G = \varphi \circ F_1 + \psi \circ F_2,\ H = \varphi \circ F_1 - \psi \circ F_2.$

From the previous considerations it follows that to an hp-structure on V we can associate the following systems of subspaces $\{W_\alpha\}$, $\{W_{\alpha\beta} = W_\alpha \oplus W_\beta\}$, $\{\overline{W}_\alpha\}$, $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We can associate also some equivalent structures: $\{P_\alpha\}$, $\{\phi_\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $\{F, \varphi, \psi\}$, which must satisfy certain conditions resulting from 1) and 2).

In a *canonical* basis for the *hp*-structure (F,G,H), that is formed by vectors situated in W_α, $\alpha=1,2,3,4$, we obtain for F,G,H the matrices

$$F=\begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & -I \end{bmatrix},\ G=\begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & I & \\ & & & -I \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H=\begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & I \end{bmatrix},$$

with the diagonal blocs formed by the $(\pm)$-unitary matrices of dimensions n_α, $\alpha=1,2,3,4$. It follows from here that the group of automorphisms for the *hp*-structure (F,G,H) is isomorphic with $GL(n_1,\mathbb{R})\times GL(n_2,\mathbb{R})\times GL(n_3,\mathbb{R})\times GL(n_4,\mathbb{R})$.

For a metric h on V, i.e. a symmetric and nondegenerate $(0,2)$-tensor, we consider the metrics

19) $g_1=h\circ(I\times I+F\times F+G\times G+H\times H),\ g_2=g_1\circ(F\times I),$
$g_3=g_1\circ(G\times I),\ g_4=g_1\circ(H\times I).$

It follows

20) $g_\alpha\circ(F\times F)=g_\alpha\circ(G\times G)=g_\alpha\circ(H\times H)=g_\alpha,\ \alpha=1,2,3,4.$

Let $\mathcal{M}=\{g_1,g_2,g_3,g_4\}$ be the set of previous metrics and $\mathcal{G}=\{I,F,G,H\}$ the subgroup of $GL(V)$ determined by the *hp*-structure (F,G,H). Setting

$$T(g,(u,v))=g\circ(u\times v),\ \forall g\in\mathcal{M},\ u,v\in\mathcal{G},$$

one obtains a right action of the group $\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}$ are invariant to the restriction of the action T of $\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}$ to its diagonal subgroup.

Definition 2.2. We call the structure (F,G,H,g_1) a *metric hyperproduct* (*mhp*)-structure on V and g_2,g_3,g_4 the *associated* metrics.

If h is an Euclidean metric, then g_1 is also Euclidean and g_2,g_3,g_4 are pseudo–Euclidean. If F,G,H are *pc*-structures and h is Euclidean metric, then the structures (F, g_1), (G, g_1), (H, g_1) are Euclidean *mpc*-structures. Setting $\overset{\alpha}{g} = g_1/W_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1,2,3,4$, we obtain a metric on each of the subspaces W_α. All $\overset{\alpha}{g}$ are Euclidean when g_1 is Euclidean. The pairs (F^+, F^-), (G^+, G^-), (H^+, H^-) are then formed by orthogonal subspaces of V, with respect to all g_α and W_α are also orthogonal subspaces for all g_α. If g_1 is an Euclidean metric on V, then in an orthogonal basis on V, formed by vectors situated in W_α, we obtain

$$21)\ g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & I & \\ & & & I \end{bmatrix},\ g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & -I \end{bmatrix},$$

$$g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & I & \\ & & & -I \end{bmatrix},\ g_4 = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & -I & & \\ & & -I & \\ & & & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, for an Euclidean *mhp*-structure (F, G, H, g_1), the automorphism group is isomorphic with $\mathcal{O}(n_1, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(n_2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(n_3, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(n_4, \mathbb{R})$.

Almost hyperproduct structures on a manifold. Let M be a paracompact C^∞–manifold, $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the ring of real functions, $\mathcal{D}^r_s(M)$ the $\mathcal{F}(M)$ module of (r,s) tensor fields, $\mathcal{D}(M)$ the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-tensor algebra and $\mathcal{D}er(M)$ the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-module of derivations for $\mathcal{D}(M)$.

Definition 3.1. An almost hyperproduct (*ahp*)-structure on M is a triple (F, G, H) of (1,1) tensor fields, which satisfies the conditions 1) and 2) for each $x \in M$.

All the considerations from the previous section may be extended to the tangent bundle TM. For an *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) on M, we denote by $F^\pm, G^\pm, H^\pm$ the eigendistributions (or subbundles of TM), corresponding to ± 1 and by F_a, G_a, H_a, $a = 1,2$, the projectors of F, G, H on $F^\pm$, $G^\pm$, $H^\pm$ respectively. We consider also the projectors P_α, $\alpha = 1,2,3,4$, given by 6), the supplementary projectors $Q_\alpha = I - P_\alpha$ and the distributions (subbundles of TM)

22) $W_\alpha = P_\alpha(TM)$, $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_\alpha \oplus W_\beta$, $\overline{W}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} W_\beta$, $\alpha \neq \beta = 1,2,3,4$.

These distributions are related to the eigendistibutions of F, G, H by the relations 10) and 11) and they will be called the *distributions* of the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H). We denote by $\mathcal{D}^1(M, W_\alpha)$ the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-module of the sections of the subbundle W_α.

If ∇° is a connection on M, then each connection ∇ on M may be written in the form

23) $\nabla = \nabla^\circ + \tau,$

where τ is an $(1, 2)$ tensor field on M. That is, for $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ we have

$$\nabla_X = \nabla^\circ_X + \tau_X,$$

where ∇_X and ∇°_X are derivations in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ and τ_X is the $(1, 1)$ tensor field given by $\tau_X(Y) = \tau(X, Y)$, or τ_X is a derivation in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ with $\tau_X(f) = 0$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. From here it follows the useful result.

Proposition 3.1. *The set $\mathcal{C}(M)$ of the connections on M is an $\mathcal{F}(N)$-affine module (space)* [1] *associated to $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear module $\mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$.*

Let F be an almost product (*ap*)-structure on M. Setting

24) $\psi_F(\nabla)_X = \dfrac{1}{2}(\nabla_X + F \circ \nabla_X \circ F),\ \chi_F(\tau) = \dfrac{1}{2}(\tau_X + F \circ \tau_X \circ F),$

$\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$, it follows $\psi_F(\nabla) \in \mathcal{C}(M),\ \chi_F(\tau) \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$ and

25) $\psi^2_F = \psi_F,\ \chi^2_F = \chi_F,\ \psi_F(\nabla + \tau) = \psi_F(\nabla) + \chi_F(\tau).$

Hence, ψ_F is the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-affine projector on $\mathcal{C}(M)$, associated to $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear projector χ_F on $\mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$.

Definition 3.2. A connection ∇ on M is called *compatible* with the *ap*-structure F (or is an *F-connection*) if it satisfies

26) $\nabla F = 0.$

It is easy to see that $\nabla F = 0$ if and only if ∇ preserves by parallelism the eigendistributions F^+ and F^- of F. From the expression of $\psi_F(\nabla)$ it results

27) $\psi_F(\nabla)_X(F) = 0,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M),$

i.e. the image of any connection ∇ by the projector ψ_F is an F-connection. Conversely, if $\nabla_X F = 0$ it follows $\nabla_X \circ F - F \circ \nabla_X = 0$ and so, $\psi_F(\nabla)_X = \nabla_X$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ i.e. $\nabla \in \operatorname{Im} \psi_F$. Thus, we have

Theorem 3.1. *The set $\mathcal{C}_F(M)$ of the connections compatible with the ap-structure F is the affine submodule of $\mathcal{C}(M)$, which is the image of the affine projector ψ_F*

28) $\mathcal{C}_F(M) = \operatorname{Im} \psi_F$.

Considering on $\mathcal{C}(M)$ the *conjugation* with respect to F i.e. the $\mathcal{F}(M)$-automorphism $C_F : \mathcal{C}(M) \to \mathcal{C}(M)$ given by

29) $C_F(\nabla)_X = F \circ \nabla_X \circ F, \ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$,

we obtain

30) $\psi_F(\nabla) = \dfrac{1}{2}(\nabla + C_F(\nabla))$,

i.e. C_F is the affine symmetry of the affine module $\mathcal{C}(M)$ with respect to affine submodule $\mathcal{C}_F(M)$, made parallely with the linear submodule $\operatorname{Ker} \chi_F$. Hence, $\psi_F(\nabla)$ is the *mean* connection of ∇ and its conjugate with respect to F. $\psi_F(\nabla)$ will be called the *F-connection associated to* ∇ with respect to *ap*-structure F.

Let ∇° be a fixed connection on M. Since $\mathcal{C}_F(M) = \operatorname{Im} \psi_F$, then for each connection $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}_F(M)$ there exists $\nabla' \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ so that $\nabla = \psi_F(\nabla')$. But from 23), there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$ so that $\nabla' = \nabla^\circ + \tau$ and therefore, $\nabla = \psi_F(\nabla^\circ + \tau)$. Then from 25) it follows

Theorem 3.2. *The set $\mathcal{C}_F(M)$ of the connections ∇ compatible with the ap-structure F is given by*

31) $\nabla = \psi_F(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_F(\tau)$,

where ∇° is a fixed connection on M and τ is an arbitrary $(1,2)$-tensor field on M.

Hence $\mathcal{C}_F(M)$ is the affine submodule of $\mathcal{C}(M)$ which passes through the F-connection $\psi_F(\nabla^\circ)$ and has as direction the linear submodule $\operatorname{Im} \chi_F$ of $\mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$.

Definition 3.3. A connection ∇ is called *compatible* with the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) (or is an (F, G, H)-*connection*) if it satisfies

32) $\nabla F = 0,\ \nabla G = 0,\ \nabla H = 0.$

It is clear that if we have, for example, $\nabla F = 0,\ \nabla G = 0$, then we have also

33) $\nabla H = 0,\ \nabla F_a = 0,\ \nabla G_a = 0,\ \nabla H_a = 0,\ a = 1, 2,$
$\nabla P_\alpha = 0,\ \nabla Q_\alpha = 0,\ \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

The compatibility of ∇ with the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) is equivalent with the absolute parallelism of the eigendistributions of F, G and H, in the connection ∇. But it is easy to show that all the distributions $W_\alpha, W_{\alpha\beta}, \overline{W}_\alpha$, $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are absolute parallel in the connection ∇ if and only if W_α, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are. Hence we have

Theorem 3.3. *The connection ∇ is compatible with the ahp-structure (F, G, H) if and only if it preserves by parallelism the distributions W_α, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

From $F \circ G = G \circ F$ it follows $\psi_F \circ \psi_G = \psi_G \circ \psi_F$, $\chi_F \circ \chi_G = \chi_G \circ \chi_F$, $C_F \circ C_G = C_G \circ C_F$. After that ψ_F and ψ_G being affine projectors associated to linear projectors χ_F and χ_G, it follows that $\psi_F \circ \psi_G$ is the affine projector associated to linear projector $\chi_F \circ \chi_G$, i.e.,

34) $\psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla + \tau) = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla) + \chi_F \circ \chi_G(\tau).$

From here it results

Theorem 3.4. *The set $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}(M)$ of the connections compatible with the ap-structure (F, G, H) is given by*

35) $\nabla = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_F \circ \chi_G(\tau),$

where ∇° is a fixed connection on M and τ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$.

With other words, the set $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}(M)$, of (F, G, H)-connections on M, is the image of the affine projector $\psi_F \circ \psi_G$

36) $\mathcal{C}_{F,G}(M) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi_F \circ \psi_G),$

i.e. it is the affine submodule of $\mathcal{C}(M)$ passing by the (F,G,H)-connection $\psi_F\circ\psi_G(\nabla^\circ)$, which has the direction given by the linear submodule $\mathrm{Im}(\chi_F\circ\chi_G)$ of $\mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$.

Taking in 35) $\tau=0$, it follows that an *ahp*-structure (F,G,H) assigns to each connection $\nabla^\circ\in\mathcal{C}(M)$, an (F,G,H)-connection $\nabla=\psi_F\circ\psi_G(\nabla^\circ)$, which may be written in the form

37) $\nabla=\dfrac{1}{4}[\nabla^\circ+C_F(\nabla^\circ)+C_G(\nabla^\circ)+C_H(\nabla^\circ)].$

Hence, we have

Proposition 3.2. *The (F,G,H)-connection ∇ associated to a connection ∇° is the mean connection of ∇° and its conjugate connections with respect to ap-structures F,G,H.*

If we consider $X\in\mathcal{D}^1(M)$ and $Y_\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1(M,W_\alpha)$, $\alpha=1,2,3,4$, we obtain from 37), taking into account 15),

38) $\nabla_X Y_\alpha=P_\alpha(\nabla^\circ_X Y_\alpha),\ \alpha=1,2,3,4.$

Hence, we have

Proposition 3.3. *The connections ∇^α, $\alpha=1,2,3,4$, induced by the (F,G,H)-connection ∇, associated to ∇°, on the subbundles W_α by restriction, coincide with the projections of ∇° on W_α.*

Taking $Y\in\mathcal{D}^1(M)$ and setting $Y=\sum\limits_{\alpha=1}^{4}Y_\alpha$, with $Y_\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1(M,W_\alpha)$, we get

39) $\nabla_X Y=\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 P_\alpha(\nabla^\circ_X(P_\alpha Y)))$

i.e.

40) $\nabla_X=\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 P_\alpha\circ\nabla^\circ_X\circ P_\alpha,\ \forall X\in\mathcal{D}^1(M).$

Definition 3.4. An *Otsuki quasiconnection* [7] on M is a pair $\mathcal{D}=(P,D)$, formed by a tensor field $P\in\mathcal{D}^1_1(M)$ and a mapping $D:\mathcal{D}^1(M)\times$

$\mathcal{D}^1(M) \to \mathcal{D}^1(M)$, which is $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear in the first argument, $\mathbb{R}$-linear in the second and satisfies

$$D_X(fY) = X(f)P(Y) + fD_XY,\ \forall f \in \mathcal{F}(M),\ X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(M).$$

It results that a linear connection is an Otsuki quasiconnection with $P = I$. From 7) and (40) it follows

Proposition 3.4. *For a connection ∇° on M, the pairs $\mathcal{D}^\alpha_X = (P_\alpha, P_\alpha \circ \nabla^\circ_X \circ P_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ determine four Otsuki quasiconnections on M. The restrictions of $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$ to the subbundles W_α coincide with the connections ∇^α, obtained from ∇° by projections and the sum of $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$ is the (F, G, H)-connection ∇ associated to ∇°.*

From 38) and 40) it follows

Proposition 3.5. *A connection ∇ on M is an (F, G, H)-connection if and only if there are the connections ∇^α on the subbundles W_α so that*

41) $\nabla_X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \nabla^\alpha_X \circ P_\alpha,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M).$

It results also from 40)

Proposition 3.6. *A vector field $Y \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$ is parallel along a curve $\gamma \subset M$ in the (F, G, H)-connection ∇ associated to ∇°, if and only if its components $Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_\alpha)$ are parallel along γ in the induced connections ∇^α on W_α.*

The problem of the integrability for an *ahp*-structure has been analysed in a more general framework by Walker [9,10], Hsu [4], Vidal and Vidal Costa [8] and others. We give here some characterizations specific for our case.

Definition 3.5. One sais that the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) is *integrable* if all the distributions of the structure, i.e. $W_\alpha, W_{\alpha\beta}, \overline{W}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are integrable.

One has

Proposition 3.7. *The ahp-structure* (F, G, H) *is integrable if and only if the distributions* $W_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$, *are integrable.*

Indeed, from $W_\alpha = W_{\alpha\beta} \cap W_{\alpha\gamma}$, $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma$ and $W_{\alpha\beta}$ integrable, it follows that W_α are integrable. After that, from $\overline{W}_\alpha = \sum\limits_{\beta\neq\alpha} W_\beta$ and W_α, $W_{\alpha\beta}$ integrable, it results $\overline{W}_\alpha$ integrable.

Since $W_{\alpha\beta}$ are the eigendistributions of the *ap*-structures F, G and H, from this proposition and the integrability of an *ap*-structure it follows

Theorem 3.4. *The ahp-structure* (F, G, H) *is integrable if and only if the ap-structures* F, G *and* H *are integrable, i.e. their Nijenhuis tensors are zero*

42) $N_F = 0,\ N_G = 0,\ N_H = 0.$

It is easy to verify that this condition is equivalent with

43) $N_F = 0,\ N_G = 0,\ N_{F,G} = 0.$

Considering a connection ∇° and its associated connection ∇ with respect to *ahp*-structure (F, G, H), given by 37), we obtain for the torsion of ∇

$$T(X_\alpha, Y_\beta) = P_\beta(\nabla^\circ_{X_\alpha} Y_\beta) - P_\alpha(\nabla^\circ_{Y_\beta} X_\alpha) - [X_\alpha, Y_\beta].$$

From here it follows

$$P_\gamma(T(X_\alpha, Y_\beta)) = -P_\gamma[X_\alpha, Y_\beta],\ \forall \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Remarking that $P_\gamma[X_\alpha, Y_\beta] = 0$, for $\gamma \neq \alpha \neq \beta$, is equivalent with the integrability of the distribution $W_{\alpha\beta}$, it results

Proposition 3.8. *The ahp-structure* (F, G, H) *is integrable if and only if there exists a connection* ∇° *on* M *so that the torsion of the associated connection* ∇, *with respect to structure* (F, G, H), *satisfies*

44) $P_\gamma \circ T \circ (P_\alpha \times P_\beta) = 0,\ \forall \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4.$

Let now g be a metric on M i.e. a symmetric and nondegenerate $(0, 2)$-tensor field.

Definition 3.6. One sais that the metric g is *invariant* to the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H), or that (F, G, H, g) is a *mahp–structure* on M if

45) $g \circ (F \times F) = g,\ g \circ (G \times G) = g,\ g \circ (H \times H) = g.$

As in the first section we can prove that on any paracompact manifold M there exist Riemannian metrics invariant to a given *ahp*-structure.

Setting

46) $g_2 = g \circ (F \times I),\ g_3 = g \circ (G \times I),\ g_4 = g \circ (H \times I)$

we obtain new metrics on M, invariant to *ahp*-structure (F, G, H), called the *associated metrics* to *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g).

Definition 3.7. A connection ∇ on M is called *compatible* with the metric g if it satisfies

47) $\nabla g = 0.$

Considering g as a mapping from $\mathcal{D}^1(M)$ to $\mathcal{D}_1(M)$, which assigns to a vector field Y the 1-form $\omega = g(Y, \cdot)$, i.e. $\omega(Z) = g(Y, Z)$, for each $Z \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$, we can associate to a connection $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ and a tensor field $\tau \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$, the affine and the linear projectors ψ_g and χ_g given respectively by

48) $\psi_g(\nabla)_X = \dfrac{1}{2}(\nabla_X + g^{-1} \circ \nabla_X \circ g),\ \chi_g(\tau)_X = \dfrac{1}{2}(\tau_X + g^{-1} \circ \tau_X \circ g).$

As for an *ap*-structure F, for a metric g, we obtain

Theorem 3.5. *The set $\mathcal{C}_g(M)$ of connections ∇ compatible with a metric g are given by*

49) $\nabla = \psi_g(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_g(\tau),$

where $\nabla^\circ \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ is fixed and $\tau \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$ is arbitrary.

If (F, G, H, g) is an *mahp*-structure on M, then $\psi_F \circ \psi_g = \psi_g \circ \psi_F$, etc., and $\chi_F \circ \chi_g = \chi_g \circ \chi_F$, etc. Thus from [1] it follows

Theorem 3.6. *The set of connections on M, compatible with the mahp-structure (F, G, H, g) is given by*

50) $\nabla = \psi_F \circ \psi_G \circ \psi_g(\nabla^\circ) + \chi_F \circ \chi_G \circ \chi_g(\tau),$

where $\nabla^\circ \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ *is fixed and* $\tau \in \mathcal{D}^1_2(M)$ *is arbitrary.*

We remark that if a connection is compatible with the *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g) it is also compatible with the associated metrics g_2, g_3, g_4. Taking in 50) $\nabla^\circ = \nabla^g$, the Levi-Civita connection of g, we have $\psi_g(\nabla^g) = \nabla^g$ and setting $\tau = 0$, we obtain

Proposition 3.9. *The connection* $\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}} = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^g)$*, i.e. the* (F, G, H, g) *- connection associated to Levi-Civita connection* ∇^g *of* g*, is compatible with the mahp-structure* (F, G, H, g) *on* M*.*

Definition 3.8. We call the connection $\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}} = \psi_F \circ \psi_G(\nabla^g)$, the *first canonical* connection associated to *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g).

Considering an (F, G, H)-connection ∇ on M, we have $\psi_F(\nabla) = \psi_G(\nabla)$ $= \nabla$ and therefore for the connection $\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}} = \psi_F \circ \psi_G \circ \psi_g(\nabla)$, compatible with the *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g), we obtain $\widetilde{\widetilde{\nabla}} = \psi_g(\nabla)$. Hence, we have

Proposition 3.10. *If* ∇ *is an* (F, G, H)*-connection on* M*, then* $\overline{\overline{\nabla}} = \psi_g(\nabla)$ *is compatible with the mahp-structure* (F, G, H, g)*.*

Let ∇ be an (F, G, H)-connection on M. As we have seen, setting $\nabla^\alpha_X Y_\alpha = \nabla_X Y_\alpha$, for $X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$ and $Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_{\alpha\beta})$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we obtain a connection ∇^α on each subbundle W_α of TM. Considering then as torsion for the connection ∇^α, the tensor field $T^\alpha = P_\alpha \circ T \circ (P_\alpha \times P_\alpha)$ restricted to W_α, where T is the torsion of ∇, we obtain

51) $T^\alpha(X_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = \nabla_{X_\alpha} Y_\alpha - \nabla_{Y_\alpha} X_\alpha - P_\alpha[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]$, $\forall X_\alpha, Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Now we can prove

Theorem 3.7. *Given a Riemannian mahp-structure* (F, G, H, g) *on* M*, there exists a unique connection* $\widehat{\nabla}$ *on* M *which satisfies*

52) a) $\widehat{\nabla} F = 0$, $\widehat{\nabla} G = 0$; b) $P_\beta \circ \widehat{T} \circ (P_\alpha \times P_\beta) = 0$, $\alpha \neq \beta$; c) $\widehat{T}^\alpha = 0$; d) $\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} \overset{\alpha}{g} = 0$, *where* $\overset{\alpha}{g} = g \circ (P_\alpha \times P_\alpha)$ *and* $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Indeed, from a) we obtain $\widehat{\nabla}_X Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_\alpha)$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(M)$, $Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and taking into account b) it follows

53) $\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} Y_\beta = P_\beta[X_\alpha, Y_\beta]$, $\alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

After that, from c) and d) we have

54) $\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} Y_\alpha - \widehat{\nabla}_{Y_\alpha} X_\alpha - P_\alpha[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha] = 0,$
$X_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{g}(Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha) = \overset{\alpha}{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha) + \overset{\alpha}{g}(X_\alpha, \widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} Z_\alpha).$

Thus, as well as in the Riemannian case, it follows from here

$$2\overset{\alpha}{g}(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha) = X_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{g}(Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha) + Y_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{g}(Z_\alpha, X_\alpha) - Z_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{g}(Y_\alpha, Y_\alpha) -$$
$$- \overset{\alpha}{g}(X_\alpha, P_\alpha[Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha]) + \overset{\alpha}{g}(Y_\alpha, P_\alpha[Z_\alpha, X_\alpha]) + \overset{\alpha}{g}(Z_\alpha, P_\alpha[X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]),$$
$$\forall X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^1(M, W_\alpha).$$

Hence, we have obtained a unique connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ which preserves the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H), but generally it does not preserves the metric g. More precisely, we have

56) $(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} g)(Y_\alpha, Z_\alpha) = 0,\ \widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} g(Y_\beta, Z_\beta) = (\mathcal{L}_{X_\alpha} \overset{\alpha}{g})(Y_\beta, Z_\beta),$
$(\widehat{\nabla}_{X_\alpha} g)(Y_\beta, Z_\gamma) = 0,\ \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4,$

where $\mathcal{L}_X$ is the Lie derivative with respect to X.

Setting then $\overline{\nabla} = \psi_g(\widehat{\nabla})$, it follows from Proposition 3.10 that $\overline{\nabla}$ is an (F, G, H, g)-connection on M.

Definition 3.9. We call the connection $\overline{\nabla} = \psi_g(\widehat{\nabla})$ the *second canonical connection* for the Riemannian *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g).

Example. Let N be a manifold and $M = TN$ the total space of the tangent bundle $\pi : TN \to N$. Setting for each 1-form $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_1(N)$, given locally by $\mu(x) = \mu_i(x) dx^i$, $\gamma(\mu)(z) = \mu_i(x) y^i$, where $z = (x, y) \in T_x N$, we obtain a class of functions on TN, with the following property. For any two vector fields $A, B \in \mathcal{D}^1(TN)$, we have $A = B$ if and only if $A(\gamma\mu) = B(\gamma\mu)$, for each $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_1(N)$.

Let ∇ be a connection and X a vector field on N. Setting

57) $X^h(\gamma\mu) = \gamma(\nabla_X \mu),\ X^v(\gamma\mu) = \mu(X) \circ \pi,\ \forall \mu \in \mathcal{D}_1(N),$

we obtain two vector fields X^h and X^v on TN, called respectively the *horizontal* and the *vertical lifts* of X. For an 1-form ω on N, the *horizontal* and *vertical lifts* are given by

58) $\omega^h(X^h) = \omega(X) \circ \pi,\ \omega^h(X^v) = 0;$
$\omega^v(X^h(= 0,\ \omega^v(X^v) = \omega(X) \circ \pi,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N).$

After that, setting

59) $F(X^h) = X^h,\ F(X^v) = -X^v,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N)$,

we obtain an *apc*-structure F on TN, having as eigendistributions F^+ and F^-, the *horizontal distribution* HTN of the connection ∇ and the *vertical distribution* VTN of the fibration. For $f \in \mathcal{D}^1_1(TN)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}^0_2(N)$ we define the *horizontal* and *vertical lifts* f^h, f^v and g^h, g^v on TN, by

60) $f^h(X^h) = f(X)^h,\ f^h(X^v) = 0;\ f^v(X^h) = 0,\ f^v(X^v) = f(X)^v,$
$g^h(X^h, Y^h) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi,\ g^h(X^h, Y^v) = g^h(X^v, Y^h) = g^h(X^v, Y^v) = 0,$
$g^v(X^h, Y^h) = g^v(X^h, Y^v) = g^v(X^v, Y^h) = 0,$
$g^v(X^v, Y^v) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi,\ \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(N).$

Let now (f, g) be a *map*-structure on N i.e. $g \circ (f \times f) = g$ and $\widetilde{g} = g \circ (f \times I)$ its associated metric. Considering the lifts

61) $G = f^h + f^v,\ H = f^h - f^v,\ g_1 = g^h + g^v,\ g_2 = g^h - g^v,$
$g_3 = \widetilde{g}^h + \widetilde{g}^v,\ g_4 = \widetilde{g}^h - \widetilde{g}^v,$

one obtains

Theorem 3.8. *If (f, g) is a map-structure, $\widetilde{g}$ its associated metric and ∇ a linear connection on N, then the tensor fields F, G, H, g_1 given by* 59) *and* 61)*, determine on TN a mahp-structure with the associated metrics g_2, g_3, g_4.*

We remark that the pairs (f^h, g^h) and (f^v, g^v) determine *map*-structures on the subbundles HTN and VTN with $\widetilde{g}^h$ and $\widetilde{g}^v$ as associated metrics. After that the distributions (subbundles) W_α of the *ahp*-structure (F, G, H) are given by

62) $W_1 = (f^h)^+,\ W_2 = (f^h)^-,\ W_3 = (f^v)^+,\ W_4 = (f^v)^-.$

Considering local coordinates (x^i) on N and (x^i, y^i) on TN, where for $z = (x, y) \in T_xN$, $y = y^i \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and setting for ∇, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma^k_{ij} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, we obtain on TN the local vector fields and 1-forms

63) $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^h = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma^k_{ij} y^j \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k},\ \delta y^i = (dx^i)^v = dy^i + \Gamma^i_{kj} y^j dx^k.$

Hence, to the natural basis $\left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)$ and co–basis (dx^i) on N, we can associate on TN the adapted basis $\left(\dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)$ and co–basis $(dx^i, \delta y^i)$. In these bases, denoting by the same letter the matrix of each tensor field, we obtain

$$64)\ F = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix},\ G = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix},\ H = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & -f \end{bmatrix},$$
$$g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix},\ g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & -g \end{bmatrix},\ g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{g} \end{bmatrix},\ g_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & -\widetilde{g} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{tr} F = \operatorname{tr} G = 0$, $\operatorname{tr} H = 2\operatorname{tr} f$ and hence F and G determine always *apc*-stuctures on TN and G determines an *apc*-structure if and only if f determines an *apc*-structure on N. If g is a Riemannian metric on N, then (F, G, H, g_1) is a Riemannian *mahp*-structure on TN, g_1 being the Sasaki metric associated to metric g and the connection ∇ on N. The associated metrics g_2, g_3, g_4 are always of hyperbolic type. More precisely, g_2 and g_4 are always of neutral type and g_3 is neutral if and only if f is an *apc*-structure on N. In an orthogonal basis on N, formed by eigenvectors of f and the basis on TN formed by the corresponding horizontal and vertical lifts of these, the tensors of the Riemannian *mahp*-structure (F, G, H, g_1) and the associated metric g_2, g_3, g_4 are given by 18) and 21), where $n_1 = n_3$ and $n_2 = n_4$. Let now D be the *diagonal* lift of ∇, [3], i.e. the connection on TN given by

$$65)\ D_{X^h} Y^h = (\nabla_X Y)^h,\ D_{X^h} Y^v = (\nabla_X Y)^v,\ D_{X^v} Y^h = D_{X^v} Y^v = 0,\ \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^1(N).$$

For the horizontal and vertical lifts of $f \in \mathcal{D}^1_1(N)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}^0_2(N)$, we obtain

$$66)\ D_{X^h}(f^h) = (\nabla_X f)^h,\ D_{X^v}(f^h) = 0,\ D_{X^h}(f^v) = (\nabla_X f)^v,\ D_{X^v}(f^v) = 0;$$
$$D_{X^h}(g^h) = (\nabla_X g)^h,\ D_{X^v}(g^h) = 0,\ D_{X^h}(g^v) = (\nabla_X g)^v,\ D_{X^v}(g^v) = 0,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N).$$

If (f, g) is a *map*-structure and $\widetilde{g}$ its associated metric, we get for the *mahp*-

structure (F, G, H, g_1) and the associated metrics g_2, g_3, g_4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&DF = 0,\ D_{X^h}G = (\nabla_X f)^h + (\nabla_X f)^v,\ D_{X^v}G = 0,\\
&D_{X^h}H = (\nabla_X f)^h - (\nabla_X f)^v,\ D_{X^v}H = 0;\\
&D_{X^h}g_1 = (\nabla_X g)^h + (\nabla_X g)^v,\ D_{X^v}g_1 = 0,\\
&D_{X^h}g_2 = (\nabla_X g)^h - (\nabla_X g)^v,\ D_{X^v}g_2 = 0\\
&D_{X^h}g_3 = (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^h + (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^v,\ D_{X^v}g_3 = 0,\\
&D_{X^h}g_4 = (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^h - (\nabla_X \widetilde{g})^v,\ D_{X^v}g_4 = 0,\ \forall X \in \mathcal{D}^1(N).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, DF is always zero, DG and DH are simultaneous zero and namely when ∇f is zero. Dg_1 and Dg_2 are zero for $\nabla g = 0$ and Dg_3 and Dg_4 are zero for $\nabla \widetilde{g} = 0$. Resuming the previous considerations we obtain finally

Theorem 3.9. *If (f, g) is a map-structure on N and $\nabla = \psi_f(\nabla^g)$ is the canonical (f, g)-connection associated to it, then (F, G, H, g_1) is a mahp-structure on TN and the diagonal lift D of ∇ is compatible with this structure.*

REFERENCES

1. Cruceanu, V. – *Connections compatibles avec certaines structures sur un fibré vectoriel banachique*, Czechoslovak. Math. J. 24 (99), 1974, 126–142.
2. Cruceanu, V., Fortuni, P. and Gadea, P. – *A Survey on Paracomplex Geometry.* Rocky Mountain J. Math. 26 (1996), n. 1, 83-115.
3. Cruceanu, V. – *On Certain Lifts in the Tangent Bundle*, An. Şt. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza", tom XLVI, s. I-a, Mat. 46 (2000), f. 1, 57-72.
4. Hsu, C.J. – *On Some Properties of π-Structures on Differentiable Manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. v. 12 (1960), 428-454.
5. Legrand, J. – *Etude d'une gńeéralisation des structures presque–complexes sur les variétés différentiables*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 7 (1958), 323-354; ibid. 8(1959), 5-48.
6. Liberman, P. – *Sur le problème d'équivalence de certaines structures infinitésimales.* Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 4 (36) (1954), 27-120.
7. Otsuki, T. – *On General Connections* I, II. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 9 (1960), 99-164, 10 (1961), 113-124.

8. Vidal, E. and Vidal Costa E. – *Special Connections and Almost Foliated Metrics*, J. Diff. Geom. 8 (1973), 297-304.

9. Walker, A.G. – *Connections for Parallel Distributions in the Large.* Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 9(1958), 221-231.

10. Walker, A.G. – *Almost Product Structures*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. v. 3 (1961), 94-100.

Received: 26.III.2002

Faculty of Mathematics,
University of Iaşi
6600, Iaşi,
ROMÂNIA