

REGULARITY AND O-CONTINUITY FOR MULTISUBMEASURES

BY

ALINA CRISTIANA GAVRILUT

Abstract. In the present paper we study the relationship between regularity and o-continuity for multisubmeasures. Namely, the main result states that a multisubmeasure is R' -regular on the Baire δ -ring (respectively, σ -ring) \mathcal{B}_0 (respectively, \mathcal{B}'_0) generated by the compact sets which are G_δ (that is, countable intersection of open sets) of a Hausdorff locally compact space, if and only if it is o-continuous on \mathcal{B}_0 (respectively, \mathcal{B}'_0).

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 28C15, 49753.

Key words: multisubmeasure, regular multisubmeasure, o-continuous multisubmeasure.

1. Basic notions, terminology and notations. Let T be an abstract set, \mathcal{C} a ring of subsets of T , X a real normed space, $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ the family of all nonempty subsets of X , $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ the family of closed, nonvoid sets of X , h the Hausdorff pseudometric on $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$. We define $|M| = h(M, \{0\})$, for every $M \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$, where 0 is the origin of X . If, in addition, X is complete, then so is $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$. It is known that $h(M, N) = \max\{e(M, N), e(N, M)\}$, for every $M, N \subset X$, where $e(M, N) = \sup\{d(x, N); x \in M\}$, d being the distance from x to N with respect to the metric induced by the norm of X .

On $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ we introduce the Minkowski addition, denoted by the symbol $\overset{\bullet}{+}$, defined by:

$$(1) \quad M \overset{\bullet}{+} N = \overline{M + N},$$

for every $M, N \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$.

We recall the following classical notions:

I) A set function $m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ is said to be a **submeasure** (respectively, a **strictly submeasure**) in Drewnowski's sense [6], if $m(\emptyset) = 0$, $m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + m(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (respectively, $m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + m(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A, B \neq \emptyset$), and $m(A) \leq m(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, with $A \subseteq B$.

In the sequel let $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ be a multivalued set function.

II) μ is said to be **(finite) additive** if $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ and $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

III) $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be **order-continuous** (briefly, **o-continuous**) with respect to h , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$, for every sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $A_n \searrow \emptyset$ (that is, $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$).

IV) $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be **exhaustive** with respect to h , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$, for every disjoint sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$.

V) $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be **semiexhaustive** with respect to h , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$, for every disjoint sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$, with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$.

VI) $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be **increasing convergent** if $\lim h(\mu(A_n), \mu(A)) = 0$, for every increasing sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $A_n \nearrow A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$.

VII) $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be **h - σ -subadditive** if $|\mu(A)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_n)|$, for every sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$, $m \neq n$ and $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$.

VIII) If $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$, we call the **semivariation** of μ , the set function $\hat{\mu}$ defined by:

$$(2) \quad \hat{\mu}(A) = \sup\{|\mu(B)|; B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{C}\}, \text{ for every } A \subset T.$$

In the sequel we shall use the special type of multivalued set function, which we have introduced in [8] and was called there a multisubmeasure.

Definition 1.1. I) A multivalued set function μ from \mathcal{C} to $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is

said to be a **multisubmeasure** if:

$$\begin{cases} a) \mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}, \\ b) \mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B), \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ with } A \cap B = \emptyset, \\ c) \mu(A) \subseteq \mu(B), \text{ for every } A, B \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ with } A \subseteq B. \end{cases}$$

II) A multivalued set function μ from \mathcal{C} to $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be a **strictly multisubmeasure** if μ satisfies the conditions a) and c) from definition 1.1.I) and instead of b) we have b') $\mu(A \cup B) \subsetneq \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}, A \cap B = \emptyset, A, B \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 1.2. The condition $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ is equivalent to the condition $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A) \dot{+} \mu(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$.

We have presented in [8] the relationships among o-continuity, exhaustivity, h - σ -subadditivity and increasing convergence: o-continuity is equivalent to h - σ -subadditivity, it implies increasing convergence and if, supplementary, \mathcal{C} is a σ -ring, it implies exhaustivity. Also, if \mathcal{C} is simply a ring, then a multisubmeasure may be o-continuous and not exhaustive, and conversely, it can be exhaustive and not o-continuous.

In the following we recall different definitions for the regularity of multisubmeasures, which have been introduced in [8]. The same as there, in this section we shall suppose, additionally, that T is a Hausdorff locally compact space. Let \mathcal{B}_0 (respectively, \mathcal{B}'_0) be the Baire δ -ring (respectively, σ -ring) generated by the compact sets which are G_δ and \mathcal{B} (respectively, \mathcal{B}') the borelian δ -ring (respectively, σ -ring) generated by the compact sets of T .

Let \mathcal{C} be a ring of subsets of T .

Definition 1.3. I) A set $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be **R -regular** with respect to the multisubmeasure μ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a compact set $K \subset A, K \in \mathcal{C}$ and an open set $D \supset A, D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $h(\mu(A), \mu(B)) < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}, K \subset B \subset D$.

II) A set $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be **R_l -regular** with respect to the multisubmeasure μ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \subset A, K \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $h(\mu(A), \mu(B)) < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}, K \subset B \subset A$.

III) A set $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be R_r - **regular** with respect to the multisubmeasure μ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $D \supset A$, $D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $h(\mu(A), \mu(B)) < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $A \subset B \subset D$.

Definition 1.4. A multisubmeasure $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be R - **regular** (R_l -**regular**, R_r - **regular**) if every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is a R -regular (R_l - regular, R_r - regular, respectively) set with respect to μ .

It is easy to see that every compact set $K \in \mathcal{C}$ is R_l - regular (with respect to μ) and every open set $D \in \mathcal{C}$ is R_r - regular (with respect to μ).

Definition 1.5. I) A set $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be R' - **regular** with respect to the multisubmeasure μ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there are a compact set $K \subset A$, $K \in \mathcal{C}$ and an open set $D \supset A$, $D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset D \setminus K$.

II) A set $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be R'_l - **regular** with respect to the multisubmeasure μ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K \subset A$, $K \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A \setminus K$.

III) A set $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be R'_r - **regular** with respect to the multisubmeasure μ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $D \supset A$, $D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset D \setminus A$.

It is easy to see that every compact set $K \in \mathcal{C}$ is R'_l - regular and every open set $D \in \mathcal{C}$ is R'_r - regular.

Definition 1.6. A multisubmeasure $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is said to be R' - **regular** (R'_l - **regular**, R'_r - **regular**) if every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is a R' - regular (R'_l - regular, R'_r - regular, respectively) set.

We have proved in [8] that if \mathcal{C} is the δ -ring generated by the compact sets which are G_δ , or simply by the compact sets, then a multisubmeasure $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is R' -regular if and only if it is R'_l - regular (or R'_r - regular).

2. Regularity and o-continuity. It is natural to ask ourselves if there exists any relationship between a certain type of regularity and o-continuity, without any special assumption on the definition ring or space. We show that any R'_l -regular multisubmeasure on a ring \mathcal{C} is o-continuous.

On the other hand, in the following example we present an o-continuous multisubmeasure which is not regular (in a certain sense).

Example 2.1. Let the ring $\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ in $T = R$, $\nu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R_+$, defined by:

$$\nu(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & A = \emptyset, \\ 1, & A = \{1\} \text{ or } A = \{2\}, \\ \frac{3}{2}, & A = \{1, 2\}, \end{cases}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f([0, \infty))$, defined by:

$$\mu(A) = [0, \nu(A)], \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{C}.$$

It easily gets (see [8]) that μ is a strictly multisubmeasure which is o-continuous and exhaustive. On the other hand, μ is R'_l and R_l -regular and it is not R'_r or R_r -regular. Consequently, it is not R' -regular.

In the sequel, let T be a Hausdorff locally compact space and \mathcal{C} a ring of subsets of T .

It is well-known from [3] that any $[0, \infty]$ -valued Baire measure is regular. Using this fact, DINCULEANU and KLUVANEK generalized this result, proving in [4] that a Baire measure taking values in a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space is also regular. Lately, DINCULEANU and LEWIS gave in [5] a more direct proof of this result, which also holds for the non-negative and, respectively, the vector case. In turn, SUNDARESAN and DAY (in [14]) and KHURANA (in [9]) (using a different method) gave a generalization to a Hausdorff topological group valued Baire measure. Particularly, if the group is a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space, their result improves the theorems of DINCULEANU- KLUVANEK-LEWIS. A natural extension to the uniform semigroup case was obtained by MORALES in [11].

In [1], BELLEY and MORALES introduced an abstract type of regularity for topological group-valued measures. They proved that in this context, a regular finite additive set function is a measure, that is, regularity implies σ -additivity. This result was generalized in [10] by MARIA GONZALEZ for measures taking values in a uniform semigroup.

Taking as starting point the works of BELLEY and MORALES [1] and MARIA GONZALEZ [10], PRECUPANU generalized in [13] their result for the case of multimeasures, proving that, with some assumptions on the definition set, a regular multimeasure is σ -additive. She also proved that a σ -additive and locally exhaustive multimeasure defined on \mathcal{B}_0 is regular.

Following this direction we turn now the attention to multisubmeasures, in order to see if the above mentioned results have here a correspondent. It

is known, for instance from [11] and [14] that:

1) If G is a Hausdorff topological group, \mathcal{C} is a ring of subsets of an abstract set and $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G$ is a set function, then:

a) If μ is σ -additive, then μ is exhaustive.

b) If μ is finite additive, then μ is σ -additive if and only if it is o-continuous.

2) If S is a uniform semigroup, \mathcal{C} a ring of subsets of an abstract set and $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is a set function, then:

a) If μ is finite additive and o-continuous, then it is σ -additive.

b) If μ is σ -additive and semiexhaustive, then it is o-continuous.

That is why, in the following we shall consider o-continuity, proving that it is a useful tool instead of σ -additivity for multisubmeasures. We obtain as a consequence of our main result that a multisubmeasure is R' -regular on \mathcal{B}_0 (respectively, \mathcal{B}'_0) if and only if it is o-continuous on \mathcal{B}_0 (respectively, \mathcal{B}'_0).

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a δ -ring. If $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is an o-continuous multisubmeasure and if $(A_n)_{n \in N} \subset \mathcal{C}$, $A_n \searrow \emptyset$, then:*

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } n_0 \in N \\ \text{such that } |\mu(B)| < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset A_{n_0}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since μ is o-continuous and $(A_n)_{n \in N} \subset \mathcal{C}$, $A_n \searrow \emptyset$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $|\mu(A_n)| < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$. Particularly,

$$(4) \quad |\mu(A_{n_0})| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_{n_0}$. We have then:

$$(5) \quad |\mu(B)| \leq |\mu(A_{n_0})|.$$

From (4) and (5) we draw the conclusion. □

Using an idea of SUNDARESAN and DAY in [14], we prove:

Theorem 2.3. *If \mathcal{C} is the δ -ring generated by a class \mathcal{D} of subsets of T and if $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is an o-continuous multisubmeasure which is R' -regular on \mathcal{D} , then μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{C} ; A \text{ is } R'\text{-regular with respect to } \mu\}$. We show that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$. First, let us prove that \mathcal{A} is a ring. Indeed, if $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist the compact sets $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and the open sets $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $K_1 \subset A_1 \subset D_1$, $K_2 \subset A_2 \subset D_2$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset D_1 \setminus K_1$ or $B \subset D_2 \setminus K_2$, respectively.

Let $B \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(6) \quad B \subset (D_1 \cup D_2) \setminus (K_1 \cup K_2).$$

It is evident that $D_1 \cup D_2$ is an open set, $K_1 \cup K_2$ is a compact set and $K_1 \cup K_2 \subset A_1 \cup A_2 \subset D_1 \cup D_2$. From (6) we get that there exist $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $B_1 \subset D_1 \setminus K_1$, $B_2 \subset D_2 \setminus K_2$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Hence, $|\mu(B_1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|\mu(B_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. We obtain that $|\mu(B)| \leq |\mu(B_1)| + |\mu(B_2)| < \varepsilon$, so $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now, let $B \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(7) \quad B \subset (D_1 \setminus K_2) \setminus (K_1 \setminus D_2) \subset (D_1 \setminus K_1) \cup (D_2 \setminus K_2).$$

Clearly, $D_1 \setminus K_2, K_1 \setminus D_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $D_1 \setminus K_2$ is an open set, $K_1 \setminus D_2$ is a compact set, and $K_1 \setminus D_2 \subset A_1 \setminus A_2 \subset D_1 \setminus K_2$. From (7) we get that there exist $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $B_1 \subset D_1 \setminus K_1$, $B_2 \subset D_2 \setminus K_2$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. As before, we obtain that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, so $A_1 \setminus A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now, let us prove that \mathcal{A} is a δ -ring. It is sufficient to show that if $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $A_n \searrow A$, then $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Because $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a compact set $K_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and an open set $D_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $K_n \subset A_n \subset D_n$, such that

$$(8) \quad |\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset D_n \setminus K_n.$$

Let us denote $C_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n K_i$, $E_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n D_i$ and $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Since $C_n \searrow C$, from lemma 2.2., we get that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(9) \quad |\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset C_{n_0} \setminus C.$$

So, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C_{n_0} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} K_i$ a compact set in \mathcal{C} and $E_{n_0} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} D_i$ an open set in \mathcal{C} , $C_{n_0} \subset K_{n_0} \subset A_{n_0} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} A_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} D_i = E_{n_0}$. This implies $C_{n_0} \subset A_{n_0} \subset E_{n_0}$.

We prove that

$$(10) \quad |\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ with} \\ B \subset E_{n_0} \setminus C_{n_0} = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} D_i \right) \setminus \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} K_i \right) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} (D_i \setminus K_i).$$

Because $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} (D_i \setminus K_i)$, we have that $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{n_0}$, $B_i \subset D_i \setminus K_i$, for every $i = \overline{1, n_0}$. From (8) it follows that

$$(11) \quad |\mu(B_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}}, \text{ for every } i = \overline{1, n_0}.$$

Then $|\mu(B)| = |\mu(B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{n_0})| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\mu(B_i)| < \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, as claimed.

Let us prove now that $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Taking into account that $C \subset A \subset E_{n_0}$ (clearly, C is a compact set in \mathcal{C} and E_{n_0} is an open set in \mathcal{C}), we shall show that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset E_{n_0} \setminus C$. Indeed, $B \subset E_{n_0} \setminus C = (E_{n_0} \setminus C_{n_0}) \cup (C_{n_0} \setminus C)$. This implies that there exist $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $B_1 \subset E_{n_0} \setminus C_{n_0}$, $B_2 \subset C_{n_0} \setminus C$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. From (9) and (10) we get that $|\mu(B_1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|\mu(B_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Thus $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$ and, therefore, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{A} being a δ -ring and \mathcal{C} the smallest δ -ring containing \mathcal{D} , we obtain that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$, which completes the proof. \square

The following theorem shows that for a special ring \mathcal{C} , an o -continuous multisubmeasure becomes R' -regular.

Theorem 2.4. *If $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is an o -continuous multisubmeasure, then μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{B}_0 .*

Proof. Let us denote \mathcal{D} , the class of all compact sets of T which are G_δ ; so, \mathcal{B}_0 is the δ -ring generated by \mathcal{D} . Using the theorem 2.3., we observe that it is sufficient to show that μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{D} . Let $K \in \mathcal{D}$. It is known that there exists a decreasing sequence of open sets $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $D_n \searrow K$ [see [3]]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From lemma 2.2., we get that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $B \subset D_{n_0} \setminus K$, hence μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{D} , as claimed. \square

Corollary 2.5. *According to the preceding theorem we obtain that, particularly, μ is R -regular and R'_l (R'_r)-regular on \mathcal{B}_0 .*

Using the above mentioned results, we can give the following example of a R' -regular, strictly multisubmeasure.

Example 2.6. Let T be a locally compact space, \mathcal{C} a ring of subsets of T and $\nu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R_+$ a strictly submeasure which is o-continuous on \mathcal{C} . We define the multivalued set function

$$\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f([0, \infty)), \text{ by } \mu(A) = [0, \nu(A)], \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Then μ is an o-continuous strictly multisubmeasure. If, particularly, \mathcal{C} is the Baire δ -ring \mathcal{B}_0 , from theorem 2.4. we get that μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{B}_0 .

We prove now that it also holds a converse of theorem 2.4. in the general setting of a ring:

Theorem 2.7. *If $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is a R'_i -regular multisubmeasure on \mathcal{C} , then μ is o-continuous.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(A_n)_{n \in N} \subset \mathcal{C}$, $A_n \searrow \emptyset$. We have to prove that there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $|\mu(A_n)| < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$. Since every A_n is R'_i -regular, we obtain that for every $n \in N$, there exists a compact set $K_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $K_n \subset A_n$ such that $|\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_n \setminus K_n$. Then we also have that

$$(12) \quad |\mu(A_n \setminus K_n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}, \text{ for every } n \in N.$$

In the following we construct by induction a decreasing sequence of compact sets $(K'_n)_{n \in N}$ of \mathcal{C} , such that $K'_n \subset A_n$, for every $n \in N$ and $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_n \setminus K'_n$. Let $K'_1 = K_1 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then K'_1 is a compact set, $K'_1 \subset A_1$, $|\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_1 \setminus K'_1$. Let $K'_2 = K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $K'_2 \subset A_2$, K'_2 is a compact set and if we consider $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_2 \setminus K'_2 = (A_2 \setminus K_1) \cup (A_2 \setminus K_2) \subset (A_1 \setminus K_1) \cup (A_2 \setminus K_2)$, then there exist $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $T_1 \subset A_1 \setminus K_1$, $T_2 \subset A_2 \setminus K_2$, $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$, $B = T_1 \cup T_2$. From (12) we get that $|\mu(B)| \leq |\mu(T_1)| + |\mu(T_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^2} < \varepsilon$.

In the following we suppose that we have constructed $K'_1, K'_2, \dots, K'_{p-1}$. Let then $K'_p = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_p \in \mathcal{C}$. We have that $K'_p \subset A_p$ and K'_p is a compact set in \mathcal{C} . Let $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_p \setminus K'_p = (A_p \setminus K_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_p \setminus K_p) \subset (A_1 \setminus K_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_p \setminus K_p)$. Then $B = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_p$, $T_i \subset A_i \setminus K_i$, for every $i = \overline{1, p}$ and from (12) we get that $|\mu(B)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^p |\mu(T_i)| < \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} < \varepsilon$. The construction of the sequence $(K'_n)_{n \in N}$ is thus finished. Because $K'_n \subset A_n$, for every $n \in N$

and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$, we get that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K'_n = \emptyset$. But, on the other hand, $K'_n \subset K'_1$, for every $n \geq 1$, where K'_1 is a compact set in \mathcal{C} . From here we draw the conclusion that there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) \in N^*$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{n_0} K'_n = K'_{n_0} = \emptyset$. We have then $A_n \subset A_{n_0} = A_{n_0} \setminus K'_{n_0}$, for every $n \geq n_0$, from which we obtain that $|\mu(A_n)| < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n_0$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ be a multisubmeasure. Then:*

- i) μ is o -continuous on \mathcal{B}_0 if and only if μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{B}_0 .
- ii) μ is o -continuous on \mathcal{B}_0 if and only if μ is $R'_l(R'_r)$ -regular on \mathcal{B}_0 .

Corollary 2.9. *If $\mu : \mathcal{B}$ (or \mathcal{B}' , respectively) $\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is a R'_l -regular multisubmeasure on \mathcal{B} (or \mathcal{B}' , respectively), then μ is o -continuous.*

We present now the relationship between regularity and exhaustivity.

Theorem 2.10. *If $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is a R'_l -regular multisubmeasure, then μ is exhaustive.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(A_n)_{n \in N} \subset \mathcal{C}$, $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$, $m \neq n$. Since every A_n is R'_l -regular, we obtain that for every $n \in N$, there exists a compact set $K_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $K_n \subset A_n$, such that $|\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset A_n \setminus K_n$. Then also, since $|\mu((A_n \setminus K_n) \setminus B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$, for a fixed set $B \in \mathcal{C}$, we get that

$$(13) \quad |\mu(A_n \setminus K_n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}, \text{ for every } n \in N.$$

But $K_n \cap K_m = \emptyset$, $m \neq n$, and, therefore, $A_n \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \setminus K_i)$, for every $n \in N$. From (13) and the definition of h we obtain that $|\mu(A_n)| < \varepsilon$, for every $n \in N$. Hence μ is exhaustive. \square

Remark 2.11. The converse of the theorem 2.10. does not hold in general: there exist multisubmeasures which are exhaustive and not regular in a certain sense (see, for instance, example 2.1.).

Remark 2.12. It is known from [8] that if \mathcal{C} is a σ -ring and $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is o -continuous then μ is exhaustive. In this situation, theorem 2.10. appears as a consequence of theorem 2.7. Then o -continuity stands between regularity and exhaustivity, for the case of a σ -ring.

We also can obtain an analog of theorem 2.3. on a σ -ring:

Theorem 2.13. *If \mathcal{C} is the σ -ring generated by a class \mathcal{D} of subsets of T and if $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is an o -continuous multisubmeasure which is R' -regular on \mathcal{D} , then μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. We use the same notations as in theorem 2.3. We have to establish that \mathcal{A} is a σ -ring, that is, without loss of generality, for every sequence $(A_n)_{n \in N} \subset \mathcal{A}$, $A_n \nearrow A$ involves $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $n \in N$, A_n is R' -regular, so, for every $n \in N$, there can be found a compact set $K_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and an open set $D_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $K_n \subset A_n \subset D_n$, such that $|\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset D_n \setminus K_n$. Let us denote $C_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n K_i$, $E_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$, $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Since $D \setminus E_n \searrow \emptyset$, from lemma 2.2., we obtain that there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$(14) \quad |\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset D \setminus E_{n_0}.$$

So, we get that $C_{n_0} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_0} K_i$ is a compact set, $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is an open set, $C_{n_0} \subset A \subset D$ and $C_{n_0} \subset A_{n_0} \subset E_{n_0}$. Exactly as in the proof of theorem 2.3., we can show that

$$(15) \quad |\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{C}, B \subset E_{n_0} \setminus C_{n_0}.$$

It remains to prove that $|\mu(B)| < \varepsilon$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $B \subset D \setminus C_{n_0}$. Indeed, since $B \subset D \setminus C_{n_0} = (D \setminus E_{n_0}) \cup (E_{n_0} \setminus C_{n_0})$, we obtain that $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, $B_1 \subset D \setminus E_{n_0}$, $B_2 \subset E_{n_0} \setminus C_{n_0}$, $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Thus, from (14) and (15), $|\mu(B)| \leq |\mu(B_1)| + |\mu(B_2)| < \varepsilon$. This completes the proof.

We observe that it also can be indicated another proof of this theorem, based on the fact that o -continuity is equivalent to h - σ -subadditivity (see [8]). Indeed, if we consider the same K_n and D_n , then $K = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \in \mathcal{C}$ is

a compact set, $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \in \mathcal{C}$ is an open set and $K \subset A \subset D$. If $B \in \mathcal{C}$,

$B \subset D \setminus K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_n \setminus K_n)$, then since μ is h - σ -subadditive, we get that

$$|\mu(B)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(B_n)| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon,$$

that is, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. □

Corollary 2.14. *If $\mu : \mathcal{B}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is an o -continuous multisubmeasure on \mathcal{B}'_0 , then μ is R' -regular (on \mathcal{B}'_0).*

We also have from theorem 2.7. the following theorem, which represents the converse of corollary 2.14.

Theorem 2.15. *If $\mu : \mathcal{B}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ is a R'_l -regular multisubmeasure on \mathcal{B}'_0 , then μ is o -continuous on \mathcal{B}'_0 .*

Corollary 2.16. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{B}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ be a multisubmeasure. Then μ is R' -regular on \mathcal{B}'_0 if and only if μ is o -continuous on \mathcal{B}'_0 .*

In the following we shall demonstrate that R -regularity also implies o -continuity.

Theorem 2.17. *Let \mathcal{C} be a ring and $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ a R -regular multisubmeasure. Then μ is o -continuous.*

Proof. Let $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since μ is R -regular, then A is R_l -regular, that is, there exists a compact set $K \in \mathcal{C}$, $K \subset A$ such that $h(\mu(A), \mu(K)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for every $B \in \mathcal{C}$, $K \subset B \subset A$. Particularly, $h(\mu(A), \mu(K)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. From the R -regularity of μ it also results that every A_n is R_r -regular, that is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open set $D_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $A_n \subset D_n$, so that $h(\mu(A_n), \mu(D_n)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$. But, on the other hand, $K \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ and, consequently, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} D_i$, for every $n \geq n_0$. We obtain then that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(A)| &\leq h(\mu(A), \mu(K)) + |\mu(K)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |\mu(K)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} D_i)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\mu(D_i)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(\mu(A_n), \mu(D_n)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} |\mu(A_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} + \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_n)| < \varepsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_n)|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, which means that $|\mu(A)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_n)|$, that is, μ is h - σ -subadditive, equivalently, o -continuous, as claimed. \square

REFERENCES

1. BELLEY, J.; MORALES P. – *Régularité d'une fonction d'ensemble à valeurs dans un groupe topologique*, Ann. Sc. Math. Quebec, 3(1979), pp. 185-197.
2. DENNENBERG, D. – *Non-additive Measure and Integral*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994, pp. 35-44.
3. DINCULEANU, N. – *Teoria Măsurii și Funcții Reale*, Ed. Did. și Ped. - București, 1964.
4. DINCULEANU, N.; KLUWANEK, I. – *On vector measures*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 17 (1967), pp. 605-612.
5. DINCULEANU, N.; LEWIS, P.W. – *Regularity of Baire measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26, (1970), pp. 92-94.
6. DREWNOWSKI, L. – *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, Integration, I, II, III*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 20 (1972), 269-276, pp. 277-287.
7. DREWNOWSKI, L. – *Additive and countably additive correspondences*, Comment. Math. 19 (1976-1977), pp. 25-54.
8. GAVRILUT, A. – *Properties of regularity for multisubmeasures*, An. St. Univ. Iasi, to appear.
9. KHURANA, S.S. – *Extension and regularity of group-valued Baire measures*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. math. astron. phys. 22, (1974), pp. 891-895.
10. DE MARIA GONZALEZ, J.L. – *Sur la régularité d'une mesure à valeurs dans un semigroupe*, in "Measure theory and its Applications", Lecture Notes in Math., 1033 (1983), pp. 230-239.
11. MORALES, P. – *Regularity and extension of semigroup valued Baire Measures*, in "Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1979", Lecture Notes in Math. 794, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York, (1980), pp. 318-323.
12. PRECUPANU, A. – *On the set valued additive and subadditive functions*, An. St. Univ. Iasi, 29 (1984), pp. 41-48.

13. PRECUPANU, A. – *Some properties of (B-M)-regular multimeasures*, An. St. Univ. Iasi, 34 (1988), pp. 93-103.
14. SUNDARESAN, K.; DAY, P.W. – *Regularity of group-valued Baire and Borel measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36, pp. 609-612 (1972).

Received: 5.XII.2003

*Faculty of Mathematics,
University "Al.I. Cuza",
Bd. Carol I, nr. 11,
Iassy
ROMÂNIA*