

ON THE Ψ -EXPONENTIAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF NONLINEAR SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BY

AUREL DIAMANDESCU

Abstract. In this paper we prove sufficient conditions for Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ of trivial solution of the system $x' = F(t, x)$ and for Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability on \mathbb{R}_+ of trivial solution of the system $x' = F(t, x) + G(t, x)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 34D10, 34D05.

Key words: Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability (in variation).

1. Introduction

The purpose of our paper is to prove sufficient conditions for Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability of trivial solution of the system

$$(1) \quad y' = F(t, y) + G(t, y)$$

which can be seen as a perturbed system of

$$(2) \quad x' = F(t, x).$$

We investigate conditions on the fundamental matrix $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ for the variational system

$$(3) \quad z' = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))z$$

and on the functions F and G under which the trivial solution of (2) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ and the trivial

solution of (1) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ . Here, Ψ is a matrix function whose introduction permits us obtaining a mixed asymptotic behavior for the components of solutions.

The problem of Ψ -stability for systems of ordinary differential equations has been studied by many authors, as e.g. AKINYELE [1], CONSTANTIN [3], [4], MÓRCHALO [11]. In these papers, the function Ψ is a scalar (positive) continuous function (and monotone in [1] or nondecreasing and such that $\Psi(t) \geq 1$, for $t \geq 0$ in [4]).

In our papers [8] and [9], we have proved sufficient conditions for Ψ -uniform stability and Ψ -asymptotic stability on \mathbb{R}_+ of the trivial solution of the systems (1) and (2). In these papers, the function Ψ is a matrix function.

Our study is based on the nonlinear variation of constants formula of ALEKSEEV [2] and on the theory of integral inequalities.

2. Definitions, notations and hypotheses

Let \mathbb{R}^n denote the Euclidean n -space. For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\|x\| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, \dots, |x_n|\}$ be the norm of x . For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we define the norm $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Ax\|$.

In the system (2), we assume that the function $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuously differentiable and $F(t, 0) = 0$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

Let $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ be the unique solution of (2) passing through the point $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is defined on $[t_0, \infty)$. The variational system of (2) associated with $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the linear system (3). It is well-known that the matrix $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ given by

$$\Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} (x(t, t_0, x_0))$$

is the fundamental matrix of the system (3) which is the identity matrix at $t = t_0$ (see [5], Ch. I, Th. 7).

Similarly, $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ will denote a solution of (1) which passes through the point (t_0, y_0) .

The nonlinear variation of constants formula can be written as

$$(4) \quad y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, y(s, t_0, y_0)) G(s, y(s, t_0, y_0)) ds$$

(see [2]).

We note that under the above hypotheses on F , we have

$$(5) \quad x(t, t_0, x_0) = \left[\int_0^1 \Phi(t, t_0, sx_0) ds \right] \cdot x_0, \text{ for } t \geq t_0.$$

Let $\Psi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be continuous functions and $\Psi = \text{diag} [\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_n]$. For $p \geq 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we denote by $L_{\Psi}^p([t_0, \infty))$ the linear space of all functions $x : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the condition $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|\Psi(t)x(t)\|^p dt < +\infty$. Observe that for bounded matrix functions Ψ and Ψ^{-1} , we have $L_{\Psi}^p([t_0, \infty)) \equiv L_{\Psi_n}^p([t_0, \infty)) \equiv L^p([t_0, \infty))$.

We now give the definitions of different kinds of Ψ -stability in terms of the behavior of solutions of (2) and of the variational system (3).

Definition 1. The trivial solution of (2) is said to be:

- a) Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, t_0) > 0$ such that any solution $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (2) which satisfies the inequality $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$, also satisfies the inequality $\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| < \varepsilon$, for all $t \geq t_0$;
- b) Ψ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ iff it is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ and the δ above is independent of t_0 ;
- c) Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ iff there exists a $\lambda > 0$ and, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that any solution $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (2) which satisfies the inequality $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$, satisfies the inequality $\|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \varepsilon e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$, for all $t \geq t_0$;
- d) Ψ -stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ iff for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exist the constants $M(t_0) \geq 1$ and $\delta_0 = \delta_0(t_0) > 0$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \leq M(t_0)$, for all $t \geq t_0$ and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta_0$. If, in addition, the M and δ_0 above are independent of t_0 , then the trivial solution of (2) is said to be Ψ -uniformly stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ .

If, in addition, $\delta_0(t_0) = +\infty$, then the trivial solution of (2) is said to be globally Ψ -(uniformly) stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ .

- e) Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ iff there exist the constants $L \geq 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \leq L e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$, for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, whenever $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$;

If, in addition, $\delta = +\infty$, then the trivial solution of (2) is said to be globally Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ .

- f) $(\Psi - L_{\Psi}^p)$ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ iff it is Ψ -stable on \mathbb{R}_+ and in addition, for every $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists $\tilde{\delta}_0 = \tilde{\delta}_0(t_0) > 0$ such that any solution $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (2) which satisfies the inequality $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \tilde{\delta}_0$, also satisfies the condition $x(t, t_0, x_0) \in L_{\Psi}^p([t_0, \infty))$.

Remark 1. 1. For $\Psi = I_n$ (identity $n \times n$ matrix), we obtain the different kinds of classical stability (see [5], Ch. III).

In addition, we obtain the notions of (uniform) stability in variation (see [6]).

2. For $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = \dots = \Psi_n = \psi$, we obtain the different kinds of ψ -stability (see [11]).

In addition, we obtain the notion of $(\psi - L_{\Psi}^p)$ - (asymptotic) stability on \mathbb{R}_+ (see [11]).

3. Main results

The first theorem gives new sufficient conditions for the Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability in variation and for the global $(\Psi - L_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi}^p)$ -exponential asymptotic stability of the trivial solution of (2).

Theorem 1. Let $\Phi(t, t_0) = \Phi(t, t_0, 0)$ be a fundamental matrix for the variational system (3) in which $x_0 = 0$.

Suppose that there exist a positive constants λ, M and δ such that

$$H(t, t_0, x_0) \equiv | e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) \Phi(t, t_0) \Psi^{-1}(t_0) | \\ \cdot e^{\int_{t_0}^t |\Psi(s) \Phi(s, t_0) \Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \cdot |\Psi(t_0) \Phi(t_0, s) (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, x(s, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, 0)) \Psi^{-1}(s)| ds} \leq M,$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$.

Then, we have the following conclusions:

- the system (3) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$;
- the trivial solution of (2) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ ;
- the system (3) is $e^{\lambda t}\Psi$ - uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ , for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$;

d) all solutions of the system (3) belong to $L^p_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for all $p \geq 1$.

If, in addition, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $H(t, t_0, x_0) \in L^p([t_0, \infty))$, $p \geq 1$, for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta_1$, then the trivial solution of (3) is $(e^{\lambda t}\Psi - L^p_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi})$ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

If, in addition, $H(t, t_0, x_0) \leq M$ for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $H(t, t_0, x_0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $p \geq 1$, for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then, the trivial solution of (2) is globally $(\Psi - L^p_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi})$ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. For $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $z(t, t_0, z_0)$ be the solution of (3) such that $z(t_0, t_0, z_0) = z_0$. The equation (3) can be write in the form

$$z' = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, 0)z + \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x(t, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, 0) \right] z.$$

Therefore, by the variation of constants formula, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} z(t, t_0, z_0) &= \Phi(t, t_0)z_0 \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s) \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, x(s, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, 0) \right] z(s, t_0, z_0) ds, \forall t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, z_0) &= e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)\Psi(t_0)z_0 \\ &+ e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0) \\ &\cdot \int_{t_0}^t \Psi(t_0)\Phi(t_0, s) \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, x(s, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, 0) \right] \Psi^{-1}(s)e^{-\lambda s} \\ &\cdot \left(e^{\lambda s}\Psi(s)z(s, t_0, z_0) \right) ds, t \geq t_0 \end{aligned}$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \| e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, z_0) \| &\leq \| e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0) \| \| \Psi(t_0)z_0 \| \\ &+ \| e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0) \| \\ &\cdot \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\lambda s} \| \Psi(t_0)\Phi(t_0, s) \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, x(s, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, 0) \right] \Psi^{-1}(s) \| \\ &\cdot \| e^{\lambda s}\Psi(s)z(s, t_0, z_0) \| ds, \forall t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq t_0$, we denote

$$a(t) = | e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) \Phi(t, t_0) \Psi^{-1}(t_0) |,$$

$$b(t) = | e^{-\lambda t} \Psi(t_0) \Phi(t_0, t) \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x(t, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, 0) \right] \Psi^{-1}(t) |.$$

Thus, the continuous function $\| e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \|$ satisfies the inequality

$$\| e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq a(t) \| \Psi(t_0) z_0 \|$$

$$+ a(t) \int_{t_0}^t b(s) \| e^{\lambda s} \Psi(s) z(s, t_0, z_0) \| ds, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

From a generalization of Gronwall Lemma (see [10]), we obtain that

$$\| e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq a(t) \| \Psi(t_0) z_0 \|$$

$$\cdot \left(1 + \int_{t_0}^t a(s) b(s) e^{\int_s^t a(u) b(u) du} ds \right), \quad \forall t \geq t_0$$

or, integrating by parts,

$$\| e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq | e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) \Phi(t, t_0) \Psi^{-1}(t_0) | \| \Psi(t_0) z_0 \|$$

$$\cdot e^{\int_{t_0}^t | \Psi(s) \Phi(s, t_0) \Psi^{-1}(t_0) | \cdot | \Psi(t_0) \Phi(t_0, s) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, x(s, t_0, x_0)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, 0) \right) \Psi^{-1}(s) | ds}.$$

It follows that

$$(6) \quad \| e^{\lambda t} \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq H(t, t_0, x_0) \| \Psi(t_0) z_0 \|,$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\| \Psi(t_0) x_0 \| < \delta$. From this,

$$\| \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq M e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \| \Psi(t_0) z_0 \|,$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\| \Psi(t_0) x_0 \| < \delta$.

This shows that the linear system (3) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\| \Psi(t_0) x_0 \| < \delta$.

Now, let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\| u \| < 1$. If we take $z_0 = \frac{\delta}{2} \Psi^{-1}(t_0) u$, we have $\| \Psi(t_0) z_0 \| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and then, $\| \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} H(t, t_0, x_0) e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$, $t \geq t_0$. Hence,

$$\| \Psi(t) \Phi(t, t_0, x_0) \Psi^{-1}(t_0) u \| = \| \Psi(t) \Phi(t, t_0, x_0) \frac{2}{\delta} z_0 \|$$

$$= \frac{2}{\delta} \| \Psi(t) z(t, t_0, z_0) \| \leq H(t, t_0, x_0) e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

It follows that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| &= \sup_{\|u\| < 1} \|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, z_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)u\| \\ &\leq H(t, t_0, x_0)e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$.

From hypothesis and (7), it follows that the trivial solution of (2) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Now, let $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|e^{\lambda t_0}\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$. Then, $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| = e^{-\lambda t_0}\|e^{\lambda t_0}\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$. From (6) it follows that the system (3) is $e^{\lambda t}\Psi$ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta$.

If, in addition, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $H(t, t_0, x_0) \in L^p([t_0, \infty))$, $p \geq 1$, for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \delta_1$, then, for $\|\Psi(t_0)x_0\| < \min\{\delta, \delta_1\}$, we have (6). It follows that $z(t, t_0, z_0) \in L^p_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi}([t_0, \infty))$ (for $t_0 \geq 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$). Thus, the trivial solution of (3) is $(e^{\lambda t}\Psi - L^p_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi})$ -uniformly stable on \mathbb{R}_+ . For every solution $z(t, t_0, z_0)$ of (3), we have (6). Then, $\|\Psi(t)z(t, t_0, z_0)\|^p \leq \text{const.} \cdot e^{-p\lambda t}$, $t \geq t_0$. It follows that $z(t, t_0, z_0) \in L^p_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for all $p \geq 1$.

Finally, suppose that $H(t, t_0, x_0) \leq M$, for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $H(t, t_0, x_0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $p \geq 1$, for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. From (5) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, x_0)\| \\ &= \left\| \left[\int_0^1 e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, sx_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)e^{-\lambda t_0} ds \right] \cdot e^{\lambda t_0}\Psi(t_0)x_0 \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |e^{\lambda t}\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, sx_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)e^{-\lambda t_0}| ds \cdot \|e^{\lambda t_0}\Psi(t_0)x_0\| \\ &\leq H(t, t_0, x_0) \|e^{\lambda t_0}\Psi(t_0)x_0\|, \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the trivial solution of (2) is globally $(\Psi - L^p_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi})$ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ .

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2. In particular case $F(t, x) = A(t)x$, we have $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x) = A(t)$. Therefore, the system (3) becomes the system

$$(8) \quad x' = A(t)x.$$

If $Y(t)$ is a fundamental matrix for this system, then $\Phi(t, t_0) = Y(t)Y^{-1}(t_0)$ and the condition from Theorem becomes $|\Psi(t)Y(t)Y^{-1}(t_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| \leq Me^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$, for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

This is a necessary and sufficient condition for Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability of the linear differential system (8), given in Theorem 1, [7].

Thus, Theorem extends Theorem 1, [7] to a nonlinear system of differential equations (2).

The next theorem gives sufficient conditions for the transfer of Ψ -exponential asymptotic stability of the system (2) to the perturbed system (1).

Theorem 2. *Suppose that:*

- 1) *the trivial solution of (2) is globally Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ ;*
- 2) *the continuous function $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the condition*

$$\| \Psi(t)G(t, x) \| \leq \lambda(t) \| \Psi(t)x \|, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\lambda : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous and satisfies one of the following conditions:

- a) $\Lambda = \int_0^\infty \lambda(t)dt < +\infty$;
- b) $M = \sup_{t \geq 0} \lambda(t)$ is a sufficiently small number;
- c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) = 0$.

Then, the trivial solution of (1) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

If, in addition:

- i) *there exists a constant μ such that the solution $x(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (2) belongs to the space $L^p_{e^{\mu t}\Psi}([t_0, \infty))$, $t_0 \geq 0$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \in (1, \infty)$,*
- ii) *the function*

$$v(t) = \left(\int_{t_0}^t \left(e^{(\mu-\lambda)(t-z)} \lambda(z) \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dz \right)^{p-1}, t \geq t_0,$$

belongs to the space $L^1([t_0, \infty))$, for $t_0 \geq 0$, then, the solution $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (1) belongs to the space $L^p_{e^{\mu t}\Psi}([t_0, \infty))$.

Proof. From the hypothesis (1), it follows that there exist the constants $\lambda > 0$ and $L \geq 1$ such that $|\Psi(t)\Phi(t, s, \xi)\Psi^{-1}(s)| \leq Le^{-\lambda(t-s)}$, for all $t \geq s \geq 0$ and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $y = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ be an arbitrary solution of (1) for $t \geq t_0$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $[t_0, t_1)$ is the existence interval of solution y .

Let $x = x(t, t_0, x_0)$ be an arbitrary solution of (2) for $t \geq t_0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Therefore, by the nonlinear variation of constants formula (4), we have that

$$y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, z, y(z, t_0, y_0))G(z, y(z, t_0, y_0))dz,$$

for $t_0 \leq t < t_1$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. From (5) we have

$$x(t, t_0, y_0) = \left[\int_0^1 \Phi(t, t_0, sy_0)ds \right] y_0,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)x(t, t_0, y_0)\| &\leq \left\| \left[\int_0^1 \Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, sy_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)ds \right] \Psi(t_0)y_0 \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, t_0, sy_0)\Psi^{-1}(t_0)| ds \cdot \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 Le^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} ds \cdot \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| = Le^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\|, t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0)\| &\leq Le^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t |\Psi(t)\Phi(t, z, y(z, t_0, y_0))\Psi^{-1}(z)| \|\Psi(z)G(z, y(z, t_0, y_0))\| dz \\ &\leq Le^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| + \int_{t_0}^t Le^{-\lambda(t-z)}\lambda(z) \|\Psi(z)y(z, t_0, y_0)\| dz, \end{aligned}$$

for $t_0 \leq t < t_1$. Therefore, the positive continuous function

$$r(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0)\|$$

satisfies the inequality

$$r(t) \leq L \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| + L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z)r(z)dz, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

From here, applying the Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$(9) \quad r(t) \leq L \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| e^{L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz}, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

In case a), we have

$$\int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz \leq \Lambda, \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_1,$$

and then $r(t) \leq Le^{L\Lambda} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\|$, $t_0 \leq t < t_1$ or

$$(10) \quad \|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0)\| \leq Le^{L\Lambda} e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\|, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

In case b), we have

$$r(t) \leq Le^{LM(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\|, t_0 \leq t < t_1$$

and then,

$$\|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0)\| \leq Le^{-(\lambda-LM)(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\|, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

Suppose that $M < \frac{\lambda}{L}$. Thus, there exists a positive constant $\gamma = \lambda - LM$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0)\| \leq Le^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\|, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

In case c), from (9) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \|\Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0)\| \leq L \|\Psi(t_0)y_0\| e^{-\lambda(t-t_0) + L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz}, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

Let $M > 0$ such that $\lambda(t) < M$ for $t \geq 0$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) = 0$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that $\lambda(t) < \frac{\lambda}{2L}$, for all $t \geq T_0$.

(I) in case $T_0 \leq t_0$, for $t \in [t_0, t_1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda(t-t_0) + L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz &\leq -\lambda(t-t_0) + L \frac{\lambda}{2L} (t-t_0) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{2} (t-t_0) < -\frac{\lambda}{2} (t-t_0) + LMT_0. \end{aligned}$$

(II) in case $t_0 < T_0 < t_1$, for $t \in [t_0, t_1)$ we have the following two cases further:

i) for $t \in [t_0, T_0]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda(t - t_0) + L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz &\leq -\lambda(t - t_0) + LM(t - t_0) \\ &\leq -\frac{\lambda}{2}(t - t_0) + LM(T_0 - t_0) \leq -\frac{\lambda}{2}(t - t_0) + LMT_0. \end{aligned}$$

ii) for $t \in (T_0, t_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda(t - t_0) + L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz &= -\lambda(t - t_0) + L \int_{t_0}^{T_0} \lambda(z) dz \\ &+ L \int_{T_0}^t \lambda(z) dz \leq -\lambda(t - t_0) + LM(T_0 - t_0) + L \frac{\lambda}{2L}(t - t_0) \\ &\leq -\frac{\lambda}{2}(t - t_0) + LM(T_0 - t_0) < -\frac{\lambda}{2}(t - t_0) + LMT_0. \end{aligned}$$

(III) in case $T_0 \geq t_1$, for $t \in [t_0, t_1)$ we have

$$-\lambda(t - t_0) + L \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(z) dz \leq -\frac{\lambda}{2}(t - t_0) + LMT_0$$

(as in the above case II i).

Thus, from (12), (I), (II), (III) we obtain

$$\| \Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0) \| \leq L e^{LMT_0} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(t - t_0)} \| \Psi(t_0)y_0 \|, t_0 \leq t < t_1.$$

We conclude that in all cases, there exist the constants $K > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\| \Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0) \| \leq K e^{-\gamma(t - t_0)} \| \Psi(t_0)y_0 \|, t_0 \leq t < t_1$. Thus, we have $\| y(t, t_0, y_0) \| \leq K \| \Psi(t_0)y_0 \| \cdot | \Psi^{-1}(t) |, t_0 \leq t < t_1$.

This shows that $t_1 = +\infty$ and the solution y is defined on $[t_0, \infty)$. Now, from the inequality

$$\| \Psi(t)y(t, t_0, y_0) \| \leq K e^{-\gamma(t - t_0)} \| \Psi(t_0)y_0 \|, t \geq t_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

it follows that the trivial solution of (1) is Ψ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Now, suppose that the supplementary hypotheses i) and ii) hold. From (4), we have that

$$y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, z, y(z, t_0, y_0)) G(z, y(z, t_0, y_0)) dz,$$

for $t \geq t_0$. By similar arguments used in above proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| e^{\mu t} \Psi(t) y(t, t_0, y_0) \| \leq \| e^{\mu t} \Psi(t) x(t, t_0, y_0) \| \\ & + L \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\mu-\lambda)(t-z)} \lambda(z) \| e^{\mu z} \Psi(z) y(z, t_0, y_0) \| dz, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq t_0$.

If we denote $r(t) = \| e^{\mu t} \Psi(t) y(t, t_0, y_0) \|$ and $w(t) = \| e^{\mu t} \Psi(t) x(t, t_0, y_0) \|$, we have

$$r(t) \leq w(t) + L \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\mu-\lambda)(t-z)} \lambda(z) r(z) dz, \quad t \geq t_0$$

and then

$$(13) \quad (r(t))^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left[(w(t))^p + L^p \left(\int_{t_0}^t e^{(\mu-\lambda)(t-z)} \lambda(z) r(z) dz \right)^p \right].$$

Using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^t e^{(\mu-\lambda)(t-z)} \lambda(z) r(z) dz \\ & \leq \left[\int_{t_0}^t (e^{(\mu-\lambda)(t-z)} \lambda(z))^q dz \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\int_{t_0}^t (r(z))^p dz \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. From (13) and (14), we have

$$(r(t))^p \leq 2^{p-1} (w(t))^p + 2^{p-1} L^p v(t) \int_{t_0}^t (r(z))^p dz, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Integrating from t_0 to τ , $\tau \geq t_0$, we obtain the inequality

$$\int_{t_0}^{\tau} (r(t))^p dt \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{t_0}^{\tau} (w(t))^p dt + 2^{p-1} L^p \int_{t_0}^{\tau} v(t) \left(\int_{t_0}^t (r(z))^p dz \right) dt,$$

for $\tau \geq t_0$.

This implies by the Gronwall inequality that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\tau} (r(t))^p dt \leq 2^{p-1} W \cdot e^{2^{p-1} L^p \int_{t_0}^{\tau} v(t) dt}, \quad \tau \geq t_0,$$

where $W = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (w(t))^p dt < +\infty$. Therefore, the solution $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (1) belongs to the space $L_{e^{\mu t} \Psi}^p([t_0, \infty))$.

The proof is now complete. \square

Corollary 1. *In the conditions of Theorem 2, if the trivial solution of (2) is globally $(\Psi - L_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi}^p)$ -exponentially asymptotically stable in variation on \mathbb{R}_+ and the function λ satisfies the condition*

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t (\lambda(z))^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dz \right)^{p-1} dt < +\infty,$$

then, the trivial solution of (1) is $(\Psi - L_{e^{\lambda t}\Psi}^p)$ -exponentially asymptotically stable on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof. Indeed, if in Theorem 2 we put $\mu = \lambda$, then the conclusion of the Corollary follows. \square

Remark 3. Theorem 2 extends Theorem 3, [7] to a nonlinear system of differential equations (1).

REFERENCES

1. AKINYELE, O. – *On the φ -stability for differential systems*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., 68 (1980), 287–293.
2. ALEKSEEV, V.M. – *An estimate for the perturbations of the solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh., 2 (1961), 28–36.
3. CONSTANTIN, A. – *A note on the φ -stability for differential systems*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A, 6 (1992), 233–243.
4. CONSTANTIN, A. – *Asymptotic properties of solutions of differential equations*, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Științ. Mat., 30 (1992), no. 2-3, 183–225 (1993).
5. COPPEL, W.A. – *Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations*, D. C. Heath and Co., Boston, Mass. 1965.
6. DANNAN, F.M.; ELAYDI, S. – *Lipschitz stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 113 (1986), 562–577.
7. DIAMANDESCU, A. – *On the Ψ -uniform asymptotic stability of a nonlinear Volterra integro-differential system*, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Mat.-Inform., 39 (2001), 35–62.
8. DIAMANDESCU, A. – *On the Ψ -uniform stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, An. Univ. Vest Timiș. Ser. Mat.-Inform., 45 (2007), 195–212.

9. DIAMANDESCU, A. – *On the Ψ -asymptotic stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.), 54 (2008), 293–306.
10. DRAGOMIR, S.S. – *On a Volterra integral equation*, An. Univ. Timişoara Ser. Ştiinţ. Mat., 24 (1986), 8–17.
11. MORCHALO, J. – *On $(\Psi - L_p)$ - stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Sect. I a Mat., 36 (1990), 353–360.

Received: 9.II.2010

*Department of Applied Mathematics,
University of Craiova,
13, "Al. I. Cuza" st., 200585 Craiova,
ROMANIA
adiamandescu@central.ucv.ro*