

## Natarajan method of summability for double sequences and series

P.N. Natarajan

Received: 14.III.2014 / Accepted: 10.VII.2014

**Abstract** Throughout this paper, entries of infinite matrices, sequences, series, double sequences and double series are real or complex numbers. Natarajan introduced the  $(M, \lambda_n)$  method of summability and studied some of its properties. In the present paper, we define  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  method of summability for double sequences and double series and extend some properties of the  $(M, \lambda_n)$  method to  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  method.

**Keywords** Regularity of 4-dimensional infinite matrices · Silverman-Toeplitz theorem · Consistency · Inclusion and equivalence

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 40B05 · 40C05 · 40D25

### 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, entries of infinite matrices, sequences, series, double sequences and double series are real or complex numbers. We introduce a new definition of limit of a double sequence and a double series and record a few results on convergent double sequences and Silverman-Toeplitz theorem for double sequences and double series [6]. In this context, it is worthwhile to refer to the papers of KOJIMA [2] and ROBISON [7].

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  be a double sequence. We say that

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x,$$

if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |x_{m,n} - x| \geq \epsilon\}$  is finite,  $\mathbb{N}$  being the set of positive integers. In such a case,  $x$  is unique and  $x$  is called the limit of  $\{x_{m,n}\}$ .

**Definition 1.2** Let  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  be a double sequence. We say that  $s = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$  if  $s = \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} s_{m,n}$ , where  $s_{m,n} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{m,n} x_{k,\ell}$ ,  $m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

---

P.N. Natarajan  
Old No. 2/3, New No. 3/3  
Second Main Road, R.A. Puram  
Chennai 600 028, India  
E-mail: pinnangudinatarajan@gmail.com

**Remark 1.1** If  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$ , then the double sequence  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  is automatically bounded.

It is now easy to prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.3**  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$  if and only if

- (i)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ; and
- (iii) for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{m,n} - x| < \epsilon$ ,  $m, n \geq N$ , which we write as  $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = x$  (Note that this is Pringsheim's definition of limit of a double sequence.)

**Theorem 1.4** If the double series  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$  converges, then

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} x_{m,n} = 0.$$

However, the converse is not true.

**Definition 1.5** The double series  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$  is said to converge absolutely if  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |x_{m,n}|$  converges.

Note that if  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$  converges absolutely, then  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} x_{m,n}$  converges. Converse is not true.

**Definition 1.6** Given the 4-dimensional infinite matrix  $A = (a_{m,n,k,\ell})$  and a double sequence  $x = \{x_{k,\ell}\}$ , by the  $A$ -transform of  $x = \{x_{k,\ell}\}$ , we mean the double sequence  $A(x) = \{(Ax)_{m,n}\}$ , where

$$(Ax)_{m,n} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n,k,\ell} x_{k,\ell}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

assuming that the double series on the right converge. If  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_{m,n} = s$ , we say that the double sequence  $x = \{x_{k,\ell}\}$  is  $A$ -summable or summable  $A$  to  $s$ , written as  $x_{k,\ell} \rightarrow s(A)$ . If  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} (Ax)_{m,n} = s$ , whenever  $\lim_{k+\ell \rightarrow \infty} x_{k,\ell} = s$ , we say that the 4-dimensional infinite matrix  $A = (a_{m,n,k,\ell})$  is regular.

NATARAJAN [6] proved the following important theorem.

**Theorem 1.7** [Silverman-Toeplitz] The 4-dimensional infinite matrix  $A = (a_{m,n,k,\ell})$  is regular if and only if

- (i)  $\sup_{m,n} \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{m,n,k,\ell}| < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n,k,\ell} = 0$ ,  $k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{m,n,k,\ell} = 1$ ;
- (iv)  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,\ell}| = 0$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ; and
- (v)  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,\ell}| = 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**2 Natarajan’s summability method  $(M, \lambda_n)$**

The concepts of regularity of a 2-dimensional infinite matrix  $(a_{n,k})$ , consistency, inclusion, equivalence are very familiar and they are taken for granted while developing the topic. One can refer to any standard text for these concepts (see, for instance, [1]).

NATARAJAN introduced his summability method  $(M, \lambda_n)$  for simple sequences in [4] and studied its properties in [3–5]. In this section, we present the definition of the Natarajan method  $(M, \lambda_n)$  and some results which are relevant to the present paper.

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty$ . The  $(M, \lambda_n)$  method is defined by the infinite matrix  $(a_{n,k})$ , where*

$$a_{n,k} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{n-k}, & k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.1** In this context, it is worthwhile to note that the  $(M, \lambda_n)$  method reduces to the  $Y$ -method, when  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda_n = 0, n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *The  $(M, \lambda_n)$  method is regular if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$ .*

The following results are needed in the sequel.

**Theorem 2.3** *Any two regular methods  $(M, \lambda_n), (M, \mu_n)$  are consistent.*

**Theorem 2.4 (inclusion theorem)** *Given the methods  $(M, \lambda_n), (M, \mu_n), (M, \lambda_n) \subseteq (M, \mu_n)$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |k_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_n = 1$ , where*

$$\frac{\mu(x)}{\lambda(x)} = k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_n x^n, \quad \lambda(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n x^n, \quad \mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n x^n.$$

Consequently, we have

**Theorem 2.5 (equivalence theorem)** *The methods  $(M, \lambda_n), (M, \mu_n)$  are equivalent if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |k_n| < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_n = 1, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |h_n| < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n = 1,$$

where

$$\frac{\mu(x)}{\lambda(x)} = k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_n x^n, \quad \frac{\lambda(x)}{\mu(x)} = h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n x^n, \\ \lambda(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n x^n, \quad \mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n x^n.$$

### 3 Natarajan's summability method $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ and main results of the paper

In this section, we introduce the  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  methods and extend results of section 2 to these methods.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\{\lambda_{m,n}\}$  be a double sequence such that  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |\lambda_{m,n}| < \infty$ . The method  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  is defined by the 4-dimensional infinite matrix  $(a_{m,n,k,\ell})$ , where

$$a_{m,n,k,\ell} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{m-k,n-\ell}, & k \leq m, \ell \leq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.2** The methods  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$  are said to be consistent if  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  and  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma'(M, \mu_{m,n})$  imply that  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .

**Definition 3.3** We say that  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  is included in  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$ , written as  $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$  if  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  implies that  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \mu_{m,n})$ . The two methods  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$  are said to be equivalent if  $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$  and vice versa.

It is easy to prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.4** The method  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  is regular if and only if

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \lambda_{m,n} = 1.$$

In the sequel, let  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$  be regular methods such that each row and each column of the infinite matrices  $(\lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(\mu_{m,n})$  is a regular Natarajan method for simple sequences.

**Theorem 3.5** Any two such regular Natarajan methods are consistent.

*Proof.* Let  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$  be two regular methods such that each row and each column of the infinite matrices  $(\lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(\mu_{m,n})$  is a regular Natarajan method for simple sequences. We now define a third method  $(M, \gamma_{m,n})$  by the equation  $\gamma_{m,n} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} \lambda_{i,j} \mu_{m-i,n-j}$ ,  $m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Now, for  $s = \{s_{m,n}\}$ , we get  $(M, \gamma_{m,n})(s) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} u_{m,n,i,j}(M, \mu_{i,j})(s)$ , where  $u_{m,n,i,j} = \lambda_{m-i,n-j} Q_{i,j}$ ,  $Q_{i,j} = \sum_{k,\ell=0}^{i,j} \mu_{k,\ell}$ ,  $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Using Theorem 3.4, we can verify that  $(M, \gamma_{m,n})$  is regular. Thus  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma'(M, \mu_{m,n})$  implies that  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma'(M, \gamma_{m,n})$ . Similarly we can prove that  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  implies that  $s_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \sigma(M, \gamma_{m,n})$ . Consequently  $\sigma = \sigma'$  and so the methods  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$  and  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$  are consistent, completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Let

$$\lambda(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \lambda_{m,n} x^m y^n, \quad \mu(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \mu_{m,n} x^m y^n.$$

It is clear that these series converge for  $|x|, |y| < 1$ . Let

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} x^m y^n = \frac{\mu(x, y)}{\lambda(x, y)}, \quad h(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} h_{m,n} x^m y^n = \frac{\lambda(x, y)}{\mu(x, y)}.$$

We note that

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} k_{i,j} \lambda_{m-i,n-j} = \mu_{m,n}, \quad \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} h_{i,j} \mu_{m-i,n-j} = \lambda_{m,n}.$$

We now have

**Theorem 3.6** *If  $(M, \lambda_{m,n}), (M, \mu_{m,n})$  are regular, then  $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$  if and only if  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |k_{m,n}| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} s_{m,n} x^m y^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} (M, \mu_{m,n})(s) x^m y^n &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} \left( \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} \mu_{m-i,n-j} s_{i,j} \right) x^m y^n \\ &= \mu(x, y) s(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} (M, \lambda_{m,n})(s) x^m y^n = \lambda(x, y) s(x, y).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} (M, \mu_{m,n})(s) x^m y^n &= k(x, y) \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} (M, \lambda_{m,n})(s) x^m y^n \\ &= \left( \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} x^m y^n \right) \left( \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} (M, \lambda_{m,n})(s) x^m y^n \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(M, \mu_{m,n})(s) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} k_{m-i,n-j} (M, \lambda_{i,j})(s) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} c_{m,n,i,j} (M, \lambda_{i,j})(s),$$

where

$$c_{m,n,i,j} = \begin{cases} k_{m-i,n-j}, & i \leq m, j \leq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$ , then  $(c_{m,n,i,j})$  is regular. By Theorem 1.7 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty, \infty} c_{m,n,i,j} = 1, \text{ i.e. } \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} k_{m-i,n-j} = 1, \text{ i.e.} \\ \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} k_{i,j} = 1, \text{ i.e. } \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by Theorem 1.7 (i), there is  $H > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty, \infty} |c_{m,n,i,j}| \leq H, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \text{i.e. } \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} |k_{m-i,n-j}| \leq H, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \text{i.e. } \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} |k_{i,j}| \leq H, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |k_{m,n}| < \infty$ .

Conversely, if  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |k_{m,n}| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} = 1$ , it is easy to verify that  $(c_{m,n,i,j})$  is regular and consequently  $(M, \lambda_{m,n}) \subseteq (M, \mu_{m,n})$ , completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.6, we have,

**Theorem 3.7** *The regular methods  $(M, \lambda_{m,n})$ ,  $(M, \mu_{m,n})$  are equivalent if and only if*

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |k_{m,n}| < \infty, \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} k_{m,n} = 1$$

and

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} |h_{m,n}| < \infty, \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty, \infty} h_{m,n} = 1.$$

## References

1. HARDY, G.H. – *Divergent Series*, Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1949.
2. KOJIMA, T. – *On the theory of double sequences*, Tôhoku Math. J., 21 (1922), 3–14.
3. NATARAJAN, P.N. – *Cauchy multiplication of  $(M, \lambda_n)$  summable series*, Advancement and Development in Mathematical Sciences, 3 (2012), 39–46.
4. NATARAJAN, P.N. – *On the  $(M, \lambda_n)$  method of summability*, Analysis, 33 (2013), 51–56.
5. NATARAJAN, P.N. – *A product theorem for the Euler and the Natarajan methods of summability*, Analysis, 33 (2013), 189–195.
6. NATARAJAN, P.N. – *A new definition of convergence of a double sequence and a double series and Silverman-Toeplitz theorem*, Comment. Math., 54 (2014), 129–139.
7. ROBISON, G.M. – *Divergent double sequences and series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 28 (1926), 50–73.