

A GENERALIZATION OF MYERS THEOREM

BY

MIHAI ANASTASIEI*

Dedicated to Academician Radu Miron at his 80th anniversary

Abstract. The Myers theorem extracts some topological properties of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) from the assumptions that its Ricci curvature is uniformly bounded below by a positive constant. The theorem was extended to Finsler manifolds. Proofs of it can be seen in [1], Ch. 7, [3] Ch.7. In 1979, GALLOWAY ([2]) obtains the same topological properties of (M, g) assuming a weaker boundedness hypothesis on the Ricci curvature.

In this paper we show that the version of Myers theorem due to GALLOWAY holds also for Finsler manifolds. So, a positive answer to a problem posed by B. Suceavă in a private communication is provided.

We mention that B. Suceavă proved a Myers type theorem in the spirit of [2] for almost Hermitian manifolds [4].

Our proof is obtained by modifying some points in the proof from [1] and by checking that some facts proved in [2] for Riemannian manifolds hold also for Finsler manifolds.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 53C60.

Key words: Finsler manifolds, Ricci scalar, Myers theorem.

1. Preliminaries. We shall use the notations and the terminology from [1] without comments.

Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. The Finsler structure F is a function $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $(x, y) \rightarrow F(x, y)$ which is C^∞ on the slit tangent bundle $TM \setminus 0$, positively homogeneous in y and whose Hessian matrix $g_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ is positive-definite at every point of $TM \setminus 0$.

The Chern connection is a linear connection in the pull-back bundle π^*TM over $TM \setminus 0$, where $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ is the natural projection. It is only h -metrical and it has two curvatures $R_j^i{}_{kh}, P_j^i{}_{kh}$.

*This work was partially supported by grant CNCSIS 1158/2007, Romania

Let be y a non zero element of $T_x M$. Then $g(x, y) = g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \otimes dx^j$ is an inner product which is used to measure lengths and angles in $T_x M$. One calls y a flagpole of the flag (a plane in $T_x M$) spanned by $l = \frac{y}{F(x, y)}$, and another unit vector V which is orthogonal to the flagpole.

The flag curvature is then given as

$$(1.1) \quad K(x, y, l \wedge V) := V^i (l^j R_{jikl} l^h) V^k =: V^i R_{ik} V^k.$$

The raising and lowering of indices is made by using g^{ij} and g_{ij} , respectively. Sometimes, the flag curvature is denoted simply $K(l, V)$. If V is not a unit vector, then we have $g_{(x, y)}(V, V)K(l, V) = V^i R_{ik} V^i$. Let $\{l, e_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ be a g -orthonormal basis for the fiber of $\pi^* TM$ over the point $(x, y) \in TM \setminus 0$. With respect to it one has $K(x, y, l \wedge e_\alpha) = R_{\alpha\alpha}$. The Ricci scalar denoted by Ric is

$$(1.2) \quad Ric := \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} K(x, y, l \wedge e_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} R_{\alpha\alpha}.$$

In any basis one gets

$$(1.3) \quad Ric = g^{ik} R_{ik}.$$

The Ricci tensor is defined as follows

$$(1.4) \quad Ric_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 (F^2 Ric)}{\partial y^j \partial y^k}$$

and one shows that

$$(1.5) \quad Ric = l^j l^k Ric_{jk}.$$

Equivalently,

$$(1.6) \quad Ric(x, y) = \frac{1}{F^2(x, y)} [y^i y^j Ric_{ij}].$$

If (M, F) has constant flag curvature c , then

$$(1.7) \quad Ric = (n-1)c, \quad Ric_{jk} = (n-1)c g_{jk}.$$

Let $\sigma(t), 0 \leq t \leq L$, be a unit geodesic with velocity field T . One abbreviates $g_{(\sigma, T)}$ by g_T .

For a vector field $W(t) := W^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ along σ , the expression,

$$(1.8) \quad D_T W = \left[\frac{dW^i}{dt} + W^j T^k (\Gamma_{jk}^i(G, T)) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

is called covariant derivative with reference vector T . The formula 1.8 can be stated for any curve but for geodesics one has

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} g_T(V, W) = g_T(D_T V, W) + g_T(V, D_T W)$$

for any vector fields V, W along σ .

Note that (1.9) holds for any curve if V or W is proportional to T .

The constant speed geodesics are solutions of $D_T T = 0$, with reference vector T .

One says that W is parallel long σ if $D_T W = 0$, with reference vector T . Parallel transport (with reference vector T) one defines on the standard way. By (1.9) the parallel transport preserves g_T -lengths and angles.

For two continuous and piecewise C^∞ vector fields V and W along σ the index form is

$$(1.10) \quad I(V, W) = \int_0^L [g_T(D_T V, D_T W) - g_T(R(V, T)T, W)] dt.$$

Here all D_T are calculated with reference vector T and

$$R(V, T)T := (T^j R_{jkh}^i T^h) V^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

is evaluated at the point (σ, T) .

The index form is bilinear and symmetric. We quote from [1] the following facts

Proposition 1.1 [1, p.174] *Let $\sigma(t) = \exp_p(tT)$, $0 \leq t \leq r$ be a constant speed geodesic from $p = \sigma(0)$ to $q = \sigma(r)$.*

The following five statements are mutually equivalent:

- (a) *The point q is not conjugate to p along σ .*
- (b) *Any Jacobi field that vanishes at both points p and q must be identically zero along σ .*
- (c) *Take the variation field of any variation of σ by geodesics. If it vanishes at p and q , then it must be identically zero along σ .*

(d) Given any $v \in T_p M$ and $w \in T_q M$, there exists a unique Jacobi field J along σ that equals v at p and w at q .

(e) The derivative \exp_{p^*} of the exponent map \exp_p is nonsingular at the location rT in $T_p M$.

Proposition 1.2 ([1,p.182]). *Let $\sigma(t), 0 \leq t \leq r$ be a geodesic in a Finsler manifold (M, F) . Suppose no point $\sigma(t), 0 < t \leq r$ is conjugate to $p := \sigma(0)$. Let W be any piecewise C^∞ vector field along σ and let J denote the unique Jacobi field along σ that has the same boundary values as W . That is, $J(0) = W(0)$ and $J(r) = W(r)$. Then*

$$(1.11) \quad I(W, W) > I(J, J).$$

Equality holds if and only if W is actually a Jacobi field, in which case the said J coincides with W .

We close this Section by quoting, for the sake of comparison, the Bonnet-Myers theorem from [1], p. 194:

Let (M, F) be a forward geodesically complete connected Finsler manifold of dimension n . Suppose its Ricci scalar has the following uniform positive lower bound

$$Ric \geq (n-1)\lambda > 0.$$

Equivalently, suppose its Ricci tensor satisfies $y^i y^j Ric_{ij}(x, y) \geq (n-1)\lambda F^2(x, y)$ with $\lambda > 0$. Then:

- (1) *Along every geodesic the distance between any two successive conjugate points is at most $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$. In other words, every geodesic with length $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ or longer must contain conjugate points.*
- (2) *The diameter of M is at most $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.*
- (3) *M is in fact compact.*
- (4) *The fundamental group $\pi(M, x)$ is finite.*

2. A generalization of Bonnet-Myers theorem. Looking over the proof of Bonnet-Myers theorem given in [1], p.194-198 it comes out that essential is a proof of its first statement.

Thus we give a more general form of this statement as follows:

Lemma 1. *Let $\sigma(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq L$ be a unit speed geodesic with velocity field T . If*

$$(2.1) \quad Ric(T, T) \geq a + \frac{df}{dt}, \text{ for a constant } a > 0$$

and some function f with $|f(t)| \leq C, C \geq 0$, and

$$(2.2) \quad L \geq \frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)}),$$

then σ must contain conjugate points.

Remarks.

(i) For $c = 0$ and $a = (n-1)\lambda$, Lemma 2.1 reduces to the assertion (1) of the Bonnet-Myers theorem.

(ii) The condition (2.1) on Ricci allows and negative values of $Ric(T, T)$ along σ .

Proof. Using the parallel transport with reference vector T one construct a moving frame $\{e_i(t)\}$ along σ such that

- (i) Each e_i is parallel along σ , that is $D_T e_i = 0$,
- (ii) $\{e_i(t)\}$ is a g_T -ortonormal frame,
- (iii) $e_n = T$.

Define $W_\alpha(t) = f_\alpha(t)e_\alpha(t)$ for some smooth functions $f_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Fix a positive $r \leq L$ and consider the index from I for $\sigma(t), 0 \leq t \leq r$. By (1.10) we have

$$I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = \int_0^r [\|D_T W_\alpha\|^2 - \|W_\alpha\|K(T, W_\alpha)]dt,$$

where the abbreviation $\|V\| := g_T(V, V)$ was used and $K(T, W_\alpha)$ is the flag curvature evaluated at the point $(\sigma(t), T) \in TM \setminus 0$.

As $D_T W_\alpha = \frac{df_\alpha}{dt} e_\alpha$, it results $\|D_T W_\alpha\|^2 = |f_\alpha(t)|^2$. It is known that the flag curvature does not depend on vectors spanning the flag. Thus we have $K(T, W_\alpha) = K(T, e_\alpha)$.

Using these facts, $I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha)$ takes the form

$$I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = \int_0^r \left[\left(\frac{df_\alpha}{dt} \right)^2 - f_\alpha^2 K(T, e_\alpha) \right] dt.$$

We take $f_\alpha(t) = \sin \frac{\pi t}{r}$ and we get

$$I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = \frac{\pi^2}{2r} - \int_0^L \sin^2 \frac{\pi t}{r} K(T, e_\alpha) dt.$$

Summing over α one obtains

$$\sum_\alpha I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = (n-1) \frac{\pi^2}{2r} - \int_0^r Ric(T, T) \sin^2 \frac{\pi t}{r} dt.$$

By hypotheses, $-Ric(T, T) \leq -a - \frac{df}{dt}$. Hence

$$\sum_\alpha I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \leq (n-1) \frac{\pi^2}{2r} - \int_0^r \left(a + \frac{df}{dt}\right) \sin^2 \frac{\pi t}{r} dt.$$

An integration by parts gives first

$$\sum_\alpha I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \leq (n-1) \frac{\pi^2}{2r} - \frac{ar}{2} + \frac{\pi}{r} \int_0^r f(t) \sin \frac{2\pi t}{r} dt,$$

and then using $|\sin u| \leq 1$ and $\|f(t)\| \leq c$, one finds

$$\sum_\alpha I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \leq (n+1) \frac{\pi^2}{2r} - \frac{ar}{2} + \pi c$$

and we have $\sum_\alpha I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \leq 0$ if $r \geq \frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$ an inequality that holds for $r = L$ by hypothesis. It follows that some $I(W_\alpha, W_\alpha)$ must be nonpositive and let denote that W_α by W .

We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that $\sigma(t), 0 \leq t \leq r = \frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$ contains no conjugate points.

By Proposition 1.1, the vector field W , with $W(0) = W(r) = 0$, can not be a Jacobi field since is nowhere zero on $(0, r)$. And by the same Proposition 1.1 the unique Jacobi field which vanishes at the endpoints of $\sigma(t), 0 \leq t \leq r$ is identically zero field. By Proposition 1.2 we have $0 = I(J, J) < I(W, W) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction and lemma is proved. In combination with Theorem 7.5.1 from [1], Lemma 1 tell us that the said geodesic σ minimizes arc length among "nearly" piecewise C^∞ curves from $p = \sigma(0)$ to $q = \sigma(r), r = \frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$. The following two consequences of this Lemma cover the content of the Bonnet-Myers theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, F) be a forward geodesically complete connected Finsler manifold. Suppose there exists constants $a > 0$ and $c \geq 0$ such that for every pair of points in M and minimal geodesic σ joining those points having unit tangent vector T , the Ricci curvature satisfies*

$$\text{Ric}(T, T) \geq a + \frac{df}{dt} \text{ along } \sigma$$

where f is some function of arclength t satisfying $|f(t)| \leq c$ along σ . Then M is compact and its $\text{diam}(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$.

Proof. Since M is forward geodesically complete, by the Hopf-Rinow theorem any pair of points in M can be joined by a minimal geodesic. By Lemma 1, such a geodesic must have the length less than or equal with $\frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$. Thus $\text{diam}(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$ and so M is bounded. Using again the Hopf-Rinow theorem one deduces that M is compact. \square

Theorem 2. *Let (M, F) be a forward geodesically complete connected Finsler manifold. Suppose there exist constants $a > 0$ and $c \geq 0$ such that for every pair of points in M (not necessarily distinct) and geodesic σ with unit tangent vector T joining these points, the Ricci curvature satisfies (2.1) where f is some function of the arclength t satisfying $|f(t)| \leq c$ along σ . Then the universal covering manifold of M is compact, with diameter bounded by $\frac{\pi}{a}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + a(n-1)})$, and hence the fundamental group of M is finite.*

Proof. Let \widetilde{M} be the universal covering manifold of M with the universal covering map $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$. In [1] p. 197 one proves that p endows \widetilde{M} with the same local geometry as M . Repeating word by word the proof of Theorem 1.3 from [2] it comes out that \widetilde{M} satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, hence it is compact. It follows its closed subset $p^{-1}(x)$ is compact and being discrete is finite. Since $\pi_1(M, x)$ is bijective with $p^{-1}(x)$ it is itself finite. \square

REFERENCES

1. BAO, D.; CHEN, S.S.; SHEN, Z. – *An Introduction to Riemannian-Finsler Geometry*, Springer, Grodinate, ... Mathematics, nr. 200, 2000.
2. GALLOWAY, J.G. – *A generalization of Myers theorem and an application relativistic cosmology*, J. Differential Geometry, 14(1979), 105-116.
3. MATSUMOTO, M. – *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaishisha Preess, 1986.
4. SUCEAVĂ, B. – *A Myers type theorem for almost Hermitian manifolds*, Algebra, Geometry and their Applications, Seminar Proceedins, 2002, p. 1-4.

Received: 15.X.2007

*Faculty of Mathematics,
"Al. I. Cuza" University,
ROMÂNIA
Mathematics Institute "O. Mayer",
Iași Branch of the Romanian Academy,
ROMÂNIA
anastas@uaic.ro*