

GEOMETRICAL MODEL OF A FINSLERIAN NONHOLONOMIC MECHANICAL SYSTEM

BY

RADU MIRON and VALER NIMINEȚ

Abstract. One considers a Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system $\sum_F = (M, F(x, y), Q_\sigma(x, dx), F_e(x, y))$ and determines the evolution equations, canonical semispray, canonical nonlinear connection and metrical connection.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 53B60.

Key words: Finsler space, semispray, Finslerian mechanical systems.

1. Introduction. In the recent paper [3], we studied the geometrical model of nonholonomic Lagrangian mechanical system determining its evolution equations, the canonical semispray and studying the corresponding geometrical model. In the last section we remarked a very important particular case, given by the nonholonomic Finslerian mechanical systems. But this case is a remarkable one. So, it must to be studied separately.

In the present paper a Finslerian mechanical system, scleronomic with the nonholonomic constraints is defined as a 4-uple:

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_F = (M, F(x, y), Q_\sigma(x, dx), F_e(x, y))$$

where $F^n = (M, F(x, y))$ is a Finsler space [1], [2], [4], $Q_\sigma(x, dx) = a_{\sigma_i}(x)dx^i = 0$, $(\sigma = m+1, \dots, n)$, $m < n$, $n = \dim M$ are the nonholonomic constraints and $F_e = F^i(x, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ are the external forces. Since the d-vector $F^i(x, y)$ depends on velocities $y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$, F_e are nonconservative forces.

Such kind of mechanical systems were considered for the first time by Joseph Klein [1], [3], who studied these systems only by means of their evolution equations.

Now, using the Finsler geometry of F^n and the geometry of semisprays on the phase space TM we determine all fundamental geometric objects of system \sum_F and give their geometrical meaning. The present paper is a continuation of the joint paper [3]. This is the reason for which we take some results from the mentioned paper.

This paper has been presented by the second author to the International Conference dedicated to academician Radu Miron, at his 80th anniversary, Sept. 3-8, 2007, "Al.I.Cuza" University.

2. Preliminaries: Finsler spaces. The real differentiable manifold M of dimension n is called the configuration space of system \sum_F . A generic point $x \in M$ has local coordinates (x^i) , $(i = 1, \dots, n)$. The tangent bundle (TM, π, M) has the total space TM - called the phase space of \sum_F as a real, orientable $2n$ dimensional manifold, with local coordinates (x^i, y^i) of the points (x, y) , $(x = \pi(x, y))$. A change of coordinates on TM is of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{x}^i &= \tilde{x}^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \det \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} \right) \neq 0 \\ \tilde{y}^i &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} y^j. \end{aligned}$$

The pair $F^n = (M, F(x, y))$ is a Finsler space-called associated to \sum_F . Then, $F(x, y)$ is the fundamental function of F^n and its fundamental (or metric) tensor field is

$$(2.2) \quad g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}, \forall (x, y) \in \tilde{M} = TM \setminus \{0\}.$$

The integral of action $I(c)$ of F^n is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad I(c) = \int_0^1 F^2(x, \dot{x}) dt.$$

The definition of $I(c)$ is correct because the Kynetic energy of F^n is

$$(2.4) \quad E(x, y) = g_{ij} y^i y^j = F^2(x, y), y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}.$$

The variational problem on $I(c)$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations, [1],[2],[3],[4]

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial F^2}{\partial y^i} \right) - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^i} = 0, y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}.$$

This system of differential equations is equivalent to the SODE:

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} + \gamma_{jk}^i(x, \frac{dx}{dt}) \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^k}{dt} = 0,$$

where $\gamma_{jk}^i(x, y)$ are the Christoffel symbols of the fundamental tensor $g_{ij}(x, y)$. In the case when t is arclength, (2.6) give the geodesics of the Finsler space F^n . The space F^n gives rise to a canonical semispray on the phase space TM :

$$(2.7) \quad \overset{\circ}{S} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2\overset{\circ}{G}^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where

$$(2.7') \quad 2\overset{\circ}{G}^i = \gamma_{jk}^i y^j y^k.$$

But $\overset{\circ}{S}$ is 1-homogeneous. This means that $\overset{\circ}{S}$ is a spray. The integral curves of the spray $\overset{\circ}{S}$ are given by (2.6). This is the reason why $\overset{\circ}{S}$ is called "canonical for F" .

3. Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical systems. The Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system is defined by a quadruple:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_F = (M, F(x, y), Q_\sigma(x, dx), F_e(x, y)),$$

where $F(x, y)$ is the fundamental function of a Finsler space, Q_σ are the nonholonomic constrains

$$(3.2) \quad Q_\sigma(x, dx) \equiv a_{\sigma_i}(x) dx^i = 0, (\sigma = m + 1, \dots, n),$$

$Q_\sigma(x, dx) = 0$ being an nonintegrable Pfaff system,

$$(3.2) \quad F_e(x, y) = F^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$$

are the external forces, $F^i(x, y)$ being a distinguished vector field on the phase space, [1], [2].

Since F^i depend on the velocities $y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$, it follows that the mechanical system \sum_F is nonconservative. The 1-forms $Q_\sigma(x, dx) = a_{\sigma_i}(x)dx^i$ determine n-m functions on TM :

$$(3.3) \quad Q_\sigma(x, y) = a_{\sigma_i}(x)y^i \text{ (sum by } i = 1, \dots, n).$$

We can consider the following Lagrangian

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{L}(x, y) = F^2(x, y) + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma(x)Q_\sigma(x, y)$$

the functions $\lambda^{m+1}(x), \dots, \lambda^n(x)$ being the Lagrange multiplier. The following properties hold:

1) $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$ is a Lagrangian on the phase space. This means \mathcal{L} is a scalar field on TM .

2) The restriction of $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$ on the distribution $Q_\sigma(x, dx) = 0$ is $F^2(x, y)$, which is the energy of the Finsler space F^n .

3) The fundamental tensor of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$, $g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ is coincides to the fundamental tensor of the Finsler space F^n :

$$(3.5) \quad g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}.$$

4) $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$ is not homogeneous with respect to y^i .

5) The integral of action of $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$ is

$$(3.6) \quad I'(c) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x}) dt = \int_0^1 F^2(x, \dot{x}) dt + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \int_0^1 \lambda^\sigma(x) a_{\sigma_i}(x) \dot{x}^i dt$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equations of \mathcal{L} are given by:

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \left[\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} (\lambda^\sigma Q_\sigma) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\lambda^\sigma Q_\sigma) \right] = 0, \quad y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$$

or equivalently

$$(3.7') \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \left[\frac{\partial \lambda^\sigma}{\partial x^j} a_{\sigma_i} - \frac{\partial \lambda^\sigma}{\partial x^i} a_{\sigma_j} + \lambda^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial a_{\sigma_i}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial a_{\sigma_j}}{\partial x^i} \right) \right] = 0.$$

Now, as usually we ask for the 1-form

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma(x) Q_\sigma(x, dx)$$

to be closed:

$$(3.8') \quad \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n d[\lambda^\sigma(x) Q_\sigma(x, dx)] = 0.$$

We obtain the equations for determining the multipliers $\lambda^\sigma(x)$

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \left\{ \frac{\partial \lambda^\sigma}{\partial x^i} a_{\sigma_j} - \frac{\partial \lambda^\sigma}{\partial x^j} a_{\sigma_i} + \lambda^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial a_{\sigma_i}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial a_{\sigma_j}}{\partial x^i} \right) \right\} = 0.$$

So we can conclude that the Lagrangians \mathcal{L} and F^2 verify the same Euler Lagrange equations if and only if the multipliers $\lambda^\sigma(x)$, $(\sigma = m + 1, \dots, n)$ satisfy the equations (3.8') or (3.9).

4. The evolution equations of the Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical systems. A Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system \sum_F , given by (1.1) can be considered as a Lagrangian mechanical system

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\mathcal{L}} = (M, \mathcal{L}(x, y), F_e(x, y))$$

for which

$$(4.1') \quad \mathcal{L}(x, y) = F^2(x, y) + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma(x) Q_\sigma(x, y)$$

is a regular Lagrangian,

$$(4.1'') \quad Q_\sigma \equiv a_{\sigma_i}(x) dx^i = 0$$

are the Kynematic constraints,

$$(4.1''') \quad F^2 \text{ is the Kynetic energy of a Finsler space } F^n = (M, F(x, y)),$$

$$(4.1^{iv}) \quad \lambda^\sigma(x)$$

are the Lagrange multiplier which satisfy the exterior equations,

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n d[\lambda^\sigma(x)Q_\sigma(x, dx)] = 0,$$

$$(4.1^v) \quad F_e(x, y) = F^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$$

are the given vertical vector fields called the external forces.

In the paper [3] we announced the following Postulate, applied here in the case of Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical systems in the form:

Postulate. The evolution equations of the Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system \sum_F are:

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial F^2}{\partial y^i} \right) - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^i} = F_i(x, y) + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma(x) a_{\sigma_i}(x), \quad y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt},$$

where the multipliers $\lambda^\sigma(x)$ satisfy the equations

$$(4.3') \quad \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n d(\lambda^\sigma Q_\sigma(x, dx)) = 0$$

and where the covariant components of external forces are:

$$(4.4) \quad F_i(x, y) = g_{ij}(x, y) F^j(x, y).$$

It is not difficult to prove that the equations (4.3) have a geometrical meaning on the phase space TM .

These equations will be named **the Lagrange equations** of the Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical systems \sum_F .

They have some interesting properties:

1^o $F_i(x, y) = 0, a_{\sigma_i}(x) = 0$ imply the fact that the solution curves of (4.3) are the geodesics of the Finsler space F^n .

2^o Let $F^n = R^n$ be a Riemann space and $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y^j} = 0$. We obtain a classical Riemannian conservative nonholonomic mechanical system.

3^o $F^2 = g_{ij}(x) \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt}$ -Riemannian Kynetic energy and F^i depending of the velocity $\frac{dx^i}{dt} = y^i$ give us the Riemannian nonconservative nonholonomic mechanical systems.

4° Let F^n be a locally Minkowski space, and $F^i(x, y) = cy^i$, $c = \text{const.} \neq 0$. \sum_F is a Minkowskian nonconservative nonholonomic mechanical system, the external forces being of Liouville type [1].

Now, let us denote

$$(4.5) \quad a_\sigma^i(x, y) = g^{ij}(x, y)a_{\sigma_i}(x) \quad (\sigma = m + 1, \dots, n).$$

Then, the Lagrange system of equations (4.3), (4.3)' is equivalent to:

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + \gamma_{jk}^i \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^k}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \left[F^i \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma(x) a_\sigma^i \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \right]$$

$$\sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n d[\lambda^\sigma(x) Q_\sigma(x, dx)] = 0$$

where $\gamma_{jk}^i(x, y)$ are the Christoffel symbols of the fundamental tensor $g_{ij}(x, y)$ of the Finsler space F^n .

In the following section we use the Lagrange equations of the system \sum_F to derive some important geometrical object fields on the phase space TM of \sum_F .

5. Canonical semispray of \sum_F . The equations (4.6) allow us to prove [1],[2],[3]:

Theorem 5.1 *The canonical semispray S of the Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system \sum_F is the following vector field on the phase space TM:*

$$(5.1) \quad S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad 2G^i(x, y) = \gamma_{jk}^i(x, y) y^j y^k - \frac{1}{2} [F^i(x, y) + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma(x) a_\sigma^i(x, y)].$$

S is called canonical, since it depends on system \sum_F , only.

A first important result can be formulate:

Theorem 5.2 *The integral curves of the canonical semispray S are given by the evolution equations (4.6).*

Proof. Indeed, the integral curves of S are expressed by $\frac{dx^i}{dt} = y^i$, $\frac{dy^i}{dt} + 2G^i(x, y) = 0$, the functions $\lambda^\sigma(x)$ verifying the second equations (4.6).

But, these equations are exactly the first equations (4.6). q.e.d.

The vector field S on the phase space TM is a dynamical system determined only by \sum_F . Consequently the global properties of the moving of the nonholonomic mechanical system \sum_F , the stability of integral curves, or equilibrium points, etc, can be studied by means of S .

We define the Lagrange-Finsler geometry of the Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system \sum_F as being the Lagrange geometry of the pair (TM, S) , [3].

Remark. The canonical semispray S is not homogeneous with respect to velocities $y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$. This is the reason the geometry of the pair (TM, S) is not purely Finslerian. But it can be constructed as a Lagrange geometry [1],[3].

6. Canonical nonlinear connection and metrical connection of \sum_F . The geometrical model of \sum_F is based on the fundamental notions: the nonlinear connection N and the canonical metrical connection $CT(N)$, determined only by \sum_F .

The general theory, [2],[3] shows us that the canonical semispray S , with coefficients $G^i(x, y)$ determines a nonlinear connection N with the coefficients $N_j^i(x, y)$ expressed by $N_j^i = \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}$.

Evidently, N depends on \sum_F only. So, it can be called canonical for \sum_F .

We have, by a direct calculation:

Theorem 6.1 *The canonical nonlinear connection N of \sum_F has the coefficients:*

$$(6.1) \quad N_j^i = \overset{o}{N}_j^i - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial F^i}{\partial y^j} + \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma \frac{\partial a_\sigma^i}{\partial y^j} \right)$$

where $\overset{o}{N}_j^i$ are the coefficients of the Cartan nonlinear connection of the Finsler space F^n .

From the previous theorem, we obtain:

Theorem 6.2. *The Berwald connection of the system \sum_F has the coefficients*

$$(6.2) \quad B_{jk}^i = \overset{o}{B}_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} - \sum_{\sigma=m+1}^n \lambda^\sigma \frac{\partial^2 a_\sigma^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} \right)$$

$\overset{o}{B}_{jk}^i$ being the coefficients of the Berwald connection of the Finsler space F^n .

But, the torsion of Berwald connection vanishes. Consequently,

Corollary 6.1. *The weak torsion of the canonical nonlinear connection N vanishes.*

The nonlinear connection N on TM determines a direct decomposition of tangent space $T_u(TM)$:

$$(6.3) \quad T_u(TM) = N(u) \oplus V(u), \forall u \in TM.$$

An adapted local basis to (6.3) is of the form $\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right)_u$ where

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_i^j(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}.$$

Thus, the integrability tensor R_{jk}^i of N is $R_{jk}^i = \frac{\delta N_j^i}{\delta x^k} - \frac{\delta N_k^i}{\delta x^j}$. We know that $R_{jk}^i = 0$ characterises the integrability of the canonical nonlinear connection N of \sum_F .

Let us consider the N -linear connection $CT(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{jk}^i)$ and the h -covariant derivative "|" and v -covariant derivative "||" defined by $CT(N)$. Then, we can prove:

Theorem 6.3. *For the Finslerian nonholonomic mechanical system \sum_F , there exists only one N -linear connection $CT(N) = (L_{jk}^i, C_{jk}^i)$ having properties $g_{ij|k} = 0$, $g_{ij}{}_{|k} = 0$, $T_{jk}^i = 0$, $S_{jk}^i = 0$. The coefficients of this connections are given by the following generalized Christoffel symbols:*

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{cases} L_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{ih} \left(\frac{\delta g_{jh}}{\delta x^k} + \frac{\delta g_{kh}}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta g_{jk}}{\delta x^h} \right) \\ C_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{ih} \left(\frac{\partial g_{jh}}{\partial y^k} + \frac{\partial g_{kh}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial y^h} \right) \end{cases}.$$

A general remark. All geometric properties of \sum_F can be expressed in terms of the following geometric object fields: g_{ij} , N_j^i , (L_{jk}^i, C_{jk}^i) and Q_σ . So, for the system \sum_F we can determine the electromagnetic tensor field F_{ij} and its generalized Maxwell equations, as well as the Einstein equations of the gravitational tensor field g_{ij} , [3].

REFERENCES

1. BUCĂȚARU, I.; MIRON, R. – *Finsler-Lagrange Geometry, Applications to Dynamical Systems*, ED.Acad.Române, București, 2007.
2. MIRON, R.; ANASTASIEI, M. – *The geometry of Lagrange Spaces. Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Acad.Publ. FTPH 59. , 1994.
3. MIRON, R.; NIMINEȚ, V. – *The Lagrangian Geometrical Model and the Associated Dynamical System of a Nonholonomic mechanical system*, Facta Universitatis, S.Mechanics, Automatic Control and Robotics, Nis, Serbia vol.5, No 1, pp.1-24, 2006.
4. NIMINEȚ, V. – *The exterior differential system. Applications in the Control theory*, Ph. D.Thesis Univ. "Al.I. Cuza", 2005.

Received: 15.X.2007

Department of Economy,
"Petre Andrei" University Iași,
Department of Geometry,
"Al.I. Cuza" University Iași,
ROMÂNIA

Department of Mathematics,
University of Bacău,
ROMÂNIA