# Last Multipliers for Riemannian Geometries, Dirichlet Forms and Markov Diffusion Semigroups

Mircea Crasmareanu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 24 November 2016 © Mathematica Josephina, Inc. 2017

- Abstract We start this study with last multipliers and the Liouville equation for a
- symmetric and non-degenerate tensor field Z of (0, 2)-type on a given Riemannian
- geometry (M, g) as a measure of how far away is Z from being divergence-free
- 4 (and hence  $g^C$ -harmonic) with respect to g. The some topics are studied also for the
- <sup>5</sup> Riemannian curvature tensor of (M, g) and finally for a general tensor field of (1, k)-
- type. Several examples are provided, some of them in relationship with Ricci solitons.
- 7 Inspired by the Riemannian setting, we introduce last multipliers in the abstract frame-
- 8 work of Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov diffusion semigroups. For the last
- 9 framework, we use the Bakry-Emery carré du champ associated to the infinitesimal
- 10 generator of the semigroup.
- 11 Keywords Riemannian manifold · Symmetric covariant 2-tensor field · Last
- multiplier · Liouville equation · Jacobi form · Modular manifold · Ricci soliton ·
- 13 Dirichlet form · Markov diffusion semigroups
- Mathematics Subject Classification 53C21 · 53C25 · 35Q75 · 53C99 · 53B20

## 5 Introduction

- The method of study dynamical systems through Jacobi last multipliers is well known,
- and a modern approach can be found in [26]. Recently, we extend in [12] the notion
- of last multiplier and its associated Liouville equation to vector fields on manifolds
  - Mircea Crasmareanu mcrasm@uaic.ro http://www.math.uaic.ro/~mcrasm
  - Faculty of Mathematics, University "Al. I. Cuza", 700506 Iasi, Romania





1

endowed with a volume form. Also, these objects are studied in some remarkable settings: for Poisson geometry in [13], for weighted manifolds in [15], for Lie algebroids in [17] and in a complex framework in [18].

All these works concern with vectors and multivectors. This paper starts with the case of covariant tensor fields Z of second order and in a Riemannian geometry (M, g). We impose two conditions of such Z: (1) the symmetry, in order to define the divergence of Z with respect to g; (2) the non-degeneration, in order to deal with the corresponding Liouville equation, see (1.5) below. It follows the birth of a remarkable 1-form,  $\omega_Z := Z_{\sharp}^{-1}(divZ)$ , called the *Jacobi form* of the triple (M, g, Z). Then the existence of last multipliers for Z with respect to g means that this form is an exact one. Since exactness implies closedness, we arrive at a de Rham cohomology  $[\omega_Z] \in H^1(M)$  when Z admits last multipliers.

The motivation for this subject is both geometrical and dynamical. From a geometric point of view, we study several important cases of Z, some of them involved in the Ricci flow theory (see for example [8] for general theory and the particular case of Ricci solitons in [2]): the Ricci tensor of g, the Hessian of a smooth function, the Lie derivative of g with respect to a given vector field. Also, since the divergence-free nature of Z expresses the harmonicity of its (1, 1)-version with respect to the complete lift of g (which is a semi-Riemannian metric, [21,22]), we connect our study with the theory of harmonic self-maps of  $(TM, g^C)$ . From the dynamical point of view, the divergence-free covariant tensors provide physical conservation laws (see the whole of [6, Chap. 5]) and the generic example is the Einstein tensor of g discussed in Sect. 2.

In fact, the main result, namely Theorem 1.3, gives a condition for the existence of last multipliers for a given Z with respect to g and also, their generic expression in terms of a potential  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$  of the Jacobi form. This closedness condition, (1.7) or equivalently (1.8), is expressed in terms of  $\nabla$ =the Levi-Civita connection of g and the (1, 1)-version of Z; so, there exist curvature restrictions generated by g as well as the nature of Z. Also, this condition (1.7) involves the exterior differential d on M, and hence there are de Rham cohomology restrictions. It follows that there exists Z without last multipliers with respect to g.

The paper is organized as follows. The first section introduces the setting and its main result, namely Theorem 1.3, discusses the existence and expression of the last multipliers for a fixed Z. Several remarks are included towards a better picture of this framework; for example the Jacobi form is expressed in an adapted orthonormal co-frame.

The Sect. 2 is devoted to applications and some remarkable 2-tensor fields are discussed: the metric (as the simpler case), the Ricci tensor, Chen-Nagano harmonicity, the Lie derivative of g with respect to a given vector field, the Hessian of a smooth function, the second fundamental of a hypersurface, and 2-tensors obtained from 1-forms. We continue their study in Sect. 3 with concrete examples: rotationally symmetric metrics, quasi-constant curvature manifolds, quasi-Einstein manifolds, Ricci solitons and spheres. For Ricci solitons, we derive the (non-vanishing) Jacobi-Ricci form in the gradient case while for the general (not necessary gradient) case the harmonicity of  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)^{\sharp}$  is equivalent with the constancy of the scalar curvature. Also for the gradient case the measure and the diffusion operator (weighted Laplacian) of the canonically





66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73 74

75

76

77

78

79

80

82

83

84

85

86

88

89

91

92

93

94

95

associated metric measure space are expressed by using the last multiplier instead of the potential function u; in fact this was the initial motivation for this work, namely to derive relationships between (gradient) Ricci solitons and last multipliers.

The fourth section concerns with two types of deformations: (1) conformal deformations and the case of a traceless Z is discussed from the point of preserving the exactness (closedness) character of the triple (M, g, Z); (2) the curvature deformations under the action of curvature operator of g. The following section discusses the case of the Riemannian curvature tensor of g, and we finish with a general tensor field  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k^1(M)$  for which we express the Liouville equation. By using the Weitzenböck formula, we express this equation for  $T = \nabla \alpha$  with  $\alpha$  a k-form in terms of Laplacian and the rho tensor field of  $\alpha$ .

Inspired by a formula for last multipliers of vector fields from the Riemannian geometry which involves the Laplacian, we extend this notion firstly for the setting of Dirichlet forms and secondly for symmetric Markov diffusion semigroups in the last section. For the last framework, we use the Bakry-Emery carré du champ  $\Gamma$  associated to the infinitesimal generator L of the semigroup and then an example of last multiplier is put in relationship with the harmonicity with respect to L.

## 1 Last Multipliers for Symmetric Covariant 2-Tensors

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a smooth, n-dimensional Riemannian manifold and fix an orthonormal frame  $\{e_i; 1 \le i \le n\} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$ . As usual, we denote by  $C^{\infty}(M)$  the algebra of smooth real functions on M, by  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of vector fields and by  $\Omega^k(M)$  the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of differential k-forms on M with  $1 \le k \le n$ . We need also  $C^{\infty}_{+}(M)$  the cone of positive smooth functions on M. Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of g and Tr the trace operator with respect to g.

The main object of our study is a fixed symmetric tensor field of (0, 2)-type:  $Z \in$  $\mathcal{T}^0_{2,s}(M)$ . Its associated (1, 1)-tensor field has two variants: 1)  $Z^{\sharp}:\mathcal{X}(M)\to\mathcal{X}(M)$  and 2)  $Z_{\sharp}:\Omega^1(M)\to\Omega^1(M)$ , respectively. The *divergence* of Z with respect to g is div  $Z \in \Omega^1(M)$  defined by [2, p. 9]:

$$\operatorname{div} Z = Tr(\nabla Z^{\sharp}) \tag{1.1}$$

which means for  $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  that [1, p. 334]:

$$\operatorname{div} Z(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i} Z)(X, e_i). \tag{1.2}$$

Sometimes, a local expression is useful. In a local coordinate system  $(x^i; 1 \le i \le n)$ on M, we have  $g = g_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$  and  $Z = Z_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$  with  $Z_{ij} = Z_{ji}$ ; hence  $Z^{\sharp}(Z_{\sharp}) = Z_{ij}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j$  with  $Z_{ij}^i = g^{ia}Z_{aj}$ . Let  $(\Gamma_{bc}^a)$  be the set of Christoffel symbols 97 98 of  $\nabla$ . Then 99

$$\operatorname{div} Z = Z_{j|k}^k dx^j, \quad Z_{j|i}^k = \frac{\partial Z_j^k}{\partial x^i} + Z_j^l \Gamma_{li}^k - Z_l^k \Gamma_{ji}^l. \tag{1.3}$$



100

Fix also  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ . A straightforward computation gives

$$\operatorname{div}(fZ) = Z_{t}(\operatorname{d} f) + f\operatorname{div} Z. \tag{1.4}$$

The aim of this paper is to study the following notion:

**Definition 1.1** The function  $f \in C_+^{\infty}(M)$  is a last multiplier for Z with respect to g if  $\operatorname{div}(fZ) = 0$ . The corresponding equation

$$Z_{\dagger}(d \ln f) = -\text{div}Z \tag{1.5}$$

is called the Liouville equation of Z with respect to g.

In order to solve the Liouville equation, we need an additional hypothesis: Z is non-degenerate i.e.,  $Z^{\sharp}$  is non-singular operator. Let  $\mathcal{T}^1_{1,i}(M)$  be the cone of invertible endomorphisms of the tangent bundle TM; then  $Z^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{T}^1_{1,i}(M)$ . Hence our setting is described by the following notions:

- **Definition 1.2** (i) The triple (M, g, Z) with non-degenerate Z is called *exact* (*closed*) *modular manifold* if its *Jacobi form*  $\omega_Z := Z_{\sharp}^{-1}(divZ) \in \Omega^1(M)$  is exact (closed).
- (ii) In the first case above, the function  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$  is called *potential* if  $\omega_Z = du$ . In the second case above, the cohomology class  $[\omega_Z] \in H^1(M)$  is called *the modular class* of the closed modular manifold (M, g, Z).

We obtain a characterization for the existence of last multipliers:

**Theorem 1.3** i. Let  $Z \in \mathcal{T}^0_{2,s}(M)$  be non-degenerate. Then Z admits last multipliers with respect to g if and only if (M, g, Z) is an exact modular manifold; hence if  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$  is a potential of it then the last multipliers of Z have the form:

$$f = f_C = C \exp(-u) \tag{1.6}$$

for C > 0. It results that if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are last multipliers then there exists a constant C > 0 such that  $f_2 = Cf_1$ .

ii. The triple (M, g, Z) is a closed modular manifold if and only if

$$\operatorname{div} Z \in \operatorname{Ker}(d \circ Z_{\scriptscriptstyle \dagger}^{-1}) \tag{1.7}$$

equivalently

$$Z^{\sharp} \in Ker(d \circ Z_{\sharp}^{-1} \circ Tr \circ \nabla). \tag{1.8}$$

iii. In particular, if Z is divergence-free then its last multipliers are the (positive) constant functions.



132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142 143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156 157

158

159

160

161

(i) Let us denote the operator  $LM^gZ := d \circ Z_{\sharp}^{-1} \circ Tr \circ \nabla$  involved in condition (1.8). It results that  $LM^gZ$  has the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{T}_{1,i}^{1}(M) \stackrel{\nabla}{\to} \mathcal{T}_{2}^{1}(M) \stackrel{Tr}{\to} \mathcal{T}_{1}^{0}(M) = \Omega^{1}(M) \stackrel{Z_{\sharp}^{-1}}{\to} \Omega^{1}(M) \stackrel{d}{\to} \Omega^{2}(M). \quad (1.9)$$

Let us remark that the four operators involved above have different natures: the middle terms  $(Tr, Z_{t}^{-1})$  are algebraic while the extremal terms  $(\nabla, d)$  are differential. Two of them  $(\nabla, Tr)$  depend on g; only one depends of Z, namely  $Z_*^{-1}$ , and the last, namely d, concerns with the nature of ambient setting M.

(ii) Following the case of weighted divergence for vector fields from [15], we define the weighted f-divergence of Z as

$$\operatorname{div}_f Z := \frac{1}{f} \operatorname{div}(f Z). \tag{1.10}$$

Then the Liouville equation is div  $_f Z = 0$  and the set of last multipliers is a "measure of how far away" is Z from being f-divergence-free with respect to

- (iii) In [21, p. 26] or [22, p. 127], it is remarked that the divergence-free character of Z is equivalent with the harmonicity of the map  $Z^{\sharp}: (TM, g^{C}) \to (TM, g^{C})$ where  $g^C$  is the complete lift of g to the tangent bundle of M. Hence, if f is a last multiplier we can say that  $Z^{\sharp}$  is f-harmonic with respect to  $g^{C}$ .
- (iv) We can consider a Frolicher-Nijenhuis type approach. For a 1-form  $\omega$  and a (1, 1)-tensor field F, we can define the F-differential of  $\omega$  by

$$d_F\omega(\cdot,\cdot) = d\omega(F\cdot,\cdot) - d(F_{\dagger}(\omega)). \tag{1.11}$$

The condition (1.7) means  $d(Z_{t}^{-1}(\text{div}Z)) = 0$  and hence the Liouville equation means in terms of 2-forms:

$$(d_{Z_{\sharp}^{-1}}\operatorname{div}Z)(\cdot,\cdot) = d(\operatorname{div}Z)(Z^{\sharp}\cdot,\cdot). \tag{1.12}$$

(V) The Liouville equation can be completely integrated in the 1-dimensional case: g = g(x) > 0,  $Z = Z(x)dx \otimes dx$ . Since  $Z^{\sharp}(Z_{\sharp}) = \frac{Z}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \otimes dx$ , the nondegeneration of Z means  $Z \neq 0$ . The divergence of Z is  $divZ = \frac{1}{g}(\frac{Z}{g})'dx$  where we use the derivative with respect to variable x of M. The operator involved in (1.7) is  $Z_{H}^{-1}: \omega \in \Omega^{1}(M) \to \frac{g}{7}\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$  and hence the formal equation

$$f(x) = \exp\left(-\int Z_{\sharp}^{-1}(\operatorname{div}Z)dx\right) \tag{1.13}$$

is expressed as

$$f(x) = \exp\left(-\int \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{Z}{g}\right)' dx\right). \tag{1.14}$$

163

165

166

167

168

169

170

172

174

175

176

177

179

180

181

183

185

186

For example, if  $Z = g^k$  then a straightforward computation yields:  $f(x) = C \exp(\frac{k-1}{g(x)})$  with the constant C > 0.

(vi) There exists an orthonormal frame adapted to our setting. Indeed, since  $Z^{\sharp}$  is symmetric i.e., g-self-adjoint

$$g(Z^{\sharp}X,Y) = g(X,Z^{\sharp}Y), \tag{1.15}$$

there exists such an orthonormal frame and there exists  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset C^{\infty}(M)$  such that  $e_i$  is unit of the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ :

$$Z^{\sharp}e_{i} = \lambda_{i}e_{i}. \tag{1.16}$$

Let  $\{e^1,\ldots,e^n\}\subset\Omega^1(M)$  be the dual frame. Then we express the divergence of Z as

$$\operatorname{div} Z = A_j e^j, \quad A_j = \operatorname{div} Z(e_j). \tag{1.17}$$

In order to express the coefficient  $A_j$ , we introduce the connection coefficients  $\{C_{ij}^k\} \subset C^{\infty}(M)$  of  $\nabla$  with respect to the adapted orthonormal frame:

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = C_{ij}^k e_k. \tag{1.18}$$

Hence, a long but straightforward computation gives

$$A_j = e_j(\lambda_j) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( C_{ij}^i \lambda_i - C_{ii}^j \lambda_j \right). \tag{1.19}$$

It follows an expression of the Jacobi form. Since

$$Z_{\sharp}: \omega_k e^k \in \Omega^1(M) \to \omega_k \lambda_k e^k \in \Omega^1(M),$$
 (1.20)

we obtain that Z is non-degenerate if and only if all its eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  are different to zero and the inverse:

$$Z_{\sharp}^{-1}: \omega_k e^k \in \Omega^1(M) \to \frac{\omega_k}{\lambda_k} e^k \in \Omega^1(M).$$
 (1.21)

In conclusion, the Jacobi form of (M, g, Z) expressed in the adapted dual frame is

$$\omega_Z = \frac{A_j}{\lambda_j} e^j. \tag{1.22}$$

Its differential is

$$d\omega_{Z} = d\left(\frac{A_{j}}{\lambda_{j}}\right) \wedge e^{j} + \frac{A_{j}}{\lambda_{j}} de^{j} = e_{k} \left(\frac{A_{j}}{\lambda_{j}}\right) e^{k} \wedge e^{j} - \frac{A_{j}}{\lambda_{j}} \theta_{k}^{j} \wedge e^{k}$$
(1.23)

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

198

199

200

202

203

204

208

209

210

211

215

with  $\theta_k^j$  the connection 1-forms of g, [2, p. 2]. But

$$\theta_k^j = C_{ik}^j e^i \tag{1.24}$$

and then

$$d\omega_Z = \left[ e_i \left( \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k} \right) - C_{ik}^j \frac{A_j}{\lambda_j} \right] e^i \wedge e^k. \tag{1.25}$$

(vii) The 2-tensor field Z being symmetric and non-degenerate can be considered as another Riemannian metric on M. To the pair of Riemannian metrics (g, Z) and the application  $\varphi: M \to M$  in [9, p. 337], it is associated as a *map-Laplacian* 

$$\Delta_{\sigma} \, Z \varphi := T r_{\sigma} (\nabla^{g \boxtimes_{\varphi} Z} d\varphi) \tag{1.26}$$

with  $g \boxtimes_{\varphi} Z := g^{-1} \otimes \varphi^* Z$  the natural bundle metric on  $T^*M \otimes \varphi^{-1}(TM)$  and  $\nabla^{g \boxtimes_{\varphi} Z} d\varphi$  the associated *map-Hessian* of  $d\varphi : TM \to TM$ . Hence, with the computation of the cited book on page 338, we get that the divergence of Z can be computed in another way from

$$\operatorname{div}_{g} Z = (\Delta_{g,Z} 1_{M})_{\sharp}^{g} + \frac{1}{2} d(Tr_{g} Z)$$
 (1.27)

with  $1_M$  the identity map of M and  $Tr_gZ$  the trace of Z with respect to g. The term  $\Delta_{g,Z}1_M$  is a vector field along the map  $1_M$  and hence is a section in the pull-back bundle  $1_M^{-1}TM = TM$  i.e., an usual vector field on M; the notation from (1.27) gives its dual 1-form with respect to g. Then f is a last multiplier of Z if and only if:

$$(\Delta_{g,fZ} 1_M)_{\sharp}^g + \frac{1}{2} d(f T r_g Z) = 0.$$
 (1.28)

Hence we introduce a new type of multiplier:

**Definition 1.5** Let M be endowed with the Riemannian metrics g, Z, and  $f \in C_+^{\infty}(M)$ . We call f as being a conformal harmonic multiplier for Z with respect to g if  $1_M : (M, g) \to (M, fZ)$  is a harmonic map.

It follows that a conformal harmonic multiplier f is also a last multiplier for Z with respect to g if and only if it has the expression  $\frac{C}{Tr_gZ}$  supposing that  $Tr_gZ \neq 0$ .

# 2 Applications to Some Remarkable 2-Tensor Fields

In this section, we provide several examples of above settings.

(I) Z = g. Let  $I \in \mathcal{T}_{1,i}^1(M)$  be the Kronecker endomorphism given locally by  $\delta_j^i$ . Since  $\nabla g^{\sharp} = \nabla I = 0$  we have two results: (1) a well-known one: g is divergence-free;



(2) the triple (M, g, g) is exact modular manifold with zero Jacobi form. Hence we have the case iii of Theorem 1.3.

Moreover, if Z is a conformal deformation of g, i.e., Z = ug with  $u \in C_+^\infty(M)$ , then the triple (M, g, ug) is an exact modular manifold since  $Z_{\sharp}^{-1} = \frac{1}{u}I$  and its Jacobi form is  $\omega_Z = d \ln u$ ; its modular class is zero. The Liouville equation yields the last multipliers  $f = f_C = \frac{C}{u}$  with C > 0.

(II) Z = Ric the Ricci tensor field of g. Let us denote  $Q = \text{Ric}^{\sharp}$ , respectively,  $S = \text{Ric}_{\sharp}$  and suppose that Ric is non-degenerate. Denote by R the scalar curvature of g. The divergence of Ric is given by [27, p. 39]

$$\operatorname{divRic} = \frac{1}{2}dR \tag{2.1}$$

and then we introduce the following:

**Definition 2.1** If the Ricci tensor is non-degenerate then the *Jacobi-Ricci form* of (M, g) is  $\omega_{\text{Ric}} := S^{-1}(dR) \in \Omega^1(M)$ . The Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *Ricci-exact (Ricci-closed) modular manifold* if  $\omega_{\text{Ric}}$  is exact (closed). In the second case, the de Rham cohomology class  $[\omega_{\text{Ric}}] \in H^1(M)$  is called the *Ricci-modular* class of (M, g).

Hence Ric admits last multipliers with respect to g if and only if (M, g) is a Ricci-exact modular manifold and if u is a potential for it, i.e.  $\omega_{Ric} = du$ , then the last multipliers of Ric have the form

$$f = f_C = C \exp\left(-\frac{u}{2}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

with C>0. The Riemannian manifold (M,g) is a Ricci-closed modular manifold if and only if

$$R \in Ker(d \circ S^{-1} \circ d) \tag{2.3}$$

and the Liouville equation for Ric is

$$d\ln f = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\rm Ric}.\tag{2.4}$$

In particular, if R is constant then Ric is divergence-free and the last multipliers of Ric with respect to g are again the constant functions. Two related tensors are (a) the Einstein tensor of g, [27, p. 106]: Einstein(g) := Ric  $-\frac{R}{2}g$  which is again divergence-free and we have a variant of Proposition 3.1 from [21, p. 26]:

Proposition 2.2 For any Riemannian geometry (M,g), the map  $Q - \frac{R}{2}g$ :  $(TM,g^C) \rightarrow (TM,g^C)$  is harmonic and in particular, the scalar curvature of gis constant if and only if  $Q:(TM,g^C) \rightarrow (TM,g^C)$  is a harmonic map. Moreover,

if Ric is non-degenerate then the map  $1_M:(M,g) \rightarrow (M,Ric)$  is harmonic.



251

252

253

254

255

256

257

259

260

261

262

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

273

276

278

(b) the Schouten tensor of g, [27, p. 109], for n > 2:  $P = \frac{1}{n-2}(2\text{Ric} - \frac{R}{n-1}g)$ . Its divergence is div  $P = \frac{1}{n-1}dR$ .

Let us point out that in [24,25] is considered a tensor field of type  $Z = \text{Ric} + \varphi g$ with  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M)$  and its physical importance.

(III) (Chen-Nagano harmonicity) A common generalization of the cases I and II is provided by the harmonicity in the Chen-Nagano (CN) sense. Recall, after [7], that the metric Z is CN-harmonic with respect to g if the identity map  $1_M: (M, g) \to (M, Z)$ is harmonic. With the discussion of [21, p. 26], this is equivalent with the divergence-free character of the tensor field:  $Z - \frac{TrZ}{2}g$ . We derive:

**Proposition 2.3** Suppose that Z is CH-harmonic with respect to g. Then (M, g, Z)is a closed modular manifold if and only if

$$TrZ \in Ker(d \circ Z_{\scriptscriptstyle H}^{-1} \circ d).$$
 (2.5)

In particular, if TrZ is constant (for example Z is traceless) then the last multipliers of Z are the (positive) constant functions.

A more general case is when TrZ is an eigenvalue of  $Z_{\sharp}$ :  $Z_{\sharp}(TrZ) = \lambda TrZ$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then the last multipliers of Z have the expression:  $f = C \exp(-\frac{TrZ}{2\lambda})$  with C > 0. The case of traceless operators is discussed in the section 4.

(IV) Fix  $V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  and consider  $Z = \mathcal{L}_V g$  where  $\mathcal{L}_V$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to g. Its local expression is

$$Z_{ij} = V_{i|j} + V_{j|i}, \quad V_{a|b} = \frac{\partial V_a}{\partial x^b} - V_l \Gamma^l_{ab}, \quad Z^k_j = V^k_{|j} + g^{ka} V_{j|a}.$$
 (2.6)

The non-degeneration of this Z excludes the case of a Killing V. Let  $V^{\flat}$  be the 1-form dual of V with respect to g and  $\Delta$  the Laplacian of g. The divergence of this Z is expressed in Lemma 1.10 of [8, p. 6] as

$$\operatorname{div} Z = (\Delta + S)(V^{\flat}) + d(\operatorname{div} V). \tag{2.7}$$

The operator  $\Delta + S$  can be considered as a "Schrödinger" one on 1-forms and hence: 274

**Proposition 2.4** The triple  $(M, g, \mathcal{L}_V g)$  is a closed modular manifold if and only if

$$d \circ (\mathcal{L}_V g)_{\sharp}^{-1} \left[ ((\Delta + S)(V^{\flat}) + d(\operatorname{div} V) \right] = 0.$$
 (2.8)

If  $V^{\flat}$  is a solution of the "Schrödinger-Ricci" equation i.e.,

$$(\Delta + S)(V^{\flat}) = -d(\operatorname{div}V) \tag{2.9}$$

then  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)^{\sharp}: (TM, g^C) \to (TM, g^C)$  is a harmonic map.



3

283

284

286

290

296

298

299

In a local coordinate system the Schrödinger-Ricci equation is

$$\Delta V_j + R_{jk} V^k = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n V^i_{|i|} \right),$$
 (2.91*oc*)

and if V is divergence-free then it means that  $V^{\flat}$  belongs to the kernel of  $\Delta + S$ .

(V) Fix  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$  and consider Z = H(u) the Hessian of u with respect to g. Its local components are

$$H(u)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^k}.$$
 (2.10)

The class of smooth functions with vanishing Hessian are called *linear* in [27, p. 283] and *Killing potentials* in [11] since their gradient are Killing vector fields. From (2.7) we obtain

$$\operatorname{div} H(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\Delta + S)(du) + d(\Delta u) \right] \tag{2.11}$$

and then we get, with  $\delta: \Omega^k(M) \to \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  the co-differential induced by g:

Proposition 2.5 For a nonlinear function u, the triple (M, g, H(u)) is a closed modular manifold if and only if

$$d \circ (H(u))_{\dagger}^{-1} [(2d\delta + S)(du)] = 0.$$
 (2.12)

295 If u is a solution of the "exact Schrödinger-Ricci" equation i.e.,

$$(2d\delta + S)(du) = 0 (2.13)$$

then  $H(u)^{\sharp}: (TM, g^{C}) \to (TM, g^{C})$  is a harmonic map.

A combination of this application and II) consists in the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor

$$Ric_u := Ric + H(u) \tag{2.14}$$

expressing the equation of gradient Ricci solitons and having the divergence

divRic<sub>u</sub> = 
$$\frac{1}{2} [(\Delta + S)(du) + d(R + \Delta u)].$$
 (2.15)

This tensor field is divergence-free if and only if

$$(2d\delta + S)(du) = -dR \tag{2.16}$$

and we will meet again in the following section. We finish this application with a generalization of Ricci solitons:



**Definition 2.6** On the Riemannian manifold (M, g) endowed with  $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{2,s}^0(M)$  the pair  $(u, \lambda)$  is a *Z-gradient soliton* if

$$H(u) + Z + \lambda g = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

(VI) Let  $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  its *g*-dual. Consider  $Z = \eta \otimes \eta$  and its (1, 1)-version  $Z^{\sharp}(Z_{\sharp}) = \eta \otimes \xi$ . Then  $\nabla Z^{\sharp} = \nabla \eta \otimes \xi + \eta \otimes \nabla \xi$  which yields

$$\operatorname{div} Z = (\operatorname{div} \xi) \eta + \nabla_{\xi} \eta. \tag{2.18}$$

Since  $Z_{\sharp}: \omega \in \Omega^{1}(M) \to \omega(\xi)\eta \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ , it results that condition (1.7) requires (div $\xi$ ) $\eta + \nabla_{\xi}\eta$  be a multiple of  $\eta$ . The first term is already a multiple of  $\eta$ , hence we need the hypothesis

$$\nabla_{\varepsilon} \eta = u \eta \tag{2.19}$$

for a given  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , which can be called *the*  $\xi$ -recurrence of  $\eta$  since is a particular case of the recurrence  $\nabla \eta = u\eta \otimes \eta$ . Then

$$Z_{tt}^{-1}: \operatorname{div} Z \to \omega_Z \in \Omega^1(M), \quad \omega_Z(\xi) = \operatorname{div} \xi + u$$
 (2.20)

319 and we derive:

**Proposition 2.7** Let  $Z = \eta \otimes \eta$  be non-degenerate with  $\eta$  being  $\xi$ -recurrent with the factor  $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ . Suppose there exists  $\omega_Z \in \Omega^1(M)$  such that

$$\omega_Z(\xi) = \operatorname{div}\xi + u. \tag{2.21}$$

Then Z admits last multipliers if and only if  $\omega_Z$  is an exact 1-form and the corresponding Liouville equation is d ln  $f = -\omega_Z$ .

Hence, the Jacobi form of this example is exactly  $\omega_Z$  satisfying (2.21) and the recurrence (2.19) can be expressed as  $\nabla_{\xi}\xi = u\xi$ . An important particular case is that of a geodesic vector field,  $\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0$ , for which its Jacobi form must satisfies  $\omega_Z(\xi) = div\xi$ .

(VII) Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  and  $a, b \in \Omega^1(M)$  their g-dual. It is well-known that A and B define the skew-symmetric operator:  $A \wedge_g B : X \in \mathcal{X}(M) \to g(A, X)B - g(B, X)A \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . For example, (M, g) has constant curvature k if and only if its curvature tensor Riem satisfies ([27, p. 84])  $Riem(X, Y) = -kX \wedge_g Y$  for all vector fields X, Y.

The same vector fields define also a symmetric operator  $Z^{\sharp} = \frac{1}{2}(A \otimes b + a \otimes B)$ :  $X \in \mathcal{X}(M) \to \frac{1}{2}[g(A,X)B + g(B,X)A] \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , and hence we can consider its (0,2)-variant:  $Z = \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b + b \otimes a)$ . If locally we have  $A = A^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ ,  $B = B^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$  then  $Z_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(A_iB_j + A_jB_i)$ . Its variant on 1-forms is  $Z_{\sharp} : \omega \in \Omega^1(M) \to \frac{1}{2}[\omega(A)b + B)$ 



 $\omega(B)a$ ]  $\in \Omega^1(M)$  and then  $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$  belongs to the domain of  $Z_{\sharp}^{-1}$  if and only if is  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -combination of a and b. A straightforward computation gives the divergence:

$$\operatorname{div} Z = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_A b + \nabla_B a + (\operatorname{div} A)b + (\operatorname{div} B)a]. \tag{2.22}$$

For a = b we reobtain the application VI.

(VIII) Suppose that M is a hypersurface in  $N^{n+1}$  and let g be its first fundamental form and Z = b its second fundamental form. Let A be the Weingarten (or shape) operator of M and suppose that A is invertible. The divergence of b with respect to g is

$$\operatorname{div}b = d(TrA) = ndH \tag{2.23}$$

with H the mean curvature. The condition (1.7) becomes

$$H \in Ker(d \circ A_{\dagger}^{-1} \circ d). \tag{2.24}$$

Hence we define the Jacobi-shape form of the hypersurface M as

$$\omega_M := A_{\dagger}^{-1}(dH) \tag{2.25}$$

while the Liouville equation is

$$d\ln f = -n\omega_M. \tag{2.26}$$

In conclusion, the CMC hypersurfaces admit as last multipliers the positive constant functions. For a general hypersurface let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  its principal directions and  $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$  its principal curvatures. As in item vi) of Remarks 1.4 we obtain the Jacobi-shape form of M:

$$\omega_M = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i(H)}{\lambda_i} e^i \tag{2.27}$$

357 for  $H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}$ .

(IX) A generalization of the previous application concerns with smooth maps. Let  $\varphi:(M,g)\to (N,h)$  be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds and let  $Z=\varphi^*h$  be the first fundamental form of  $\varphi$ . With the formula (1.28) we get that  $f\in C^\infty_+(M)$  is a last multiplier for  $\varphi^*h$  with respect to g if and only if

$$(\Delta_{g,f\varphi^*h} 1_M)_{\sharp}^g + \frac{1}{2} d(f \|d\varphi\|_{g\boxtimes_{\varphi}\varphi^*h}^2) = 0.$$
 (2.28)

(X) Suppose that (M, g) supports a Riemannian (static) continuum body characterized by i) the mass density  $\rho \in C^{\infty}(M)$ ; ii) the mass force  $F \in \Omega^1(M)$ . It is well known that the behavior of this continuous deformable medium is described by the stress tensor  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{2,s}^0(M)$  of Cauchy, see [23]. Hence, the equation of motion is described by the first Cauchy law of equilibrium



378

$$\operatorname{div}\sigma + \rho F = 0 \tag{2.29}$$

and we suppose that the stress tensor is non-degenerate. It follows the Jacobi form of this body:

$$\omega_{\sigma} = -\sigma^{-1}(\rho F). \tag{2.30}$$

## 3 Examples of Jacobi-Ricci Forms

In this section, we discuss some explicit examples with computable Jacobi-Ricci form.

# 3.1 Rotationally Symmetric Metrics

Following [27, p. 118] we consider a general rotationally symmetric metric

$$g = dr^2 + \rho^2(r)ds_{n-1}^2 \tag{3.1}$$

with  $ds_{n-1}^2$  the canonical metric of  $S^{n-1}$ . Its scalar curvature is [27, p.121]

$$R = -2(n-1)\frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho} + (n-1)(n-2)\frac{1-\dot{\rho}}{\rho^2},\tag{3.2}$$

and then we are interested in the behavior of Q on  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  and from the same citation:

$$Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = -(n-1)\frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$
(3.3)

Hence for n=2 we suppose that  $\frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho}\neq 0$  and its Jacobi-Ricci form is

$$\omega_{\rm Ric} = d \ln \left(\frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^2 \tag{3.4}$$

which yields the following:

Proposition 3.1 A 2D rotationally symmetric metric (3.1) with  $\ddot{\rho} \neq 0$  admits last multipliers having the expression

$$f = f_C(r) = C\left(\frac{\rho}{\ddot{\rho}}\right)^2 \tag{3.5}$$

387 with C > 0.

389

392

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

405

406

407

409

410

411

For  $n \ge 3$  we obtain the Jacobi-Ricci form

$$\omega_{\text{Ric}} = d \ln \left( \frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho} \right)^2 + (2 - n) \frac{2\dot{\rho}(\dot{\rho} - 1) - \rho \ddot{\rho}}{\rho^2 \ddot{\rho}} dr \tag{3.6}$$

and then (M,g) is a Ricci-exact (Ricci-closed) modular manifold if and only if the 1-form  $\left[\frac{2\dot{\rho}(\dot{\rho}-1)}{\rho^2\ddot{\rho}}-\frac{1}{\dot{\rho}}\right]dr$  is an exact (closed) form.

## 3.2 Quasi-Constant Curvature Manifolds

As in application VI let a unit form  $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$  and  $\xi = \eta^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  its g-dual. The triple  $(M, g, \xi)$  with  $n = dimM \ge 3$  is called *quasi-constant curvature manifold* if there exists  $a, b \in C^{\infty}(M)$  such that the curvature tensor field is ([5, p. 237])

$$R(X,Y) = aX \wedge_g Y + b \left[ \eta(X)Y^{\flat} - \eta(Y)X^{\flat} \right] \xi + b \left[ \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \right] \eta \quad (3.7)$$

with  $X^{\flat}$  the *g*-dual form of *X*; we denote  $M_{a,b}^n(\xi)$  this manifold. It follows the Ricci tensor field

$$S = [(n-1)a + b]I + (n-2)b\eta \otimes \xi$$
 (3.8)

and the scalar curvature

$$R = (n-1)(na+2b). (3.9)$$

In order to obtain a computable Jacobi-Ricci form, we introduce the following type of  $M_{a,b}^n(\xi)$ :

Definition 3.2 The quasi-constant curvature manifold is called *special* if

- (i) it is regular ([5, p. 238]):  $a + b \neq 0$ ; and
  - (ii) da and db are parallel with  $\eta$  i.e., there exists non-zero  $\alpha, \beta \in C^{\infty}(M)$  such that

$$\frac{da}{\alpha} = \frac{db}{\beta} = \eta. \tag{3.10}$$

We derive immediately the following:

**Proposition 3.3** The Jacobi-Ricci form of a special  $M_{a,b}^n(\xi)$  is the closed 1-form

$$\omega_{Ric} = \frac{n\alpha + 2\beta}{a+b} \eta = \frac{1}{a+b} d(na+2b). \tag{3.11}$$

In conclusion, a special  $M_{a,b}^n(\xi)$  is a closed modular manifold. In the particular case a=b>0, we have that the special  $M_{a,a}^n(\xi)$  is an exact modular manifold with  $\omega_{\rm Ric}=\frac{n+2}{2}d\ln a$  and its last multipliers have the form  $f=f_C=\frac{C}{a}$  with C>0.



418

421

424

429

432

#### 3.3 Quasi-Einstein Manifolds

Inspired by (3.8) the triple  $(M^n, g, \xi)$  as above is called *quasi-Einstein manifold* exists  $a, b \in C^{\infty}(M)$  such that the Ricci tensor field is

$$S = aI + b\eta \otimes \xi. \tag{3.12}$$

The given quasi-Einstein is called *special* if the conditions of definition 3.2 holds; the notion of *regular quasi-Einstein manifold* was introduced in [14, p. 363].

Since the scalar curvature of a quasi-Einstein manifold is R = na + b it follows:

**Proposition 3.4** The Jacobi-Ricci form of a special quasi-Einstein  $\overline{M}_{a,b}^n(\xi)$  is the closed 1-form

$$\omega_{Ric} = \frac{n\alpha + \beta}{a+b} \eta = \frac{1}{a+b} d(na+b). \tag{3.13}$$

In conclusion, a special quasi-Einstein  $M_{a,b}^n(\xi)$  is a closed modular manifold. In the particular case a=b>0, we have that the special quasi-Einstein  $M_{a,a}^n(\xi)$  is an exact modular manifold with  $\omega_{Ric}=\frac{n+1}{2}d\ln a$  and its last multipliers have the form  $f=f_C=\frac{C}{a}$  with C>0.

#### 3.4 Ricci solitons

The vector field V of application IV) is a *generator* of a Ricci soliton on (M, g) if there exists a scalar  $\lambda$  such that ([14, p. 362])

$$\mathcal{L}_V g + 2\text{Ric} + 2\lambda g = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Then  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)_{\sharp} = -2(S + \lambda I)$  and tracing (3.14) we obtain the divergence of V

$$\operatorname{div} V = -R - \lambda n. \tag{3.15}$$

The Lemma 1.10 of [8, p. 6] states that  $V^{\flat}$  belongs to the kernel of  $\Delta + S$  and then the Schrödinger–Ricci equation (2.9) admits  $V^{\flat}$  as solution if and only if V is divergence-free or equivalently R is constant:

Proposition 3.5 Let  $(M, g, V, \lambda)$  be a Ricci soliton with constant scalar curvature and non-degenerate Ricci tensor. Then  $\omega_{Ric} = 0$  and the maps Q and  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)^{\sharp}$ :  $(TM, g^C) \rightarrow (TM, g^C)$  are harmonic. More generally, if the given data are an almost Ricci soliton i.e.,  $\lambda$  is a smooth function then the second harmonicity holds if and only if the function  $R + n\lambda$  is a constant.

For the gradient Ricci solitons,  $V = \nabla u$ , the formula (1.27) of [8, p. 8] (which is the same with (1.31) of page 9) states that



$$S(du) = \frac{1}{2}dR \tag{3.16}$$

and then the following:

**Proposition 3.6** The Jacobi-Ricci form of a gradient Ricci soliton  $(M, g, u, \lambda)$  is

$$\omega_{\rm Ric} = d(2u) \tag{3.17}$$

and then (M, g) is a Ricci-exact modular manifold with the last multipliers of Ric having the form

$$f = f_C = C \exp(-u).$$
 (3.18)

For the example of Gaussian soliton  $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, can)$ , we have  $u(x) = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|^2$  which yields  $f_C(x) = Ce^{\frac{\lambda}{2}\|x\|^2}$  with  $\|\cdot\|$  the Euclidean n-norm and arbitrary scalar  $\lambda$ . Let us remark that the proper setting for the data (M, g, u) of this paper is the *smooth metric measure space*  $(M, g, \exp(-u)d\mu_g)$  with  $d\mu_g$  the canonical volume form (measure) induced by g; another usual name is that of *weighted manifold* conform [15,16]. It follows that for a gradient Ricci soliton its associated metric measure space has the volume form

$$\mu_g^u := \exp(-u)d\mu_g = f_1 d\mu_g$$
 (3.19)

with  $f_1$  the (unit) last multiplier of Ric from (3.18) and the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor (2.14) is self-adjoint with respect to the  $L^2$ -inner product of functions using this measure. Also, the diffusion operator of this space, called *weighted Laplacian* 

$$\Delta_{u} \cdot = e^{u} \operatorname{div}(e^{-u} \nabla \cdot) = \Delta - g(\nabla u, \nabla \cdot), \tag{3.20}$$

 $^{464}$  can be expressed in terms of last multipliers and weighted divergence (1.10) as

$$\Delta_u F = \frac{1}{f_1} \operatorname{div}(f_1 \nabla F) = \operatorname{div}_{f_1}(\nabla F)$$
(3.21)

for any smooth function F on M. For the example of a closed M, the Perelman's energy functional is [8, p. 191]

$$\mathcal{F}(g, u) := \int_{M} (R + \|\nabla u\|_{g}^{2}) e^{-u} d\mu_{g}$$
 (3.22)

469 which

470 (a) in terms of last multipliers it becomes

$$\mathcal{F}(g, u) = \int_{M} (R + \|d \ln f_1\|_g^2) f_1 d\mu_g$$
 (3.23)



(b) can be generalized to arbitrary Z-solitons (2.17) through

$$\mathcal{F}(g, Z, u) := \int_{M} (Tr_{g}Z + \|\nabla u\|_{g}^{2})e^{-u}d\mu_{g}. \tag{3.24}$$

Returning to the general case of proposition 3.5, let us remark that for a constant scalar curvature the tensor field  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)^{\sharp}$  is zero in the steady situation:  $\lambda = 0$ . Indeed, with the Lemma 1.11 of [8, p. 6] we have

$$\Delta R + 2\|\operatorname{Ric}\|^2 = V(R) - 2\lambda R \tag{3.25}$$

and hence a constant R yields

$$S(du) = 0, \quad ||\text{Ric}||^2 = -\lambda R$$
 (3.26)

which means that du is a zero of S and if  $\lambda R \neq 0$  then R and  $\lambda$  have opposite sign; let us point out that this fact holds for a general (i.e., with R not a constant) shrinking ( $\lambda < 0$ ) closed Ricci soliton from Proposition 1.13 of [8, p. 7]. For  $\lambda = 0$ , it results Ric = 0 i.e., (M, g) is Ricci-flat and the definition (3.14) gives that V is a Killing vector field.

In [4], it is proved that compact almost Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature are gradient. Non-steady gradient Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature are studied in [19] where a main consequence of the constancy of R is the fact that the potential function u is an isoparametric one meaning that its level sets are parallel hypersurfaces of constant principal curvatures and hence constant mean curvature. Then the last multipliers (3.18) of Ric are also isoparametric functions.

The general case (not necessary compact or gradient) of non-steady Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature *R* on complete Riemannian geometries can be described with the classification provided by Theorem 8.2 of [2, p. 463]:

- (I) expanding  $(\lambda > 0)$ . We have  $-n\lambda \le R \le 0$  and
- (I1) if  $R = -n\lambda$  then V is Killing vector field and (M, g) is Einstein,
- (I2) if R = 0 then V is a homothetic vector field and (M, g) is Ricci-flat.
  - II) shrinking ( $\lambda < 0$ ). We have  $0 \le R \le -n\lambda$  and
- (III) if R = 0 then V is a homothetic vector field and (M, g) is flat,
- (II2) if  $R = -n\lambda$  then V is Killing vector field and (M, g) is a compact Einstein manifold.

It follows that a proper  $(\mathcal{L}_V g)^{\sharp}$ , i.e., not a constant multiple of Kronecker tensor, is attained for possible intermediary values  $R \in (-n\lambda, 0)$ , respectively,  $R \in (0, -n\lambda)$ . In the gradient case, from Theorem 1 of [19], it results that only the intermediary discrete values are possible:  $R \in \{-(n-1)\lambda, \ldots, -\lambda\}$ , respectively,  $R \in \{-2\lambda, \ldots, -(n-1)\lambda\}$  which excludes the dimension n=2 and fixes the value  $R=-2\lambda$  for dimension n=3 in the shrinking case; from (3.15) it results that the Laplacian of u is constant. Also, from Theorem 2 of the cited paper, the complete non-steady gradient Ricci solitons with non-degenerate Ricci tensor having the constant rank n are rigid which



510

511

512

514

515

517

519

521

522 523

525

526

527

529

means that (M, g) is isometric to  $N \times_{\Gamma} \mathbb{R}^k$  where N is Einstein,  $\mathbb{R}^k$  has the Gaussian soliton structure and  $\Gamma$  acts freely on N and by orthogonal transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

Concerning the level sets  $S_c^u: u = c \in \mathbb{R}$  of the potential function u of a gradient Ricci soliton (with non-constant R) let us remark from (3.18) that these coincide with the level sets  $S_{Ce^{-c}}^{fc}$  of the last multiplier  $f_C$ . Also, from (3.16) and the non-degeneration of S, it results that the level sets of u coincide with the level sets of u. Let  $II_c$  be the second fundamental form of  $S_c^u$  supposing that u is strictly convex. With the computations of [10] we have

$$II_c = \frac{-1}{\|\nabla u\|_g} \cdot H_u = \frac{-|f_1|}{\|d \ln f_1\|_g} H_u$$
 (3.27)

which becomes for our setting:

$$II_c = \frac{2}{\|\omega_{\text{Ric}}\|_g} (Ric + \lambda g). \tag{3.28}$$

## 3.5 Spheres

Let  $S^n(r)$  be the *n*-dimensional sphere with its canonical metric *g* of constant curvature  $c = \frac{1}{r^2}$ . It is well known that its Laplacian spectrum has the first positive eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = n$  with the multiplicity *n* and eigenvectors  $u \in C^{\infty}(S^n(r))$  called *first-order spherical harmonics*. These functions appear in the Obata characterization of the Euclidean sphere.

So, for a first spherical harmonic u we have

$$H(u) = -\frac{u}{r^2}g, \quad H(u)_{\sharp}^{-1} = -\frac{r^2}{u}I$$
 (3.29)

528 and

$$\Delta u = -\frac{n}{r^2}u, \quad \text{div}H(u) = -\frac{du}{r^2}.$$
 (3.30)

It follows the Jacobi form associated to H(u)

$$\omega_{H(u)} = H(u)_{\sharp}^{-1}(\operatorname{div} H(u)) = \frac{du}{u} = d(\ln u)$$
 (3.31)

and then  $(S^n(r), g, H(u))$  is an exact-modular manifolds with the last multipliers for H(u) having the form

$$f = f_C = \frac{C}{u}. ag{3.32}$$



536

537

538

539

540

541

544

545

546

547

548

550

551

552

553

555

556

557

561

562

564

#### 4 Conformal and Curvature Deformations

Returning to the general setting let  $u \in C^{\infty}_{+}(M)$  be fixed. The aim of this section is to compare the Jacobi form of the triples (M, g, Z) and  $(M, \tilde{g} := ug, Z)$ . Let us remark that the (1, 1)-version of Z with respect to  $\tilde{g}$  is  $\tilde{Z}_{\sharp} = \frac{1}{u} Z_{\sharp}$  and hence  $\tilde{Z}_{\sharp}^{-1} = u Z_{\sharp}^{-1}$ .

With the well-known formula for the difference between the Levi-Civita connection of  $\tilde{g}$  and g([27, p. 156]), we derive

$$2(\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{\varrho}}Z - \operatorname{div}_{\varrho}Z) = nZ_{\sharp}(d\ln u) - (TrZ^{\sharp})d\ln u \tag{4.1}$$

where  $TrZ^{\sharp}$  is the trace of  $Z^{\sharp}$ ; in a local chart we have  $TrZ^{\sharp} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}^{i}$ . Then the 542 tilde Jacobi form  $\tilde{\omega}_Z := \tilde{Z}_{\sharp}^{-1}(\mathrm{div}_{\tilde{g}}Z)$  is 543

$$\tilde{\omega}_Z = u\omega_Z + \frac{1}{2} [ndu - (TrZ^{\sharp})Z_{\sharp}^{-1}(du)] \tag{4.2}$$

which yields the following:

**Proposition 4.1** Suppose that  $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{2,s}^0(M)$  is non-degenerate and traceless.

- i. If (M, g, Z) is a closed modular manifold and du is parallel to  $\omega_Z$ , i.e.,  $du \wedge \omega_Z =$ 0, then  $(M, \tilde{g}, Z)$  is also a closed modular manifold.
- ii. In particular, suppose that (M, g, Z) is an exact modular manifold with the potential u. Then  $(M, \tilde{g}, Z)$  is also an exact modular manifold with the potential  $\frac{u^2+nu}{2}$ .

Let us remark that the subspace  $T_{2,s,t}^0(M) \subset T_{2,s}^0(M)$  of traceless tensors appears naturally in our study. Indeed, it is well known that pointwise we have that  $\mathcal{T}_{2s}^0(M)$ splits into  $O(T_xM)$ -irreducible subspaces as  $\mathcal{T}^0_{2,s}(M) = \mathcal{T}^0_{2,s,t}(M) \oplus \mathbb{R}g$ ; in the words of [27, p. 110]: the homotheties and traceless matrices are perpendicular.

In the second part of this section, we study the case of curvature deformation. Recall that g yields the curvature operator:

$$R^g: \mathcal{T}^0_{2,s}(M) \to \mathcal{T}^2_{0,s}(M), \quad Z = (Z_{ij}) \to R^g(Z) = (R^g(Z)_{ij} := R_{iabj} Z^{ab}).$$
 (4.3)

The symmetries of the (0, 4)-curvature tensor field  $Riem = (R_{iikl})$  guarantee that 558 this operator is proper defined; remark also that  $R^g$  is a g-self-adjoint operator on 560

For a fixed Z, the (1, 1)-variant of its curvature transformation  $R^g(Z)$  is

$$R^{g}(Z)_{i}^{k} = g^{ki}R^{g}(Z)_{ij} = g^{ki}R_{ibcj}Z^{bc} = g^{ki}R_{jbci}Z^{bc} = R^{k}_{ibc}Z^{bc}$$
(4.4)

and then 563

$$R^{g}(Z)_{j|i}^{k} = R_{jbc|i}^{k} Z^{bc} + R_{jbc}^{k} Z_{|i}^{bc}.$$
(4.5)



568

574

578

579

581

582

585

It follows the *j*-component of divergence of the curvature transformation:

$$[\operatorname{div} R^{g}(Z)]_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [R^{i}_{jbc|i} Z^{bc} + R^{i}_{jbc} Z^{bc}_{|i}]. \tag{4.6}$$

With the second Bianchi identity ([2, p. 17]) it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{jbc|i}^{i} = R_{jc|b} - R_{jb|c}$$
(4.7)

and due to the symmetry of Z we have

[div 
$$R^g(Z)$$
]<sub>j</sub> =  $\sum_{i=1}^n R^i_{jbc} Z^{bc}_{|i|}$  (4.8)

which means globally

[div 
$$R^g(Z)$$
](X) = Trace  $\left[ (U, V) \rightarrow g(R(X, U)V, (\nabla \hat{Z})(U, V)) \right]$  (4.9)

with  $\hat{Z} = (Z^{ab})$  the contravariant version of Z.

# 5 Curvature Last Multipliers and the General Case of Tensors

It is well known that the divergence of the Riemannian curvature tensor is ([27, p. 104])

(divRiem)
$$(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_X \operatorname{Ric})(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \operatorname{Ric})(X, Z)$$
 (5.1)

for any vector fields X, Y, and Z. We introduce a new class of last multipliers:

**Definition 5.1** The function  $f \in C^{\infty}_{+}(M)$  is called *curvature last multiplier* for g if f *Riem* is divergence-free or, in other words, the tensor field f *Riem* is *conservative* or (M, g) has *harmonic curvature*.

A direct computation gives

$$\operatorname{div}(f\operatorname{Riem})(X, Y, Z) = f(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Riem})(X, Y, Z) + R(X, Y)Z(f) \tag{5.2}$$

and then f is a curvature last multiplier if and only if the following Liouville equation holds for any X, Y and Z:

$$Riem(X, Y)Z(\ln f) = (\nabla_Y Ric)(X, Z) - (\nabla_X Ric)(Y, Z). \tag{5.3}$$

In particular, if Ric is a Codazzi tensor i.e., the right-hand side of (5.3) is zero then f is a first integral of the curvature: Riem(X,Y)Z(f)=0 for all X,Y, and Z; this



equation appears for a problem concerning the vertical lift of a Killing potential in Proposition 11 of [11, p. 175]. For example, if (M, g) is a space-form  $M_c^n$  i.e., it has the constant curvature c then the only functions satisfying the last equations are the constants.

At this moment, we have discussed the last multipliers f of three types of tensor fields:

(1) vector field  $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ ; the Liouville equation of f is ([12, p. 458])

$$X(\ln f) = -divX \tag{5.4}$$

which means

$$d(\ln f) \circ X = -\operatorname{div} X. \tag{5.5}$$

(2) endomorphism  $F \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(M)$ ; the Liouville equation is the contravariant version of (1.5)

$$d(\ln f) \circ F = -\operatorname{div} F \tag{5.6}$$

and for a non-degenerate F one have the Jacobi form:  $\omega_F := \operatorname{div} F \circ F^{-1}$ .

(3) curvature  $Riem \in \mathcal{T}_3^1(M)$ ; again the Liouville equation (5.3) reads as

$$d(\ln f) \circ \text{Riem} = -\text{divRiem}.$$
 (5.7)

Concerning the gradient vector fields  $X = \nabla u$  and complete metrics g with Theorem 2.18 from [2, p. 126], we have that any nonnegative and  $div_f$ -superharmonic  $u \in C^2(M) \cap L^1(M, fdV_g)$  is constant if (M, g) is  $div_f|_{gradients}$ -stochastically complete.

These cases yield the following general definition:

**Definition 5.2** Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_k^1(M, g)$  be fixed and  $f \in C_+^{\infty}(M)$ . f is called *last multiplier* of T with respect to g if the Liouville equation holds

$$d(\ln f) \circ T = -\operatorname{div}_{g} T, \tag{5.8}$$

which means the vanishing of the drift (or drifting) divergence:  $\operatorname{div}_f T := \frac{1}{f}\operatorname{div}(fT)$ .

The both members of Liouville equation belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_k^0(M)$  and following the terms of item ii) of Remarks 1.4 we say that T is f-divergence-free with respect to g or f-conservative with respect to g. If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are two last multipliers it follows that the image of T is a subspace in the annihilator of the exact 1-form  $d(\ln \frac{f_2}{f_1})$ .

For example let  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $T = \nabla \alpha \in \mathcal{T}^0_{k+1}(M,g) \simeq_g \mathcal{T}^1_k(M,g)$ . The Weitzenböck formula is ([29, p. 303])

$$\Delta \alpha = -\text{div}T + \rho(\alpha) \tag{5.9}$$

621

623

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

635

638

640

644

and then the Liouville equation for T is

$$d(\ln f) \circ (\nabla \alpha) = \Delta \alpha - \rho(\alpha). \tag{5.10}$$

In particular, for a harmonic k-form we have  $d(\ln f) \circ (\nabla \alpha) = -\rho(\alpha)$ .

## 6 Last Multipliers with Respect to Dirichlet Forms

Returning to equation (5.5) if  $X = \nabla u$  then we get the relation (3.2) of [12, p. 462]:

$$g(\nabla u, \nabla(\ln f)) = -\Delta u. \tag{6.1}$$

This relation permits to define last multipliers in the setting of Dirichlet forms.

More precisely, let M be a connected locally compact separable space and let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on M. Fix  $\mathcal E$  a regular and strongly local Dirichlet form on M with domain  $\mathcal D \subset L^2(M,d\mu)$  i.e.,  $\mathcal E$  is a positive, symmetric, closed bilinear form on  $L^2(M,\mu)$  such that unit contractions operate on  $\mathcal E$ , [20]. This form  $\mathcal E$  admits an *energy measure*  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \int_{M} d\Gamma(u,v) \tag{6.2}$$

for  $u, v \in \mathcal{D}$ . Let also A be the self-adjoint operator uniquely associated with the Dirichlet space  $(M, \mathcal{E}, L^2(M, d\mu))$ 

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = (Au,v) := \int_{M} (-Au)v d\mu \tag{6.3}$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{D}$ . The well-known example is that of Riemannian manifolds (M, g) where

$$d\Gamma(u, v) = g(\nabla u, \nabla v)d\mu_g, \quad A = \Delta$$
 (6.4)

with  $d\mu_g$  the Riemannian measure. Hence (6.1) can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_g(u,1) = \int_M (-\Delta u) d\mu_g = \int_M d\Gamma(u, \ln f) = \mathcal{E}_g(u, \ln f). \tag{6.5}$$

We arrive at the following general definition:

Definition 6.1 Let the Dirichlet space  $(M, \mathcal{E}, L^2(M, d\mu))$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}$ . The positive  $m \in \mathcal{D}$  is called *a last multiplier* for u if

$$\mathcal{E}(u,1) = \mathcal{E}(u,m). \tag{6.6}$$



646

649

656

659

660

66

662

663

664

665

667

670

For an arbitrary  $v \in \mathcal{D}$  the previous relation becomes

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \int_{M} v d\Gamma(u,m) \tag{6.7}$$

while the linearity of  $\mathcal E$  gives the following form of (6.6) which we call *Liouville* equation for u

$$\mathcal{E}(u, m-1) = 0. \tag{6.8}$$

If  $\mathcal{E}$  possesses the local property then the hypothesis  $supp[u] \cap supp[m-1] = \emptyset$  implies (6.8) where, as usual, supp[u] denotes the support of the measure  $u \cdot \mu$ .

Example 6.2 As in example 1 of [28, p. 57] on the manifold M let us consider a measure  $\mu$  with positive smooth density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on each local chart. Fix also the smooth vector fields  $\{X_1, ..., X_r\}$  and we define the operator

$$\Gamma(u,v) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i(u) X_i(v)$$
(6.9)

and  $\mathcal{E}$  through (6.2). Hence  $m \in C^{\infty}_{+}(M)$  is a last multiplier for a fixed u if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i(u) X_i(m) = 0. {(6.10)}$$

For example, if all  $X_i$  admit a common first integral m then m is an "universal last multiplier" i.e., last multiplier for all u.

A main source of Dirichlet forms is provided by symmetric Markov diffusion semigroups as it is pointed out in [3]. Fix now a symmetric Markov semigroup  $\mathbf{P} = (P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  with the *infinitesimal generator* given by

$$Lf := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left( P_t(f) - f \right). \tag{6.11}$$

666 The associated Bakry-Emery carré du champ is

$$\Gamma(f,g) := \frac{1}{2} (L(fg) - gLf - fLg)$$
 (6.12)

and we recall the Definition 1.11.1 of [3, p. 43]:

**Definition 6.3** L is a diffusion operator if

$$L\psi(f) = \psi'(f)Lf + \psi''(f)\Gamma(f, f) \tag{6.13}$$

672

674

675

679

686

688

690

692

693

694

695

696

697

699

for every  $\psi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of class at least  $C^2$  and every suitable smooth function f.

The more used example of symmetric Markov diffusion semigroups is provided by a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with L being the Laplacian  $\Delta = \Delta_g$  and  $\Gamma_g(u, v) = g(\nabla u, \nabla v)$ . Remark hence that the Eq. (6.1) reads

$$0 = f \Delta u + g(\nabla u, \nabla f) = f L u + \Gamma(u, f)$$
(6.14)

and then we arrive at the following notion of last multiplier:

Definition 6.4 Let  $SMDS = (\mathbf{P}, L, \Gamma)$  be a symmetric Markov diffusion semigroup and a fixed u. Then f is a last multiplier for u with respect to SMDS if

$$fLu + \Gamma(u, f) = 0. \tag{6.15}$$

which we call the *Liouville equation for u*.

Fix now a function  $\psi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as in Definition 6.3 and search for f as being  $\psi(u)$ :

Proposition 6.5 Let  $\Psi = \int \psi$  be the antiderivative of  $\psi$ . Then  $f = \psi(u)$  is a last multiplier of u with respect to the given SMDS if and only if  $\Psi(u)$  is L-harmonic:  $L\Psi(u) = 0$ .

Proof The diffusion property (6.12) yields for our f as follows:

$$L\Psi(u) = fLu + \psi'(u)\Gamma(u, u), \tag{6.16}$$

while the relation (1.11.5) of [3, p. 44] gives the chain rule

$$\psi'(u)\Gamma(u,u) = \Gamma(u,\psi(u) = f). \tag{6.17}$$

689 Hence the Liouville expression becomes

$$fLu + \Gamma(u, f) = L\Psi(u), \tag{6.18}$$

and we have the conclusion.

Remark 6.6 In Proposition 3.1 of [12, p. 463], we obtain that in a Riemannian geometry (M, g) a given function u is last multiplier for its gradient  $\nabla_g u$  if and only if  $u^2$  is a harmonic function. It follows that this example is provided by the last proposition with  $\psi$  being the identity function.

#### References

 Agricola I.: Non-integrable geometries, torsion, and holonomy, in Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian geometry and supersymmetry. IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, vol. 16, pp. 277-346, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, (2010). MR2681594 (2012b:53041)



703

705

706

707 708

709

710 711

- 2. Alías, L.J., Mastrolia, P., Rigoli, M.: Maximum Principles and Geometric Applications, Springer 700 701 Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham (2016)
  - 3. Bakry, D., Gentil, I., Ledoux, M.: Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 348. Springer, Cham (2014)
  - 4. Barros, A., Batista, R., Ribeiro Jr., E.: Compact almost Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature are gradient. Monatsh. Math 174(1), 29-39 (2014)
  - 5. Bejan, C.L., Crasmareanu, M.: Ricci solitons in manifolds with quasi-constant curvature. Publ. Math. Debr. **78**(1), 235–243 (2011)
  - 6. Calin, O., Chang, D.C.: Geometric Mechanics on Riemannian Manifolds, Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston (2005)
- 7. Chen, B.-Y., Nagano, T.: Harmonic metrics, harmonic tensors, and Gauss maps. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 712 **36**(2), 295–313 (1984) 713
- 8. Chow, B., Chu, S.-C., Glickenstein, D., Guenther, C., Isenberg, J., Ivey, T., Knopf, D., Lu, P., Luo, F., 714 Ni, L.: The Ricci Flow: techniques and Applications. Part I. Geometric Aspects, Mathematical Surveys 715 and Monographs, vol. 135. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2007) 716
- 9. Chow, B., Chu, S.-C., Glickenstein, D., Guenther, C., Isenberg, J., Ivey, T., Knopf, D., Lu, P., Luo, F., 717 Ni, L.: The Ricci Flow: techniques and Applications. Part IV. Long-Time Solutions and Related Topics, 718 Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 206. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2015)
- 10. Chu, S.-C.: Geometry of 3-dimensional gradient Ricci solitons with positive curvature. Comm. Anal. 720 Geom. 13(1), 129-150 (2005) 721
- 11. Crasmareanu, M.: Killing potentials, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.), 45(1999), no. 1, 722 169-176 (2000). MR1813279 (2001j:53044) 723
- 12. Crasmareanu, M.: Last multipliers as autonomous solutions of the Liouville equation of transport. 724 Houst. J. Math. 34(2), 455–466 (2008) 725
- 13. Crasmareanu, M.: Last multipliers for multivectors with applications to Poisson geometry. Taiwan. J. 726 Math. **13**(5), 1623–1636 (2009) 727
- Crasmareanu, M.: Parallel tensors and Ricci solitons in N(k)-quasi Einstein manifolds. Indian J. Pure 728 Appl. Math. **43**(4), 359–369 (2012) 729
- 15. Crasmareanu, M.: Last multipliers on weighted manifolds and the weighted Liouville equation. 730 Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys. 77(3), 53–58 (2015) 731
- 16. Crasmareanu, M.: Weighted Riemannian 1-manifolds for classical orthogonal polynomials and their 732 heat kernel. Anal. Math. Phys. 5(4), 373–389 (2015) 733
- 17. Crasmareanu, M., Hreţcanu, Cristina-Elena: Last multipliers on Lie algebroids. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 119(3), 287–296 (2009) 735
- 18. Crasmareanu, M., Ida, C., Popescu, P.: Holomorphic last multipliers on complex manifolds, arXiv: 736 737 1507.01115,
- 19. Fernández-López, M., García-Río, E.: On gradient Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature. Proc. 738 739 Am. Math. Soc. **144**(1), 369–378 (2016)
- 20. Fukushima, M., Oshima, Y., Takeda, M.: Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes, vol. 19, 740 2nd edn. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Berlin (2011) 741
- 21. García-Río, E., Vanhecke, L., Vázquez-Abal, M.E.: Harmonic endomorphism fields. Ill. J. Math. 41(1), 742 23-30 (1997) 743
- 22. García-Río, E., Vanhecke, L., Vázquez-Abal, M.E.: Notes on Harmonic Tensor Fields, in New Devel-744 opments in Differential Geometry, Budapest 1996, 123-142, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, (1999). 745 MR1670478 (99k:53035) 746
- 23. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cauchy\_stress\_tensor 747
- 24. Mantica, C.A., Suh, Y.J.: Pseudo Z symmetric Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature tensors, 748 Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 9(1):1250004, 21 pp. (2012) MR2891518 749
- 25. Mantica, C.A., Suh, Y.J.: Pseudo-Z symmetric space-times with divergence-free Weyl tensor and 750 pp-waves. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 13(2):1650015, 34 pp. (2016) MR3454673 751
- 26. Nucci, M.C.: Jacobi last multiplier and Lie symmetries: a novel application of an old relationship. J. 752 Nonlinear Math. Phys. **12**(2), 284–304 (2005) 753
- 754 27. Petersen, P.: Riemannian Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, 3rd edn. Springer, Cham (2016)755



757

758

759

- 28. Picard, J.: The manifold-valued Dirichlet problem for symmetric diffusions. Potential Anal. **14**(1), 53–72 (2001)
  - Sakai, T.: Riemannian geometry, Translated from the 1992 Japanese original by the author, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 149. AMS, Providence, RI (1996)



Journal: 12220 Article No.: 9775 TYPESET DISK LE CP Disp.:2017/2/10 Pages: 26 Layout: Small-X