

2 **Space-Like Slant Curves in Three-Dimensional Normal Almost**  
3 **Paracontact Geometry**

4 **Mircea Crasmareanu<sup>1,2</sup> · Camelia Frigoiu<sup>1,2</sup>**

5 Received: 4 February 2016 / Accepted: 1 March 2017  
6 © Shiraz University 2017

7 **Abstract** The aim of this paper is to study (spacelike) slant  
8 curves of three-dimensional normal almost paracontact  
9 manifolds as natural generalization of Legendre curves.  
10 Such a curve is characterized by means of the scalar pro-  
11 duct between its normal vector field and the characteristic  
12 vector field of the ambient space. In the particular case of a  
13 helix, we show that it has a proper (non-harmonic) mean  
14 curvature vector field. The general expressions of the  
15 curvature and torsion of these curves and the associated  
16 Lancret invariant are computed as well as the corre-  
17 sponding variants for the quasi-para-Sasakian case. Two  
18 examples (one of us and one of Joanna Węłyczko) are  
19 discussed for a normal not quasi-para-Sasakian 3-manifold.

21 **Keywords** Normal almost paracontact manifold ·  
22 (spacelike) slant · Legendre curve · Lancret invariant ·  
23 (generalized) helix

24 **Mathematics Subject Classification** 53C15 · 53C25 ·  
25 53C40 · 53C42 · 53C50

**1 Introduction**

The paracontact geometry appears as a natural counter-part  
of the contact geometry in Kaneyuki and Williams (1985);  
see also Blaga and Crasmareanu (2015) and Crasmareanu  
and Pişcoran (2015). Comparing with the huge literature in  
almost contact geometry, it seems that there are necessary  
new studies in almost paracontact geometry; a very inter-  
esting paper connecting these fields is Cappelletti Montano  
(2010). The present work is another step in this direction,  
more precisely from the point of view of slant curves.

A nice notion of classical differential geometry of  
curves is that of curve of constant slope, also called  
cylindrical helix. This is a curve in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^3$   
for which the tangent vector field has a constant angle with  
a fixed direction called the axis. The second name corre-  
sponds to the fact that there exists a cylinder on which the  
curve moves in such a way that it cuts each ruling at a  
constant angle. The classical characterization of these  
curves is the Bertrand–Lancret–de Saint Venant Theo-  
rem (Barros (1997)): the curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{E}^3$  is of constant slope if  
and only if the ratio of the torsion  $\tau$  and the curvature  $k$  is  
constant. More precisely, for a cylindrical helix, we have  
the constant ratio  $\frac{\cos \theta}{|\sin \theta|} = \frac{\tau}{k}$  and then, inspired by the title of  
Barros (1997), we define the Lancret invariant as  
 $\text{Lancret}(\gamma) = \frac{\cos \theta}{|\sin \theta|}$ . By computing  $k$  and  $\tau$  in terms of  $\theta$ , we  
get the result above, and therefore, the expression of  
Lancret invariant in the three-dimensional Euclidean  
geometry is

$$\text{Lancret}(\gamma) = \frac{\tau}{k}. \tag{1.1}$$

An interesting generalization of this class of curves is that  
of slant curve in almost contact metric geometry. This

A1 ✉ Camelia Frigoiu  
A2 cfrigoiu@ugal.ro

A3 Mircea Crasmareanu  
A4 mcrasm@uaic.ro

A5 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics, University “Al. I. Cuza”,  
A6 700506 Iasi, Romania

A7 <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Sciences and Environment, University “Dunarea  
A8 de Jos”, 800201 Galați, Romania

concept was introduced in Cho et al. (2006) with the constant angle  $\theta$  between the tangent vector field and the Reeb vector field. The particular case of  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (or  $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{2}$ ) is very important, since we recover the Legendre curves of Baikoussis and Blair (1994). For the same general expression  $\frac{\cos \theta}{|\sin \theta|}$ , Theorem 3.1. of Cho et al. (2006, p. 362) gives the form of Lancret invariant in three-dimensional Sasakian geometry:

$$\text{Lancret}(\gamma) = \frac{\tau \pm 1}{k}. \quad (1.2)$$

Although the literature on Legendre curves is rich (Blair et al. 1995; Belkhefha et al. 2002; Camci et al. 2008; Fetcu 2008; Lee 2010; Smoczyk 2003; Welyczko 2007, 2009), slant curves have been studied until now only for the Sasakian geometry in Cho et al. (2006), for the contact pseudo-Hermitian geometry in Cho and Lee (Cho and Lee) and Özgür and Güvenç (2012), for warped products in Călin and Crasmareanu (2013a), for normal almost contact metric geometry in Călin and Crasmareanu (2013b), for Bianchi–Cartan–Vranceanu metrics in Călin and Crasmareanu (2014), and for the  $f$ -Kenmotsu geometry in Călin et al. (2012). Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to begin a study of slant curves in normal almost paracontact manifolds, which are provided by the integrability of the almost paracomplex structure naturally associated with the given almost paracontact structure. Since in dimension 3, the paracontact metric is a Minkowski–Lorentzian one, we restrict our search into the class of space-like curves but also provides a series of formulae for the general case of Frenet curves. A particular case of slant curves, namely, magnetic curves, is studied recently by the first author in a special type of three-dimensional paracontact geometry in Călin and Crasmareanu (2016).

Our work is structured as follows: the first section is a very brief review of (normal) almost paracontact geometry. The next section is focused on the study of slant (particularly Legendre) curves in this setting; although Legendre curves are carefully analyzed in Welyczko (2009), we derived new properties of them. Therefore, we obtain a characterization of slant curves similar to Proposition 4.1. of [Cho et al. (2006), p. 362] and the form of Lancret invariant is derived in our Proposition 3.5. The present expression for Lancret invariant is more complex, involving a square root in addition to curvature and torsion, and the derivative of two functions along the curve: first is provided by the paracontact structure, while the second is defined by the curve and the structural endomorphism. Another main result of this section is that a helix slant curve has a proper (non-harmonic) curvature vector field. The last section is devoted to examples following the lines of Welyczko (2009). Recently, some remarkable classes of

three-dimension paracontact structures are studied: homogeneous in Calvaruso (2012), respectively, Walker in Calvaruso (2012).

Let us note that another paper on the same subject is Welyczko (2014), and hence, there exist some overlaps between the cited paper and our work mainly in the general formulae. Some highlights of our paper are as follows: we restricts the study to the space-like curves with possible interpretations in the underlying Minkowski geometry, we develop a Lancret-type invariant, and we study the case of slant curves with proper mean harmonic vector field.

## 2 Normal Almost Paracontact Geometry

Let  $M$  be a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold,  $\varphi$  a tensor field of  $(1, 1)$ -type called the structural endomorphism,  $\xi$  a vector field called the characteristic vector field,  $\eta$  a 1-form called the paracontact form, and  $g$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M$  of signature  $(n + 1, n)$ . We say that  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost paracontact metric structure on  $M$  if Zamkovoy (2008, p. 38):

- $\eta(\xi) = 1, \varphi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi, \varphi(\xi) = 0, \eta \circ \varphi = 0;$
- $\varphi$  induces on the  $2n$ -dimensional distribution  $\mathcal{D} := \ker \eta$  an almost paracomplex structure  $P$ , i.e.,  $P^2 = 1$  and the eigensubbundles  $T^+, T^-$ , corresponding to the eigenvalues  $1, -1$  of  $P$ , respectively, have equal dimension  $n$ ; hence,  $\mathcal{D} = T^+ \oplus T^-;$
- $g(\varphi \cdot, \varphi \cdot) = -g + \eta \otimes \eta.$

For a list of examples of almost paracontact metric structures, see Dacko and Olszak (2007) and Ivanov et al. (2010, p. 84). From the definition, it follows that  $\eta$  is the  $g$ -dual of  $\xi$ , i.e.,  $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$  and  $\xi$  is an unitary vector field:  $g(\xi, \xi) = 1$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi–Civita connection of  $g$ .

The Nijenhuis tensor field with respect to the tensor field  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $N_\varphi$ , is given by

$$N_\varphi(X, Y) = [\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)] + \varphi^2([X, Y]) - \varphi([\varphi(X), Y]) - \varphi([X, \varphi(Y)]), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.1** The almost paracontact metric manifold  $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is said to be *normal* if the almost paracomplex structure  $J$  on the manifold  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$J\left(X, \lambda \frac{d}{dt}\right) = \left(\varphi(X) + \lambda \xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}\right), \quad X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

is integrable (i.e., its Nijenhuis tensor field is zero), where  $\lambda$  is a real valued function on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

148 The condition Eq. (2.2) is equivalent to  
 149  $N_\varphi - 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0.$  (2.3)

150 In the following, we restrict to the dimension 3 for which  
 151 the normality is equivalent to Welyczko (2009, p. 379) or  
 152 Bejan and Crasmareanu (2014):

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_X \xi = \alpha(X - \eta(X)\xi) + \beta\varphi(X), \\ (\nabla_X \varphi)Y = \alpha(g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X) + \beta(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

154 where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \xi$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}(\varphi \nabla \xi)$ . An important  
 155 consequence of (1.4a) is that  $\xi$  is a geodesic vector field:

$$\nabla_\xi \xi = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

157

### 158 3 Slant Curves in Three-Dimensional Normal 159 Almost Paracontact Geometry

160 In dimension 3, the metric  $g$  becomes a Minkowski-Lor-  
 161 entzian one, having the signature (2, 1). For a Frenet curve  
 162  $\gamma : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_3$ , we denote the Frenet frame as usual ( $T =$   
 163  $\gamma', N, B$ ) and the Frenet equations are Welyczko (2009, p. 381)

$$\nabla_T T = k\varepsilon_2 N, \quad \nabla_T N = -k\varepsilon_1 T + \tau\varepsilon_3 B, \quad \nabla_T B = -\tau\varepsilon_2 N, \quad (3.1)$$

165 where  $k \geq 0$  denotes the curvature and  $\tau \geq 0$  the torsion.  
 166 Here, the  $g$ -norms of the Frenet vectors are as follows:  
 167  $\|T\|^2 = \varepsilon_1, \|N\|^2 = \varepsilon_2, \|B\|^2 = \varepsilon_3$  with  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  for  
 168  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . With the discussion of Kühnel (2002, p. 35), we  
 169 have  $\varepsilon_3 = -\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$ .

170 **Definition 3.1** The structural function of  $\gamma$  is the map  
 171  $c_\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$c_\gamma(s) = g(T(s), \xi) = \eta(T(s)). \quad (3.2)$$

173 Inspired by Cho et al. (2006, p. 361), the curve  $\gamma$  is called a  
 174 slant curve, or more precisely  $c$ -slant curve, if  $c_\gamma$  is a  
 175 constant function,  $c_\gamma = c \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the particular case of  
 176  $c = 0$ , the curve  $\gamma$  is called Legendre curve (Welyczko  
 177 2009).

178 In the following, we suppose that  $\gamma$  is non-geodesic, i.e.,  
 179  $k > 0$ , and from Eq. (2.5), we get that  $\gamma$  cannot be an  
 180 integral curve of  $\xi$  which means  $c \neq \pm 1$ . Then, we define  
 181 the Lancret invariant of  $\gamma$  as

$$\operatorname{Lancret}(\gamma) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{|1 - c^2|}}. \quad (2.2L)$$

183 A characterization of slant curves and an “a-priori” esti-  
 184 mate is given by:

185 **Proposition 3.2** The Frenet curve  $\gamma$  is a  $c$ -slant curve if  
 186 and only if, along  $\gamma$  the following relation holds:

$$\eta(N) = -\frac{\alpha(\varepsilon_1 - c^2)}{\varepsilon_2 k}. \quad (3.3)$$

A necessary condition for  $\gamma$  to be  $c$ -slant is: 188

$$(\varepsilon_1 - c^2)[\alpha^2(1 - \varepsilon_1 c^2) - \varepsilon_2 k^2] \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof* Let us take the covariant derivative in the relation 190  
 Eq. (3.2) along  $\gamma$ : 191

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = c'_\gamma(s) &= g(k\varepsilon_2 N, \xi) + g(T, \alpha(T - \eta(T)\xi) + \beta\varphi(T)) \\ &= k\varepsilon_2 \eta(N) + \alpha(\varepsilon_1 - c^2) \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.3), since  $\varphi$  is a  $g$ -skew-symmetric operator. 193  
 For the second part, let us recall after Kühnel (2002, p. 34) 194  
 that the decomposition of a vector field in the  $g$ -orthonor- 195  
 mal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3 = e_1 \times_M e_2\}$  is 196

$$X = \varepsilon_1 g(X, e_1)e_1 + \varepsilon_2 g(X, e_2)e_2 - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 g(X, e_3)e_3, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\times_M$  is the Minkowski vector product (see the cited 198  
 book) and  $\varepsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i) = \pm 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . Then, the 199  
 expression of  $\xi$  in the Frenet frame is 200

$$\xi = \varepsilon_1 c T + \varepsilon_2 \left( -\frac{\alpha(\varepsilon_1 - c^2)}{\varepsilon_2 k} \right) N - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \eta(B) B$$

and since  $\xi$  is an unitary vector field, we get that 202

$$1 = \varepsilon_1 c^2 + \varepsilon_2 \frac{\alpha^2(\varepsilon_1 - c^2)^2}{k^2} - \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \eta(B)^2$$

and then 204

$$\eta^2(B) = \frac{\varepsilon_1 - c^2}{k^2} [\alpha^2(1 - \varepsilon_1 c^2) - \varepsilon_2 k^2].$$

From  $\eta^2(B) \geq 0$ , we get Eq. (3.4).  $\square$  206

*Remark 3.3* 207

1. It is important to point out that the characterization 208  
 Eq. (3.3), as well as the condition Eq. (3.4), does not 209  
 depend on  $\beta$ . 210
2. If  $\frac{c}{k}$  is a constant, then  $\gamma$  is a slant helix in the sense of 211  
 Ali and López (2011); see also Choi et al. (2012). 212

To simplify the computations in what follows we make a 213  
 choice for the causal character of our vector fields. More 214  
 precisely, we set 215

$$\varepsilon_1 = +1, \quad \varepsilon_2 = -1 \quad (3.6)$$

which means that: (1)  $\gamma$  is parametrized by arc-length; (2)  $\xi$ , 217  
 $T = \gamma'$ , and  $B$  are space like, while  $N$  is timelike. Therefore,  $\gamma$  218  
 is a space-like curve. Our choice is motivated by 219

1. Lemma 2.19 of Kühnel (2002, p. 34) which states that 220  
 a second variant  $\varepsilon_1 = -1$  is a more difficult case; 221
2. possible physical interpretations for these curves as 222  
 world-lines for what we can call “slant particles” in 223  
 the underlying Minkowski geometry. 224

Author Proof

225 The condition (3.4) reads now:

$$(1 - c^2)[\alpha^2(1 - c^2) + k^2] \geq 0 \quad (3.7)$$

227 and then we restrict also to the case  $c \in (-1, 1)$ .

228 From (1.4a), we have:  $\nabla_{\gamma'} \xi = \alpha(\gamma' - c\xi) + \beta\varphi(\gamma')$ , and  
229 then, we consider another orthonormal frame field in  $TM$   
230 along  $\gamma$ :

$$F_1 = T = \gamma', F_2 = \frac{\varphi(\gamma')}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}, F_3 = \frac{\xi - c\gamma'}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}. \quad (3.8)$$

232 We have:  $g(F_1, F_1) = g(F_3, F_3) = -g(F_2, F_2) = +1$  which  
233 means that  $F_1 = \gamma'$  and  $F_3$  are spacelike, while  $F_2$  is timelike.

234 The decomposition of  $\xi$  with respect to this frame is

$$\xi = cF_1 + \sqrt{1 - c^2}F_3. \quad (3.9)$$

236 The equations of motion for this orthonormal field of  
237 frames are consequence of Proposition 3.2 from Welyczko  
238 (2014, p. 969):

239 **Proposition 3.4** *If  $\gamma$  is a space-like  $c$ -slant curve in the*  
240 *normal almost paracontact metric manifold  $M_3$  with  $c \in$*   
241  *$(-1, 1)$  then:*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{\gamma'} F_1 = -\delta\sqrt{1 - c^2}F_2 - \alpha\sqrt{1 - c^2}F_3 \\ \nabla_{\gamma'} F_2 = -\delta\sqrt{1 - c^2}F_1 + (c\delta + \beta)F_3 \\ \nabla_{\gamma'} F_3 = \alpha\sqrt{1 - c^2}F_1 + (c\delta + \beta)F_2 \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

243 where

$$\delta = \frac{1}{1 - c^2}g(\nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma', \varphi(\gamma')). \quad (3.11)$$

245 Also

$$\nabla_{\gamma'} \xi = \alpha(1 - c^2)F_1 + \beta\sqrt{1 - c^2}F_2 - \alpha c\sqrt{1 - c^2}F_3. \quad (3.12)$$

247 We are ready for the first main result of this paper:

248 **Proposition 3.5** *The curvature and torsion of a space-*  
249 *like  $c$ -slant curve in  $M_3$  with  $c \in (-1, 1)$  are*

$$\begin{cases} k = \sqrt{(1 - c^2)(\delta^2 - \alpha^2)} \\ \tau = \left| \beta + c\delta + \frac{\alpha'\delta - \alpha\delta'}{\delta^2 - \alpha^2} \right|. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

251 The associated Lancret invariant is:

$$\text{Lancret}_{\pm}(\gamma) = \frac{(\alpha'\delta - \alpha\delta')(\delta^2 - \alpha^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\pm\tau - \beta)(\delta^2 - \alpha^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta k}. \quad (3.14)$$

253 *Proof* The relation (2.13a) follows from (2.10a) taking  
254 into account the definition of the curvature. More precisely,  
255 from

$$k\varepsilon_2 N = -kN = \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' = -\sqrt{1 - c^2}(\delta F_2 + \alpha F_3) \quad (3.15)$$

we derive that  $\delta \neq 0$ , since  $N$  and  $F_3$  have different causal  
characters. From  $g(kN, kN) = -k^2$ , we get (2.13a), and then

$$k' = \frac{(1 - c^2)(\delta\delta' - \alpha\alpha')}{k}. \quad (3.16)$$

Differentiating the above relation (3.15) along  $\gamma$ , we  
deduce that

$$\tau B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}} \left( \beta + c\delta + \frac{\alpha'\delta - \alpha\delta'}{\delta^2 - \alpha^2} \right) (\alpha F_2 + \delta F_3). \quad (3.17)$$

which yields (2.13b).

Let us point out that since  $k > 0$  the fraction from the  
expression (2.13b) is well-defined. From formulae (3.13),  
we have

$$\sqrt{1 - c^2} = \frac{k}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}, \quad c = \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ \pm\tau - \beta + \frac{\alpha'\delta - \alpha\delta'}{\delta^2 - \alpha^2} \right] \quad (3.18)$$

which yields (3.14) with the sign corresponding to the sign  
of  $\mu = \beta + c\delta + \frac{\alpha'\delta - \alpha\delta'}{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}$ .  $\square$

With respect to the Frenet frame, we have the  
decompositions:

$$F_2 = -\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}N + \frac{\alpha\mu}{|\mu|\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}B, \quad (3.19)$$

$$F_3 = -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}N + \frac{\delta\mu}{|\mu|\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}B$$

$$\xi = cT - \frac{\alpha\sqrt{1 - c^2}}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}N + \frac{\delta\mu\sqrt{1 - c^2}}{|\mu|\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}B \quad (3.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\gamma'} \xi &= \alpha(1 - c^2)T + \frac{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}(\beta\delta + c\alpha^2)N \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha\mu\sqrt{1 - c^2}}{|\mu|\sqrt{\delta^2 - \alpha^2}}(\beta + c\delta)B. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

An important consequence is that the norm of  $\nabla_{\gamma'} \xi$  depends  
only of the restrictions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to  $\gamma$ :

$$\|\nabla_{\gamma'} \xi\| = \sqrt{(1 - c^2)|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}. \quad (3.22)$$

**Remark 3.6**

(i) Some particular cases are as follows:

- (quasi-para-Sasakian) For  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  
we get the quasi-para-Sasakian case Welyczko  
(2009, p. 380) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k &= |\delta|\sqrt{1 - c^2}, \quad \tau = |\beta + c\delta|, \\ \text{Lancret}_{\pm}(\gamma) &= \frac{\pm\tau - \beta}{k} \cdot \frac{|\delta|}{\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$



286  
288  
289  
290

The slant curve is a helix, i.e.,  $k$  and  $\tau$  are constants, if and only if  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  are constants. In particular, for  $\beta = -1$ , we have the para-Sasakian case:

$$k = |\delta|\sqrt{1 - c^2}, \quad \tau = |c\delta - 1|, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\text{Lancret}_{\pm}(\gamma) = \frac{\pm\tau + 1}{k} \cdot \frac{|\delta|}{\delta}.$$

292  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303

The second equation of (3.24) is the para-contact version of formula (0.2) from the Introduction. If  $\delta$  is a constant, then  $\gamma$  is Bertrand curve, i.e., we find two real numbers  $x, y$ , such that  $xk + y\tau = 1$ . The characterization (3.3) says that  $N$  is orthogonal on  $\xi$ . In addition,  $\|\nabla_{\gamma'}\xi\| = |\beta|\sqrt{1 - c^2}$ .

2. For  $c = 0$ , we get the case of Legendre curve studied by Welyczko (2009) and our formulae (3.13) reduce to (4.1) and (4.2) of her paper. A new result for this case is that  $\|\nabla_{\gamma'}\xi\| = \sqrt{|\alpha^2 - \beta^2|}$ , and then, for  $\alpha = \pm\beta$ , it results that  $\xi$  is parallel along its Legendre curves.

304  
305  
306

- (ii) For a general  $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ , we have

$$\delta = \frac{1}{|\varepsilon_1 - c^2|} g(\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma', \varphi(\gamma')) \tag{3.25}$$

309

$$k = \sqrt{|\varepsilon_1(\alpha^2 - \varepsilon_1\delta^2)(1 - \varepsilon_1c^2)|}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\tau = \left| \varepsilon_1\beta + \delta c + \frac{\alpha\delta' - \alpha'\delta}{\alpha^2 - \varepsilon_1\delta^2} \right|.$$

312

- (iii) Returning with  $k$  of (2.13a) in condition (3.7), we obtain in the left-hand side the expression  $(1 - c^2)^2\delta^2$  which is indeed strictly positive.

314  
315

- (iv) A helix with  $\tau = 0$  is a circle. From (3.24), it results that in the para-Sasakian case with  $\delta = \frac{1}{c}$  (for  $c \neq 0$ , i.e., non-Legendre curve), we get a circle with  $k = \frac{\sqrt{1-c^2}}{|c|}$ .

318  
319

Denote by  $h$  the second fundamental form of  $\gamma$  and by  $H$  its mean curvature field. We know that

$$H = \text{trace}(h) = h(T, T) = \nabla_T T. \tag{3.27}$$

323  
324

Then,  $\gamma$  is called a curve with proper mean curvature vector field if there exists  $\lambda \in C^\infty(\gamma)$ , so that

$$\Delta H = \lambda H. \tag{3.28}$$

326  
327  
328  
329

In particular, if  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $\gamma$  is known as a curve with harmonic mean curvature vector field. Here, the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  acts on the vector valued function  $H$  and it is given by

$$\Delta H = -\nabla_T \nabla_T \nabla_T T. \tag{3.29}$$

Making use of the Frenet equations, we can rewrite (3.28) as

$$-3k'kT + (k'' + k^3 + k\tau^2)N + (2k'\tau + k\tau')B = \lambda(-kN). \tag{3.30}$$

It follows that both  $k$  and  $\tau$  are constants, and the function  $\lambda$  becomes a constant too, namely

$$\lambda = -k^2 - \tau^2. \tag{3.31}$$

For our framework, we state the following:

**Proposition 3.7** A non-geodesic space-like  $c$ -slant curve  $\gamma$  in a quasi-para-Sasakian  $M_3$  has a proper mean curvature vector field if and only if  $\gamma$  is a helix and then

$$\lambda = -2c\beta\delta - \beta^2 - \delta^2 < 0. \tag{3.32}$$

In particular, a helix Legendre curve has

$$\lambda_L = -\delta^2 - \beta^2 < 0. \tag{3.33}$$

For the para-Sasakian case, we have

$$\lambda = 2c\delta - 1 - \delta^2, \quad \lambda_L = -1 - \delta^2. \tag{3.34}$$

*Proof* Recall that  $\gamma$  is a helix if both  $k$  and  $\tau$  are constants. We compute  $\lambda$  of (3.31) using (3.23) and obtain the required formulae. Let us remark that the constancy of  $k$  gives the constancy of  $\delta$  in the quasi-para-Sasakian setting and that  $\gamma$  cannot have harmonic mean curvature vector field.  $\square$

307  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321

## 4 Examples

Let  $N$  be an open connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $(a, b)$  an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and let us consider the manifold  $M = N \times (a, b)$ . Let  $(x, y)$  be the coordinates on  $N$  induced from the cartesian coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $z$  be the coordinate on  $(a, b)$  induced from the cartesian coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $(x, y, z)$  are the coordinates on  $M$ . Now, we choose the functions:

$$\omega_1, \omega_2 : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}$$

and following the idea from Welyczko (2007), we define a normal almost paracontact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $M$  as follows:

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \omega_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \omega_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = 0, \quad \eta = dz + \omega_1 dx + \omega_2 dy,$$

365

Author Proof

$$g = [g_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^2 - \sigma e^{2f} & \omega_1 \omega_2 & \omega_1 \\ \omega_1 \omega_2 & \omega_2^2 + \sigma e^{2f} & \omega_2 \\ \omega_1 & \omega_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

367 It follows that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \quad \beta = \frac{e^{-2f}}{2\sigma} \left( -\frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

369 Let us point out that in Welyczko (2007), the function  $f$  is  
370 considered only as  $f = f(x, y)$ , and then, in the expression  
371 of  $\alpha$ , the term in  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$  disappears. Thus,  $(M_3, g)$  is quasi-para-  
372 Sasakian if and only if  $\sigma = \exp(\frac{1}{2}z)$ .

373 If we denote  $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), \gamma_3(s))$ , then  $\gamma$  is a  
374 space-like  $c$ -slant curve if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1 \gamma'_1 + \omega_2 \gamma'_2 + \gamma'_3 = c \\ (\omega_1^2 - \sigma e^{2f})(\gamma'_1)^2 + (\omega_2^2 + \sigma e^{2f})(\gamma'_2)^2 + (\gamma'_3)^2 + 2\omega_1 \omega_2 \gamma'_1 \gamma'_2 + 2\omega_1 \gamma'_1 \gamma'_3 + 2\omega_2 \gamma'_2 \gamma'_3 = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

375 However, (3.5b) becomes

$$(\omega_1 \gamma'_1 + \omega_2 \gamma'_2 + \gamma'_3)^2 + \sigma e^{2f} [-(\gamma'_1)^2 + (\gamma'_2)^2] = 1 \quad (4.6)$$

377 and then,  $\gamma$  is a space-like  $c$ -slant curve if and only if

$$\omega_1 \gamma'_1 + \omega_2 \gamma'_2 + \gamma'_3 = c, \quad -(\gamma'_1)^2 + (\gamma'_2)^2 = \frac{1-c^2}{\sigma} e^{-2f}. \quad (4.7)$$

379 Now, we can derive the general expression of space-like  
380 slant curves for this general class of manifolds:

381 **Proposition 4.1** Let  $\gamma$  be a non-geodesic space-like  $c$ -  
382 slant curve in  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . Then,  $\gamma$  is given by

$$\gamma(s) = \sqrt{1-c^2} \left( \int_{s_0}^s \frac{e^{-f}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \zeta(t) dt, \frac{cs}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} - \int_{s_0}^s \frac{e^{-f}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} (\omega_1 \sinh u(t) + \omega_2 \cosh u(t)) dt \right) \quad (4.8)$$

384 where  $\zeta(s) = (\sinh u(s), \cosh u(s))$  is an arbitrary  
385 parametrization of the hyperbolic unit circle  $y^2 - x^2 = 1$ .  
386 In the quasi-para-Sasakian case we have

$$\gamma(s) = \sqrt{1-c^2} \left( \int_{s_0}^s \zeta(t) dt, \frac{cs}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} - \int_{s_0}^s (\omega_1 \sinh u(t) + \omega_2 \cosh u(t)) dt \right) \quad (4.8qpS)$$

388 *Proof* From (3.7b), it follows the existence of a function  
389  $u = u(s)$ , such that

$$\gamma'_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1-c^2}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} e^{-f} \sinh u(s), \quad \gamma'_2 = \frac{\sqrt{1-c^2}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} e^{-f} \cosh u(s) \quad (4.9)$$

which, replaced in (3.7a) yields

$$\gamma'_3 = c - \frac{\sqrt{1-c^2}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} e^{-f} (\omega_1 \sinh u(s) + \omega_2 \cosh u(s)). \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore, the conclusion follows directly.  $\square$  393

The first Example from Welyczko (2009, p. 384) is  
recovered with  $N = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $(a, b) = (0, +\infty)$  and

$$\omega_1 = f = 0, \quad \omega_2 = 2x, \quad \sigma = 2z \quad (4.11)$$

which yields

$$\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2z} \quad (4.12)$$

and then,  $M$  is not quasi-para-Sasakian. From (3.22), it  
results that for a Legendre curve  $\gamma$  in this manifold, we  
have that  $\xi$  is parallel along  $\gamma$ .

Now, we make the choice of Example 1 of Welyczko  
(2009, p. 384):  $\gamma_1 = 0$ . It follows that on  $\gamma$ , we have  
 $\omega_2 = 0$ , and thus,  $\gamma_3 = cs$ . From (3.7b), we get a non-Le-  
gendre curve on  $I = (0, +\infty)$

$$\gamma'_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1-c^2}{2c}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \quad (4.13)$$

which implies  $c \in (0, 1)$  and the solution

$$\gamma_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2(1-c^2)s}{c}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Hence, the space-like  $c$ -slant curve is

$$\gamma(s) = \left( 0, \sqrt{\frac{2(1-c^2)s}{c}}, cs \right). \quad (4.15)$$

The corresponding  $\varepsilon$  are as follows:  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = -\varepsilon_3 = +1$   
which means that  $T$  and  $N$  are spacelike, while  $B$  is time-  
like. A long but straightforward computation using the  
formulae for  $\nabla$  of Welyczko (2009) gives

$$\delta(s) = \frac{1}{2s} \quad (4.16)$$



416 and then

$$k = \frac{1 - c^2}{2cs}, \quad \tau = \frac{1 + c^2}{2cs}. \quad (4.17)$$

418 Therefore, this curve is a generalized helix, since

$$\frac{\tau}{k} = \text{constant} = \frac{1 + c^2}{1 - c^2}. \quad (4.18)$$

420 Because both  $k$  and  $\tau$  depend on  $s$ , this curve is not a helix  
421 and has not a proper mean curvature vector field.

422 In the same manifold  $(M_3, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , the curve  $\gamma_b$ :  
423  $(0, +\infty) \rightarrow M_3$  from Welyczko (2009, p. 385):

$$\gamma_b = (\rho\sqrt{t}, -2\rho\sqrt{t}, 2\rho^2t) \quad (4.19)$$

425 with  $\rho = 3^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ , it is proved to be a (spacelike) Legendre one  
426 with non-constant  $k$  and  $\tau$ ; also  $k \neq \tau$ . This curve is again a  
427 generalized helix which is a helix since  $\alpha(=\tau) =$   
428  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4t} \neq k = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4t}$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha = \beta$ , it results according to  
429 our Remarks 2.6.(i)2 that  $\xi$  is parallel along  $\gamma_b$ .  
430

## 431 References

- 432 Ali AT, López R (2011) Slant helices in Minkowski space  $E_1^3$ .  
433 J Korean Math Soc 48(1):159–167 [MR2778006  
434 (2012b:53094)]
- 435 Baikoussis Ch, Blair DE (1994) On Legendre curves in contact  
436 3-manifolds. Geom Dedicata 49(2):135–142 [MR1266269  
437 (95a:53048)]
- 438 Barros M (1997) General helices and a theorem of Lancret. Proc Am  
439 Math Soc 125(5):1503–1509 [MR1363411 (97g:53066)]
- 440 Bejan C-L, Crasmareanu M (2014) Second order parallel tensors and  
441 Ricci solitons in 3-dimensional normal paracontact geometry.  
442 Ann Global Anal Geom 46(2):117–127 [MR3239277]
- 443 Belkhef M, Hirica I-E, Rosca R, Verstraelen L (2002) On Legendre  
444 curves in Riemannian and Lorentzian Sasaki spaces. Soochow J  
445 Math 28(1):81–91 [MR1893607] (2003f:53063)
- 446 Blaga AM, Crasmareanu M (2015) Special connections in almost  
447 paracontact metric geometry, Bull. Iran Math Soc  
448 41(6):1345–1353 [MR3437147]
- 449 Blair DE, Dillen F, Verstraelen L, Vrancken L (1997) Deformations  
450 of Legendre curves. Note Mat 15(1):99–110 [MR1611801  
451 (99b:53076)]
- 452 Camci C, Yayli Y, Hacisalihoglu HH (2008) On the characterization  
453 of spherical curves in 3-dimensional Sasakian spaces. J Math  
454 Anal Appl 342(2):1151–1159 [MR2445265 (2009k:53097)]
- 455 Calvaruso G (2012) Homogeneous paracontact metric three-mani-  
456 folds. Ill J Math 55(2):697–718 [MR3020703]
- 457 Calvaruso G (2012) Three-dimensional paracontact Walker struc-  
458 tures. Boll Unione Mat Ital 5(2):387–403 [MR2977255]
- 459 Cappelletti Montano B (2010) Bi-paracontact structures and Legendre  
460 foliations. Kodai Math J 33(3):473–512 [MR2754333  
461 (2012f:53170)]
- 462 Călin C, Crasmareanu M (2013) Slant curves and particles in  
463 3-dimensional warped products and their Lancret invariants. Bull  
464 Aust Math Soc 88(1):128–142 [MR3096876]

- Călin C, Crasmareanu M (2013) Slant curves in 3-dimensional normal  
465 almost contact metric geometry. Mediterr J Math  
466 10(2):1067–1077 [MR3045696]
- Călin C, Crasmareanu M (2014) Slant and Legendre curves in  
468 Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu geometry. Czechoslov Math J  
469 64(4):945–960 [MR3304790]
- Călin C, Crasmareanu M (2016) Magnetic curves in 3-dimensional  
471 quasi-para-Sasakian geometry. Mediterr J Math  
472 13(4):2087–2097 [MR3530919]
- Călin C, Crasmareanu M, Munteanu M-I (2012) Slant curves in  
474 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifolds. J Math Anal Appl  
475 394(1):400–407 [MR2926230]
- Cho JT, Inoguchi J-I, Lee J-E (2006) On slant curves in Sasakian  
477 3-manifolds. Bull Aust Math Soc 74(3):359–367 [MR2273746  
478 (2007g:53059)]
- Cho JT; Lee JE (2008) Slant curves in contact pseudo-Hermitian  
480 3-manifolds. Bull Aust Math Soc 78(3):383–396 [MR2472274  
481 (2009m:53135)]
- Choi JH, Kim YH, Ali AT (2012) Some associated curves of Frenet  
483 non-lightlike curves in  $E_1^3$ . J Math Anal Appl 394(2):712–723  
484 [MR2927492]
- Crasmareanu M, Pişcoran L-I (2015) Invariant distributions and  
486 holomorphic vector fields in paracontact geometry. Turk J Math  
487 39(4):467–476 [MR3366733]
- Dacko P, Olszak Z (2007) On weakly para-cosymplectic manifolds of  
489 dimension 3. J Geom Phys 57(2):561–570 [MR2271205  
490 (2008e:53038)]
- Fetcu D (2008) Biharmonic Legendre curves in Sasakian space forms.  
492 J Korean Math Soc 45(2):393–404 [MR2389544  
493 (2008m:53159)]
- Ivanov S, Vassilev D, Zamkovoy S (2010) Conformal paracontact  
495 curvature and the local flatness theorem. Geom Dedicata  
496 144:79–100 [MR2580419 (2011b:53174)]
- Kaneyuki S, Williams FL (1985) Almost paracontact and parahodge  
498 structures on manifolds. Nagoya Math J 99:173–187  
499 [MR0805088 (87a:53071)]
- Kühnel W (2002) Differential geometry. Curves-surfaces-manifolds.  
501 Translated from the 1999 German original by Bruce Hunt.  
502 Student Mathematical Library, vol 16. American Mathematical  
503 Society, Providence, RI [MR1882174 (2002k:53001)]
- Lee J-E, On Legendre curves in contact pseudo-Hermitian 3-mani-  
505 folds. Bull Aust Math Soc 81(1):156–164 [MR2584930  
506 (2011a:53096)]
- Özgür C, Güvenç S (2012) On some types of slant curves in contact  
508 pseudo-Hermitian 3-manifolds. Ann Polon Math  
509 104(3):217–228 [MR2914532]
- Smoczyk K (2003) Closed Legendre geodesics in Sasaki manifolds.  
511 N Y J Math 9:23–47 [(electronic) MR2016178 (2004j:53085)]
- Welyczko J (2007) On Legendre curves in 3-dimensional normal  
513 almost contact metric manifolds. Soochow J Math  
514 33(4):929–937 [MR2404614 (2009d:53119)]
- Welyczko J (2009) On Legendre curves in 3-dimensional normal  
516 almost paracontact metric manifolds. Results Math 54(3-  
517 4):377–387 [MR2534454 (2010g:53153)]
- Welyczko J (2014) Slant curves in 3-dimensional normal almost  
519 paracontact metric manifolds. Mediterr J Math 11(3):965–978  
520 [MR3232573]
- Zamkovoy S (2008) Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds.  
522 Ann Global Anal Geom 36(1):37–60 [MR2520029  
523 (2010d:53029)]