

NONHOLONOMIC LAGRANGIANS OF  
SECOND ORDER:  
Equations of motion for the constrained  
Lagrangian

MIRCEA CRĂȘMĂREANU

Bulletin of Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Moldova,  
32(2000), no. 1, 12-16. MR 2001d:70020

**Abstract**

The equations of motion for the associated Lagrangian to a non-holonomic Lagrangian of second order are computed. An example is given.

**Subject Classification:** 70H25, 70H35, 58F05.

**Key words and phrases:** Lagrangian of second order, Euler-Lagrange equations, nonholonomic constraints, constrained Lagrangian.

## Introduction

In the last years there is an increasing interest in nonholonomic mechanics especially from a geometrical point of view. Following the methodology of [2], where are treated nonholonomic Lagrangians of first order, we obtain the equations of motion in terms of the associated constrained Lagrangian of a nonholonomic Lagrangian involving accelerations. This type of Lagrangian is illustrated by the spinning particle.

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Romanian Academician Gheorghe Vrânceanu(1900-1979) who introduces in 1926 the notion of *nonholonomic spaces*, in order to give a geometrical approach to nonholonomic

mechanics([8],[9]). Note that the Romanian school of mathematics has an important contribution of this subject([3], [4], [7], [8], [9], [10]).

## 1 Equations of motion

The starting point is a configuration-space given by a  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $Q$ , for which we consider the tangent bundle of order two  $T^2Q$ ([5], [6]). For coordinates  $(q^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  on  $Q$  we have the induced coordinates  $(q^i, \dot{q}^i = \frac{dq^i}{dt}, \ddot{q}^i = \frac{d^2q^i}{dt^2})$  on  $T^2Q$ .

Let us suppose that the evolution of the considered system is described by the following objects:

1. a second-order Lagrangian, that is a smooth map  $L : T^2Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ([6])
2. a set of  $p$  independent one-forms  $(\omega^a(q))_{1 \leq a \leq p}$  whose vanishing gives the constraints of the system.

This 1-forms defines an  $(n - p)$ -dimensional distribution  $D$  on  $Q$  i.e.  $(\omega^a(q))$  is a local basis of the annihilator  $D^0$  of  $D$ . Also, this constraints means that the only allowable velocities are the tangent vectors belonging to  $D$  or in other words the motion is constrained to the submanifold  $D$ .

The Lagrangian  $L$  gives the Euler-Lagrange equations of order two([5]):

$$\delta L = (EL)_i^{free} \delta q^i = 0 \quad (1.1a)$$

with:

$$(EL)_i^{free} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{q}^i} \right) \quad (1.1b)$$

and supposing that the constraints are nonholonomic, we can choose a local coordinate chart and a local basis for the constraints such that([2, p. 31]):

$$\omega^a(q) = ds^a + \overset{1}{A}_\alpha^a(r, s) dr^\alpha, \quad 1 \leq a \leq p \quad (1.2)$$

where  $q = (r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \mathbb{R}^p$ .

From (1.2) it results that:

$$\delta s^a + \overset{1}{A}_\alpha^a \delta r^\alpha = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

which, by substitution into (1.1) yields:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial r^\alpha} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}^\alpha} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{r}^\alpha} \right) =$$

$$= {}^1A_\alpha \left[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^a} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^a} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{s}^a} \right) \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

Equations (1.4) combined with the constraint equations:

$$\dot{s}^a = - {}^1A_\alpha \dot{r}^\alpha \quad (1.5a)$$

$$\ddot{s}^a = - \frac{d}{dt} ({}^1A_\alpha) \dot{r}^\alpha - {}^1A_\alpha \ddot{r}^\alpha \quad (1.5b)$$

gives a complete description of the equations of motion. Remark that another form for (1.5b) is:

$$\ddot{s}^a = {}^2A_{\alpha\beta} \dot{r}^\alpha \dot{r}^\beta - {}^1A_\alpha \ddot{r}^\alpha \quad (1.5b')$$

where:

$${}^2A_{\alpha\beta} (r, s) = \frac{\partial {}^1A_\alpha}{\partial s^b} {}^1A_\beta - \frac{\partial {}^1A_\alpha}{\partial r^\beta}. \quad (1.6)$$

Following [2, p. 31] we define an associated *constrained* Lagrangian  $L_c$  by substituting the constraints (1.5) into the Lagrangian  $L$ :

$$L_c (r^\alpha, s^a, \dot{r}^\alpha, \ddot{r}^\alpha) \stackrel{def.}{=} L \left( r^\alpha, s^a, \dot{r}^\alpha, - {}^1A_\alpha \dot{r}^\alpha, \ddot{r}^\alpha, {}^2A_{\alpha\beta} \dot{r}^\alpha \dot{r}^\beta - {}^1A_\alpha \ddot{r}^\alpha \right). \quad (1.7)$$

A direct coordinates calculation shows:

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial r^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial r^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^b} \frac{\partial {}^1A_\beta}{\partial r^\alpha} \dot{r}^\beta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} \left( \frac{\partial {}^2A_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial r^\alpha} \dot{r}^\beta \dot{r}^\gamma - \frac{\partial {}^1A_\beta}{\partial r^\alpha} \ddot{r}^\beta \right) \quad (1.8a)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial s^a} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^a} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial s^b} \frac{\partial {}^1A_\beta}{\partial s^a} \dot{r}^\beta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} \left( \frac{\partial {}^2A_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial s^a} \dot{r}^\beta \dot{r}^\gamma - \frac{\partial {}^1A_\beta}{\partial s^a} \ddot{r}^\beta \right) \quad (1.8b)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{r}^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} {}^1A_\alpha + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} ({}^2A_{\alpha\beta} + {}^2A_{\beta\alpha}) \dot{r}^\beta \quad (1.8c)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \ddot{r}^\alpha} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{r}^\alpha} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} {}^1A_\alpha. \quad (1.8d)$$

A long, but straightforward computation gives the equations of motion for  $L_c$ :

$$(EL)_\alpha^{constraints} = \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{s}^b} \right) \right) B_{\alpha\beta}^1 \dot{r}^\beta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 \dot{r}^\beta \dot{r}^\gamma \quad (1.9a)$$

where:

$$(EL)_\alpha^{constraints} = \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial r^\alpha} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{r}^\alpha} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \ddot{r}^\alpha} \right) - A_\alpha^1 \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial s^a} \quad (1.9b)$$

$$B_{\alpha\beta}^1 = A_{\beta\alpha}^2 - A_{\alpha\beta}^2 \quad (1.10a)$$

$$B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 = \frac{\partial A_{\beta\gamma}^2}{\partial r^\alpha} - \frac{\partial A_{\beta\alpha}^2}{\partial r^\gamma} + A_\gamma^1 \frac{\partial A_{\beta\alpha}^2}{\partial s^a} - A_\alpha^1 \frac{\partial A_{\beta\gamma}^2}{\partial s^a}. \quad (1.10b)$$

Remark that the coefficients  $B$  does not depend of Lagrangian but only of constraints and  $B_{\alpha\alpha}^1 = B_{\alpha\beta\alpha}^2 = 0$  for every  $\alpha$ .

## 2 Example: the nonholonomic spinning particle

According to [5] the Lagrangian of classical spinning particle is:

$$L(q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\dot{q}^i)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\ddot{q}^i)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the free Lagrangian (2.1) are:

$$(EL)_i^{free} = \frac{d^2 q^i}{dt^2} + \frac{d^4 q^i}{dt^4} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3. \quad (2.2)$$

Consider the nonholonomic constraint of Rosenberg-Bates-Sniatycki type([1], [2, p. 84]):

$$\dot{z} = y\dot{x} \quad (2.3)$$

which gives:

$$\ddot{z} = \dot{y}\dot{x} + y\ddot{x} \quad (2.4a)$$

$${}^1_1\dot{A}_1 = -y, \quad {}^1_1\dot{A}_2 = 0. \quad (2.4b)$$

The constrained Lagrangian is:

$$L_c(y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{y}) = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + y^2 \dot{x}^2) - \frac{1}{2} [\ddot{x}^2 + \ddot{y}^2 + (\dot{x}\dot{y} + y\ddot{x})^2] \quad (2.5)$$

We have:  $p = 1, s^1 = z, r^1 = x, r^2 = y, {}^2_1\dot{A}_{12} = 1, {}^2_1\dot{A}_{21} = 0$  and:

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial y} = y\dot{x}^2 - \ddot{x}\ddot{z} \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{x}} = \dot{x} + \dot{x}y^2 - \dot{y}\ddot{z}, \quad \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{y}} = \dot{y} - \dot{x}\ddot{z} \quad (2.6b)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \ddot{x}} = -\ddot{x} - y\ddot{z}, \quad \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \ddot{y}} = -\ddot{y} \quad (2.6c)$$

where  $\ddot{z}$  is given by (2.4a).

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \ddot{x}} \right) - {}^1_1\dot{A}_1 \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial z} &= \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} (\dot{x} + \dot{x}y^2 - \dot{y}\ddot{z}) - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\ddot{x} + y\ddot{z}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{y}} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \ddot{y}} \right) - {}^1_1\dot{A}_2 \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial z} &= \\ &= y\dot{x}^2 - \ddot{x}\ddot{z} - \frac{d}{dt} (\dot{y} - \dot{x}\ddot{z}) - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ddot{y} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7b)$$

that is:

$$(EL)_1^{constraints} = -\frac{d^4 x}{dt^4} - \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} - \ddot{x}y^2 - 2\dot{y}\ddot{z} - \dot{y}\frac{d^3 z}{dt^3} - y\frac{d^4 z}{dt^4} \quad (2.8a)$$

$$(EL)_2^{constraints} = -\frac{d^4 y}{dt^4} - \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + \dot{x}^2 y + \dot{x}\frac{d^3 z}{dt^3}. \quad (2.8b)$$

The right hand side of (1.9a) is:

$$(EL)_1^{constraints} = -\dot{y} \left( \dot{z} + \frac{d^3 z}{dt^3} \right) \quad (2.9a)$$

$$(EL)_2^{constraints} = \dot{x} \left( \dot{z} + \frac{d^3 z}{dt^3} \right) \quad (2.9b)$$

and then the equations (1.9a – b) gives:

$$(EL)_1^{constraints} : \frac{d^4 x}{dt^4} + \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + y^2 \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + \frac{dy}{dt} \frac{dz}{dt} + y \frac{d^4 z}{dt^4} = 0 \quad (2.10a)$$

$$(EL)_2^{constraints} : \frac{d^4 y}{dt^4} + \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = 0. \quad (2.10b)$$

## References

- [1] Bates, L., Śniatycki, J., *Nonholonomic reduction*, Reports on Mathematical Physics, 32(1993), 99-115.
- [2] Bloch, A. M., Krishnaprasad, P. S, Marsden, J. E., Murray, R. M., *Nonholonomic Mechanical Systems with Symmetries*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 136(1996), 21-99.
- [3] Haimovici, M., *La geometrie des systemes mechaniques non holonomes*, Acad. Română, Fil. Iași, Stud. Cerc. Sti., Ser. I 5, No. 3-4(1954), 49-84.
- [4] Haimovici, M., *Sur la representation geometrique des systemes mechaniques non holonomes*, Begriff des Raumes in der Geometrie, Ber. Riemann-Tagung. Schriftenreihe des Forsch. Inst. Math. Deutschen Akad. der Wiss. zu Berlin, 1(1957), 280-285.
- [5] de León, M., De Diego, D. M., *Symmetries and constants of motion for higher-order Lagrangian systems*, J. Math. Phys., 36(1995), 4138-4161.
- [6] Miron, R., *The Geometry of Higher-Order Lagrange Spaces(Applications to Mechanics and Physics)*, Fundamental Theories of Physics, no. 82, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- [7] Vâlcovici, V., *Une extension des liaison non holonomes et des principes variationnels*, Ber. Verh. Sachs. Acad. Wiss. Leipzig, Math. Nat. Kl. 102(1958), 1-39.
- [8] Vrânceanu, Gh., *Sur les espaces nonholonomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 183(1926), 852-854.

- [9] Vrânceanu, Gh., *Sur le calcul différentiel absolu pour les variétés non-holonomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 183(1926), 1083-1085.
- [10] Vrânceanu, Gh., *Les espaces non holonomes*, Mémorial Sci. Math., 76, 1936.

Faculty of Mathematics  
University "Al. I. Cuza"  
Iași, 6600, Romania  
E-mail: mcrasm@uaic.ro